DCL Watershed Planning Steering Committee

Accountability and Public Participation Sub-Committee
Subcommittee

Members
• Lulu Gonella – Chair
• David Myerberg - Co-Chair
• Barbara Beelar
• Ellen Williams
• Ken Fisher
• Paul Weiler

Staff Support
• Catherine Shanks –, DNR
• Carrie Decker – DNR
• Eric Null – DNR, as needed
Meeting Goals and Outcomes

• Review and agree upon the focus of the subcommittee
• Review and refine the “problem statement”
• Review the current state of Lake Management Authority
• Identify next steps and topics for approaching the “desired state”
• Determine schedule for future meetings
Ground Rules

• Turn cell phones on silent
• Refrain from multi-tasking ie. checking email, having side conversations, reading other materials
• Always address fellow sub-committee members with courtesy and respect – avoid interrupting
• Before taking the floor, plan out what you want to communicate and do so concisely
Ground Rules (cont.)

• Keep comments and discussion on point – avoid changing topics in the middle of a discussion (new topics/issues can be added to the Parking Lot or made note of for later discussion)

• Ask clarifying questions if you think you have missed a point – chances are you are not the only one

• Be sure your facts are correct, and if you are not sure, be sure to say so
Ground Rules (cont.)

• Be open to opposing or different approaches to solving our problems

• Be prepared to feel uncomfortable, frustrated, confused and like the process is out of control – this is natural and necessary in a process like this and later leads to greater clarity and good solutions
Focus of the Sub-Committee

• Examine current state with what information we have now
  – Org charts for DNR including lake management, the County, PRB
  – Who is responsible for what tasks
  – How does information flow

• Determine where gaps are in what should happen vs. what is happening

• Determine what other information we need

• Begin to formulate how to close the gaps
Draft Problem Statement

Topic – Accountability, agency coordination and lake management responsibility

• Citizens expressed a lack of understanding, clarity and accountability regarding who/what agency is responsible for different management actions on and around the lake and in the watershed.

• Citizens also felt the agencies are not working together in a coordinated fashion and a localized management authority is needed.
Draft Problem Statement

Topic – Public understanding and participation

• Concern was expressed for the lack of participation from watershed residents as opposed to lake residents.

• There is a lack of access to information on the lake governance as well as information on the watershed and the lake.
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Interdisciplinary Teams (Matrix Teams) = Invasive Species and Conservation Education