

**Task Force to Study the Impact of Ocean Acidification on State Waters  
September 10, 2014 Meeting Minutes**

**Task Force Members**

Present:

Bill Ferguson, MD Senate  
Eric Schwaab, National Aquarium in Baltimore  
Bruce Michael, MD Dept. Natural Resources  
Lee Currey, MD Dept. of the Environment  
Tom Miller, UMCES Chesapeake Biological Laboratory

Absent:

Eric Luedke, MD Delegate – via phone  
Tal Petty, Hollywood Oyster Co.  
Robert T. Brown, Maryland Waterman’s Association – via phone  
Doug Myers, Chesapeake Bay Foundation

Staff:

Marek Topolski, MD Dept. Natural Resources

**Guest Presenter**

Whitman Miller, Smithsonian Environmental Research Center – see Appendix A  
Denise Breitburg, Smithsonian Environmental Research Center – see Appendix B

**Audience**

Matt Stover, MD Dept. of the Environment  
Mark Trice, MD Dept. Natural Resources  
Ryan Ono, Ocean Conservancy  
Zoe Johnson, MD Dept. Natural Resources

**Logistics**

- Meeting schedule: 2<sup>nd</sup> Wednesday of each month
- OA Task Force information is available at <http://mddnr.chesapeakebay.net/mdoatf/index.cfm>

**Timeline**

- Meeting 3: October 15<sup>th</sup>, 2014 from 9:00 - 12:00

**Discussion** (↑ - Increase, ↓ - Decrease, ⇕ - Fluctuation)

- Describe the risks of OA in Chesapeake Bay – what strategies can minimize the risks
  - What are the risks to mitigation projects in place, under way, or needed?
    - Are strategies already in place that help address the risks – are they being promoted?
  - Alliance for Coastal Technologies Workshop Proceedings – Chesapeake Bay OA workshop
    - Science Assessment of Chesapeake Bay Acidification: Toward a Research and Monitoring Strategy report (ACT document)
      - 13 recommendations – pp. 13-14 – Task Force should review
    - Workshop identified knowledge gaps and state of the science
  - Next step is for stakeholders to help answer questions about risk
  - Consider a workshop (STAC) to develop a strategy to address unknowns and challenges
    - From a food web and ecosystem services perspective

- Develop baseline measures to fill data gaps
    - Assess the changes and impacts in the Chesapeake Bay over time
  - Review west coast and Maine actions to incorporate OA affects into management strategies
- Maryland has opportunity to be at forefront of coastal OA research, planning, and management
  - Identify funding/resources to support the science (state, federal) – grants from NOAA OA Task Force
    - There is a growing realization that open ocean and coastal OA are different
      - Coastal OA is more complex than just oceanographic processes
    - NOAA OA Task Force focus has been open ocean OA
      - Are they willing to fund coastal OA research? – i.e. v.3 (see August minutes)
  - Maryland’s primary monitoring assets are in Chesapeake Bay and coastal bays.
  - There are a lot of pH data available (recognizing that pCO<sub>2</sub> is a better measure than pH)
    - Range of pH data may ameliorate, to some extent, pH probe calibration error
  - Intrusion of deep Chesapeake Bay water into shallow water is analogous to west coast upwelling
    - Water is low in DO but pH is not known
    - Presumably low based on the research of DO/pH relationship
  - Coastal Bays may be a good contrast to Chesapeake Bay
    - Water is more closely oceanic – highly buffered – OA effects may be less extreme
  - Restoring America’s Estuaries Summit in November – Emphasis on estuarine effects of OA
- Discussion about the Task Force’s report
  - Should people’s attention be focused on risks of OA or responses to OA?
    - What are the critical things to focus on?
      - How eutrophication is already affecting the ecosystem
        - Continue nutrient reduction to reduce CO<sub>2</sub> input from respiration
      - Where and under what conditions we might need new, different, or more stringent nutrient reduction strategies
      - Identify where existing strategies like DO criteria are not being protected
        - Implement existing strategies where needed but not implemented
      - Adjust regulations that are restrictive to shallow water restoration projects
        - Navigation regulations are restrictive to shallow water (~1m) oyster
      - Continued support for SAV restoration
        - SAV beds/meadows can cause significant CO<sub>2</sub> drawdown during day
      - Leverage the advantages of dual/multi-benefit/target restoration strategies
  - Implementation of recommendations should be through the legislative process
- Going forward – Task Force actions
  - Agenda items for meeting 3
    - Focus on other state examples
    - Obtain industry perspectives and issues
    - Develop report framework/outline
      - Report focus is estuarine waters, but will also acknowledge state ocean waters
  - Action items
    - Information is needed about aquaculture and restoration efforts
    - Contact Maine’s Task Force to get their perspectives – Bruce and Eric
    - Bring the Oyster Recovery Partnership (ORP) into the stakeholder discussion

- Contact Tom O’Connell and Mike Naylor (Fisheries) to identify an appropriate ORP contact – Bruce
- Follow up with ORP – Bruce and Eric
- Task Force should reach out East Coast Shellfish Growers Association (<http://www.ecsga.org/index.htm>) – it is a secondary industry resource
  - Headed by Bob Rheault

## Appendix A

Presentation: Whitman Miller, Smithsonian Environmental Research Center (SERC)

Topic: Carbonate chemistry and monitoring approaches for Chesapeake Bay

- CO<sub>2</sub> distribution: 50% in atmosphere, 25% in ocean, 25% in plants and terrestrial soil
- CO<sub>2</sub> + H<sub>2</sub>O ↔ carbonic acid; reaction direction affected by pH
  - Release of hydrogen ion (H<sup>+</sup>) from carbonic acid leads to formation of carbonate ion
  - Carbonate ion is necessary for organisms that have/make shells of calcium carbonate
    - Calcium + carbonate ion = calcium carbonate
- ↑ CO<sub>2</sub> added to water, the more acidic the water becomes (CO<sub>2</sub> acts like an acid)
  - The more acidic the water, the fewer carbonate ions available to organisms
    - ↑ CO<sub>2</sub> = ↓ pH = ↑ H<sup>+</sup> = ↓ carbonate ion
- Surface ocean water is supersaturated with calcium carbonate
  - Saturation horizon = the depth/temperature point where water becomes undersaturated
    - Calcium carbonate saturation horizon = water is not saturated with calcium carbonate
      - Calcium carbonate shells dissolve below this saturation horizon
- Is OA causing calcium carbonate saturation horizon to move closer to the ocean surface?
  - Is the available water column space for organisms being reducing (squished)?
- What role do coasts have in controlling ocean carbon?
  - NOAA perspective: This is an oceanographic question
    - NOAA buoys monitor the open ocean to detect OA parameter changes
  - Whitman's perspective: This is an estuarine question
    - Coastal chemistry affects biology and biology affects chemistry?
      - Interactions vary by ecological scale and dynamics – where you live
- Estuary characteristics are not constant relative to open ocean
  - Shallow, lower salinity, less buffered against changes to pH as CO<sub>2</sub> is added
  - Geology, riverine chemistry, terrestrial inputs, and sediments are important
  - The calcium carbonate saturation horizon varies spatially (not simply vertical)
  - Many more factors that influence carbonate chemistry than in open ocean

### Experiments:

- CO<sub>2</sub> effects on oyster larvae incubated at different CO<sub>2</sub> concentrations (in the water)
  - Pre- & post industrial revolution and 100 year projection (yr100) of CO<sub>2</sub> concentration
    - Pre-industrial revolution oysters were larger
    - yr100 oyster had regular growth pattern, but daily growth was slower
    - Oyster settlement is dictated by size of larvae not age
      - Slow growth oyster is in water column longer and exposed to more predation
- SAV beds were enriched with CO<sub>2</sub>
  - SAV have ↑ growth when water is CO<sub>2</sub> enriched
  - Faster growing SAV have lower levels of “defensive” secondary carbon compounds
    - These compounds protect against predation and disease
    - Herbivorous fishes have preference for these fast growing SAV
- Analysis of pCO<sub>2</sub> (carbonate) monitoring data
  - Data Types
    - real time chemistry (continuous data)
      - pCO<sub>2</sub>
      - pH
    - wet chemistry (discrete samples)
      - DIC: dissolved inorganic carbon (carbonate, bicarbonate, and dissolved CO<sub>2</sub>)

- T Alk: total alkalinity (buffering capacity)
    - T Alk and pCO<sub>2</sub> data are used to calculate pH and DIC
  - Rhode River: SERC pier vs. Marsh – 1 km apart
    - SERC pier
      - Day time CO<sub>2</sub> draw down – presumably due to photosynthesis
      - Night time CO<sub>2</sub> increase – presumably due to respiration w/o photosynthesis
      - Daily  $\updownarrow$  of CO<sub>2</sub> and pH
        - $\downarrow$  CO<sub>2</sub> corresponds to  $\uparrow$  pH – inverse relationship
        - pH at the dock varies between 7.5 & 8.0 – fairly constant
      - Daily variability of pCO<sub>2</sub>  $\geq$  range of ocean pCO<sub>2</sub> levels by up to a factor of ten
      - pCO<sub>2</sub> can be below or above ocean's range for extended periods of time
      - Seasonal pCO<sub>2</sub> patterns:  $\downarrow$  cold months and  $\uparrow$  in warm months
        - Photosynthesis and respiration response rates affected by temperature
        - Temperature driven photosynthesis rates cause daily CO<sub>2</sub>  $\updownarrow$  in water
    - Marsh
      - Daily CO<sub>2</sub>  $\updownarrow$  from marsh driven by tidal cycle export of decomposing carbon
        - High tide inundation of marsh picks up CO<sub>2</sub> from decomposition
        - CO<sub>2</sub> is then washed out during low tide
        - pH has greater  $\updownarrow$  ranging between 6.5 & 7.5 – water becomes acidic
        - Positive pH correlation with tide (lower water = lower pH)
      - Marsh biogeochemical processes produce CO<sub>2</sub> and T Alk (buffering capacity – resistance to change)
        - Both of these are washed out at low tide
      - Oyster restoration in Rhode River should not be done at mouth of tidal creeks (where marshes are)
    - Heterogeneity of water column CO<sub>2</sub> concentration between sites (1 km apart)
      - Water column CO<sub>2</sub> at SERC pier is temperature driven
      - Water column CO<sub>2</sub> at marsh is tidal driven
      - There are distinct, spatially different habitats between sites
- Can marsh characteristics be used to predict/estimate CO<sub>2</sub> and T Alk output to riverine system?
  - Not yet, but that should be possible in the future
  - A marsh is a reflection of the local land/sea interface
    - Different types of shoreline habitats have different land/sea interactions
      - They should have different chemical footprint/signature
  - Should be able to develop localized predictive models, at ecologically relevant scales, for different Chesapeake Bay and coastal habitat types
  - More monitoring data is needed to develop predictive models of CO<sub>2</sub> and T Alk for various/specific habitats types
    - Current monitoring is robust – 30 year baseline
    - Very little is known about Chesapeake Bay carbonate chemistry
    - Data is “noisy” requiring a lot of data collection with a lot of spatial coverage
    - Measuring pCO<sub>2</sub> and T Alk at existing water quality monitoring stations would give a better understanding of overall system interactions
    - First step – add appropriate monitoring equipment to existing monitoring assets
      - Cost to add pCO<sub>2</sub> and T Alk monitoring equipment to existing assets?
      - Oceanographic monitoring device (underway system) is \$80,000 - \$100,000
      - SERC device is ~\$7,500 plus lab costs, data handling etc.
        - Overall is \$10,000 - \$15,000 per device

- Big picture concepts
  - In ocean, when an algal bloom crashes the organics sink to the abyss and lost from system
    - Decomposition is decoupled from surface water
    - In ocean, the chemistry is driving the biology
  - In estuary, when an algal bloom crashes the organics sink a few meters and remain in system
    - Chemistry of decomposition remains in system - not decoupled from surface water
    - In coastal systems the biology is driving the chemistry
    - Simple air/water equilibrium model cannot describe coastal OA – way too dynamic
      - Exchanges between sediment (benthos) and surface water are important
        - Benthos has reducing conditions – sulfate reduction generates CO<sub>2</sub> and T Alk
        - Shallow systems have extensive muddy bottoms associated with seasonal benthic respiration, strong CO<sub>2</sub> inputs, and ↓ pH
      - When algal bloom busts, CO<sub>2</sub> spikes and pH drops
- How does nutrient enrichment affect the carbonate chemistry?
  - Nutrient enrichment is not a direct cause of OA
    - Subsequent biogeochemical processes and reactions lead to OA
  - Highly productive systems have year round photosynthesis
    - Can ↓ CO<sub>2</sub> below atmospheric concentration
    - ↓ respiration is possibly driven by temperature
    - Can ↑ pH for extended periods
- Measure OA at ecologically relevant scales for organisms – where they live
  - Temporal variability (tidal, day/night, seasonal)
  - Spatial heterogeneity; (land-sea interactions, benthic respiration & photosynthesis)
  - How/when does the ↓ of water chemistry exceed the comfort range of organisms that evolved under the pre-OA conditions?
  - How long are the exposure times faced by organisms?
  - What are the biological responses to prolonged exposure?
  - What were oysters “doing” and dealing with during pre-industrial time?
  - Capacity of oysters to handle current and future CO<sub>2</sub> ↑ is being studied
  - Open water Bay buoys provide good data but not at relevant biological/ecological scale
    - Such as evaluating where to site oyster reef or SAV restoration projects
  - Chesapeake Bay has extensive and diverse freshwater input
    - Extensive land/sea interactions – e.g. extensive CO<sub>2</sub> input from tidal saltmarshes
      - These inputs affect habitat at local and regional scales
    - Strong diurnal, seasonal, and tidal patterns in pCO<sub>2</sub> and pH
    - Salinity gradient is important: ↑ salinity = ↑ buffering capacity = ↓ effect a molecule of CO<sub>2</sub> will have on pH
- Need robust/affordable monitoring system leveraged with and expanding on existing monitoring infrastructure
  - e.g. co-locate carbonate chemistry instruments with existing land-, buoy-, and vessel-based observing assets
- Why study Chesapeake Bay?
  - Extensive natural resources, ecosystem services, and commercial fisheries.
  - Extensive scientific understanding of Chesapeake Bay but not carbonate chemistry
  - Extensive research and monitoring activities and assets
    - Piggy-back equipment on existing observing networks - e.g. pCO<sub>2</sub>, alkalinity, total inorganic carbon

- see Workshop Proceedings: Science Assessment of Chesapeake Bay Acidification: Toward a Research and Monitoring Strategy

Discussion:

- ↓ buffering capacity in freshwater, urbanized waters
  - Have wild pH swings (~3 units) in a few hours in response to flashy and rapid runoff
    - Example of why storm water control is so important
    - Biological/ecological implications of such rapid stress changes are not known
- Monitoring assets are funded through a state/federal partnership via the Chesapeake Bay Program
  - Addition of monitoring equipment requires funds – no state or federal approval needed
  - Historical pH and T Alk data exists but, pH probes not designed for salinities of 1 – 20 ppt
    - Calibrate probes to a reference salinity for the site – ionic composition
    - Coastal waters do not have a reference salinity because ionic composition is constantly in flux
  - Deployed pH probes have biofouling problem - uncertainty increases as fouling increases
  - pCO<sub>2</sub> probe is not in contact with water so there is no fouling - easier to measure
    - Allows for real time monitoring and conversion to pH
- Relative to sea level rise and climate change - Is the marsh carbon flux good or bad for marshes?
  - It is very specific to the marsh and the local biogeochemical conditions
  - Marsh species composition affects the chemistry
  - Changes in community composition will change the chemistry
  - Relative size of marsh to river system will influence the effect of marsh's CO<sub>2</sub> and T Alk output (a ratio of marsh:river size effect has not been determined)
  - Marshes and nearby waters are highly enriched with CO<sub>2</sub> from the marsh
  - The marsh's response to sea level rise will inform the marshes effect on the land/sea interface
- What are the implications of CO<sub>2</sub> & pH patterns on aquaculture, fishing, restoration, etc.
  - Aquaculture & hatcheries
    - Solutions can be engineered since it can be made as a closed system
  - Restoration
    - Include carbonate chemistry in the decision process for where to site restoration projects
    - Carbonate chemistry effect on restoration success/failure is not known
    - Long term – carbonate chemistry will be influenced by indirect management efforts – nutrient reduction for example
    - A baseline for acceptable carbonate chemistry to site restoration is not known - without which the long term implications are not known
    - Need to consider what the TMDL goals really are
      - Reduce nutrients by a certain amount, reduce algal blooms, raise O<sub>2</sub>?
      - What do we want system to look like in 10, 15 years. Reach goal by 2025
  - Monitoring networks
    - Continuous surface water monitoring would really help capture the carbonate dynamics
      - Caution about misinterpretation between surface water daytime only spot measurements with the continuous measurements
    - MDE monitoring of shellfish harvesting areas – additional platform for adding probes
    - Aquaculture site monitoring

## Appendix B

Presentation: Denise Breitburg, Smithsonian Environmental Research Center (SERC)

Topic: Acidification in Chesapeake Bay: Biological effects in an ecosystem context

- Biological influence on OA within a complex estuarine system like Chesapeake Bay
  - Develop predictable biological/OA interactions in Chesapeake Bay
    - Review existing OA effects in other systems - worldwide
    - What challenges exist for prediction of OA effects?
    - How to manage for a Bay undergoing OA?
    - Examine biological feedback mechanisms and effect on OA
      - Are there co-occurring stressors for biology?
- Dissolved CO<sub>2</sub> sources: atmospheric and biological respiration
  - In Chesapeake Bay, CO<sub>2</sub> from respiration is the dominant source
    - Algae, aerobic microbes, fish, invertebrates
    - What respiration is and what it does
      - ↓ O<sub>2</sub> and ↑ CO<sub>2</sub> at same time
      - hypoxia (↓ O<sub>2</sub>) and acidification co-occur in systems where respiration drives acidification
    - High nutrient loads stimulate ↑ biomass/production causing ↑ respiration
      - Potential result is ↑ acidification and ↑ hypoxia
    - Acidification and hypoxia must be considered together
    - Daily ↓ of dissolved oxygen (DO) and pH levels at monitoring sites
      - Strong positive correlation between DO and pH conditions
        - Fairly accurate predictions: ↓ DO indicates ↓ pH
    - DO criteria have been established for Chesapeake Bay
      - Can DO criteria be used to set biologically relevant criteria for pH levels?
        - Are DO criteria protective for pH effects since they co-occur?
  - Comparisons with pristine SERC sites (central America) – limited human impact
    - Tidal ponds in mangrove areas, limited circulation patterns, limited nutrient inputs
    - Sites have large daily ↓ of DO and pH
    - Strong correlation between DO and pH - just like Chesapeake Bay
      - Some sites are virtually identical to Chesapeake Bay
      - ↓ in pH (low pH) is natural
  - Challenge - How much of Chesapeake Bay acidification is natural vs. human induced?
    - How much is caused by coastal human activities - i.e. nutrient input?
    - Do changes to food web dynamics play a role in the acidification?
    - Management of the system should not try to undo the natural state of the estuary
    - What are the chemical feed backs in the system? - not fully known.
    - Can respiration CO<sub>2</sub> be predicted if environmental parameters (biomass, temperature, DO, etc.) and atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub> are known?
    - Is there feedback in the system that ends up making the system worse?
    - Are there limits to the effect on the system if pH reaches a certain amount?
    - Biological and ecological responses to various conditions needs to be studied
      - Responses need to be known to predict the ecological effects from acidification.
      - Combined effect of atmospheric and nutrient derived acidification needs to be known
- Calcification by organisms is directly linked to carbonate chemistry - pH
  - pH affects growth and survival of organisms having calcium carbonate shells or skeletons



- Larval bivalves are particularly susceptible – more than post-settlement stages
  - Shell’s crystalline structure is affected at a ↓ pH
  - ↓ pH causes ↓ growth rate, ↓ calcification rates, delayed metamorphosis, and ↓ survival (↑ exposure to predators) in oyster and clam
  - Strongest effect on calcification rates were in ↓ salinity areas
    - Problem – these salinity areas are typically refuges from disease
    - Acidification will become a factor when planning restoration strategies
- When oyster hemocytes (cells that fight disease) are continuously exposed to moderately low pH their activity is reduced by 40%
- ↑ acidification affects organisms other than shellfish
  - ↓ larval survival and growth of silversides – ↑ vulnerability to predators
  - Summer flounder ↓ larval survival, ↓ energy reserve, metamorphose at ↓ size, and developmental abnormalities – ↑ vulnerability to predators
  - What are the effects on energetics, hormone regulation, genetics, etc.?
  - ↑ otolith size – what is the effect on hearing and movement/orientation/balance?
  - Is there a behavioral effect? – ↑ vulnerability to predators?
  - Fish seem “stupider” (coral reef studies) – settle to reef at wrong time of day/night and wrong behavioral responses to predators - ↑ vulnerability to predators
  - Spiny dogfish and Atlantic shark are less able to detect squid – possibly due to olfactory impairment
  - ↑ in blue crab hardening time
- The more studies done, the greater the number of species and variety of effects found
- What are the effects to ecosystem services?
  - ↓ oyster biofouling when ↓pH – the shells are white/clean
    - ↓ oyster reef community abundance, diversity, and ecological processes
- Synergistic effects of hypoxia and acidification
  - ↓ growth rate of hard clam when exposed to both hypoxia and pH than either stressor alone
  - Nutrient level can affect abundance and composition of food for some target species
    - Surf clam exposed to high prey concentration were not affected by acidification
    - The main effect on some organisms may be energetic cost
      - Prey abundance may partially compensate for lowered pH
  - Some species will be more sensitive to acidification than others – cause food web alteration
    - How will fisheries respond as target species are differentially affected by pH?
      - There will be decreased abundance and increased natural mortality
  - Will ↓ harvest mortality be an appropriate management response to compensate for ↓ biomass
  - Few food web models compared to number of nutrient reduction models
- Multiple stressor effects and interactions
  - Temperature stressor
    - Fundamental stressor
    - Chesapeake Bay water temperatures are rising
    - Low pH reduces tolerance to ↑ temperature and ↑ temperatures of red abalone larvae
  - Spatial and temporal patterns
    - Are these stressors coinciding in space and/or occurring in temporal sequence?
    - Are they affecting the same or different physiological processes in species?
  - What is happening when there are large day/night fluctuations of pH and DO

- Do cycling conditions have fundamentally different effects than constant conditions
      - Is there day or season compensation where conditions are less severe?
      - Is it just the pH minima that are driving the outcome?
    - Variability in the system has a huge effect on the energetic cost
- Organisms expend more energy to maintain appropriate physiology and behavior
  - Experiment: Response of oyster to  $\updownarrow$  conditions (DO and pH) under a day/night cycle
    - Strong effect of hypoxia on prevalence of Dermo in oysters
    - $\downarrow$  Dermo presence when  $\downarrow$  pH and  $\updownarrow$  DO (but observation not statistically significant)
    - Constant conditions reduces hemocyte activity
    - Cycling of conditions stimulates the immune response
    - What are implications of cycling conditions producing different biological responses than constant conditions
    - Different life stages are affected differently under cycling versus constant conditions
- Information needed to develop policy and management positions
  - Identification of how much acidification is anthropogenic
  - How do different sources of CO<sub>2</sub> combine in the system – are they additive?
  - Which biological experiments/measurements will be critical for decision making?
  - Policy and management decisions take into account that the system is dynamic - not static
  - Baseline information on biological responses to conditions is needed