

Chapter 3

Maryland's Wildlife and Species of Greatest Conservation Need





Table of Contents

Introduction	1
Distribution of Maryland's Wildlife	1
Conservation Status of Maryland's Wildlife	2
Maryland's Extinct and Extirpated Species	2
Endangered, Threatened, and In Need of Conservation Species	3
Declining Species (and our opportunity to reverse that trend)	5
Species of Greatest Conservation Need (SGCN)	7
SGCN Selection: Conservation Ranks	11
Conservation Status Groups	14
Regional Context for Species of Greatest Conservation Need	16
Mammals of Maryland	18
SGCN Mammals of Maryland	19
SGCN Montane Mammals	19
SGCN Bats	21
SGCN Marine Mammals	
Threats to SGCN Mammals	
Conservation Actions and Information Needs for SGCN Mammals	
Birds of Maryland	
SGCN Birds of Maryland	29
SGCN Montane Birds	
SGCN Birds of the Piedmont	31
SGCN Birds of the Coastal Plain	32
SGCN Marine Birds	34
Threats to SGCN Birds	35
Conservation Actions and Information Needs for SGCN Birds	37
Reptiles and Amphibians of Maryland	45
Reptiles	
Amphibians	46
SGCN Reptiles and Amphibians of Maryland	47
SGCN Marine and Estuarine Reptiles	
SGCN Freshwater Reptiles and Amphibians	
SGCN Reptiles of Upland Habitats	
or optime recording international and the second se	

Threats to SGCN Reptiles and Amphibians49
Conservation Actions and Information Needs for SGCN Reptiles and Amphibians51
Case Study: Maryland Amphibian and Reptile Atlas (MARA)
Fishes of Maryland56
Freshwater Fishes
Marine and Estuarine Fishes
SGCN Fishes of Maryland59
Threats to SGCN Fishes61
Conservation Actions and Information Needs for SGCN Fishes
Invertebrates of Maryland64
SGCN Insects of Maryland66
SGCN Aquatic Insects (Odonates and Ephemeroptera, Plecoptera, Trichoptera)67
Case Study: SGCN Pollinators67
Other SGCN Invertebrates of Maryland70
SGCN Subterranean and Groundwater Species70
SGCN Freshwater Mussels70
SGCN Land Snails72
Other SGCN Invertebrates, Including Other Insects
Threats to SGCN Invertebrates
Conservation Actions and Information Needs for SGCN Invertebrates74
Maryland's Plants
Citations and Sources

List of Figures

Figure 3.1 Matrix used to categorize species into five conservation status categories	14
Figure 3.2 Percentages of species by taxa groups in each conservation status group	16
Figure 3.3 Regional importance of fall migration stopover sites for birds from the analysis weather surveillance radar data	
Figure 3.4 New Jersey chorus frog distribution map comparison	53

List of Tables

Table 3.1 Summary of federal and state-listed species	ý
Table 3.2 Categories considered for inclusion on Maryland's list of Species of Greatest Conservation Need (SGCN) 7	7
Table 3.3 Comparison of 2005 and 2015 Species of Greatest Conservation Need lists)
Table 3.4 Wildlife diversity of Maryland 10)
Table 3.5 Definitions of global and state conservation ranks and rank qualifiers 11	
Table 3.6 Cross-reference of Conservation Status Ranks and their use in SGCN listing 13	;
Table 3.7 Definitions of the five conservation status categories from Figure 3.1 based ongrouping global and state conservation status ranks	5
Table 3.8 RSGCN by major taxonomic group 18	;;
Table 3.9 Existing federal recovery plans for SGCN mammal species	5
Table 3.10 Mammal Species of Greatest Conservation Need in Maryland	5
Table 3.11 Existing federal recovery plans for SGCN birds. 38	;;
Table 3.12 Bird Species of Greatest Conservation Need in Maryland. 38	;;
Table 3.13 Existing federal recovery plans for SGCN reptiles. 54	ŀ
Table 3.14 Reptile and Amphibian Species of Greatest Conservation Need in Maryland 54	ŀ
Table 3.15 Existing federal recovery plans for SGCN fishes. 63	;
Table 3.16 Fish Species of Greatest Conservation Need in Maryland. 63	;
Table 3.17 Existing federal recovery plans for SGCN invertebrates	5
Table 3.18 Invertebrate Species of Greatest Conservation Need in Maryland	5
Table 3.19 Plant Species of Greatest Conservation Need in Maryland. 94	ļ

List of Appendices

XX 🛶 🦙 🚧 📈

- 3a. Species of Greatest Conservation Need with Ranks by Scientific Name
- 3b. Species of Greatest Conservation Need with Ranks by Common Name
- 3c. Species of Greatest Conservation Need Criteria
- 3d. Wildlife Diversity Sources of Information
- 3e. Species of Greatest Conservation Need Added for the 2015 State Wildlife Action Plan Revision
- 3f. Species of Greatest Conservation Need Removed for the 2015 State Wildlife Action Plan Revision
- 3g. Explanation of Conservation Rankings used in Maryland
- 3h. Species of Greatest Conservation Need by Status Group
- 3i. Regional Species of Greatest Conservation Need, Northeast Region
- 3j. Plant Species of Greatest Conservation Need in Maryland and Associated Key Wildlife

Habitats

Introduction

The State Wildlife Action Plan (SWAP or Plan) compiles, evaluates, and presents summary status information for Species of Greatest Conservation Need (SGCN) (Element #1). This chapter describes the process used to select SCGN and summarizes the best available information regarding the conservation status, distribution, and abundance of all major taxonomic groups that occur in Maryland. Regional SGCN, known here as RSGCN, are also discussed as they were considered in the selection of Maryland's SGCN. Numerous experts and sources of information (e.g., scientific literature, databases, agency reports) on Maryland's wildlife abundance and distribution were consulted during the planning process and are listed throughout the Plan and in the 'Online Resources' supplementary document. A comprehensive list of state conservation plans and initiatives can be found in Appendix 9a. More detailed information regarding the status and relative abundance of Maryland's SGCN are provided in Appendices 3a, 3b, 3c, and 3j, which includes each species state and global conservation ranks, and state and federal legal status. Distributions of wildlife species and signature plants are included through their association with one or more Key Wildlife Habitats, which are discussed in detail and mapped in Chapter 4. The cross-reference table that lists these habitats for each species is found in Appendices 3j and 4a. Species distribution model maps are available for many SGCN birds and some SGCN amphibians, reptiles, and mammals through the National Gap Analysis Program effort to model the distributions of 2,000 U.S. species. In addition, regional efforts have created habitat capability maps for representative SGCN birds (wood thrush and Louisiana waterthrush) and one SGCN reptile (wood turtle), with more maps in process. Scientific names for SGCN are included in Appendices 1a, 1b, and 3j. Scientific names for other species are included in the text of the chapter.

Distribution of Maryland's Wildlife

Despite its small size, Maryland's wildlife is remarkably diverse- due, in large part, to the wide range of habitats that are found from the Atlantic Ocean in the east to the Allegheny Mountains in the west. Native fauna documented in the state include 96 mammals, 443 birds, 89 reptiles and amphibians, at least several hundred freshwater and marine fishes, and over 20,000 species of invertebrates (for further details see Table 3.4; sources of information are in Appendix 3d). A number of these species are rare, uncommon, or in serious decline.

The state's physiographic provinces and their associated habitats and climates have a profound influence on the distribution of wildlife species. While many wildlife species occur throughout the state, such as eastern box turtle and black-and-white warbler, others are restricted to a particular region, watershed, and/or habitat. For example, species like the seal salamander and nesting Canada warbler are limited to high elevation habitats in the far western part of the state, in the Appalachian Plateau physiographic region. The pearl dace and checkered sculpin, two fish species, are confined in Maryland to a handful of spring-fed coldwater streams in the Blue Ridge physiographic province. Other species, such as carpenter frog and nesting saltmarsh sparrow, occur only on Maryland's Eastern Shore. Some of the state's most imperiled species are confined to just a handful of sites and, in some cases, single locations. For example, the only remaining breeding areas in Maryland for the beach-nesting piping plover are on Assateague Island while several subterranean crustaceans are single site endemics, whose only known populations in the world are restricted to a single cave or



spring. Details on the associated habitat distributions of SGCN can be found in Chapter 4 and Appendix 4a.



Maryland includes a diverse array of habitats and species. Left, *Chesapeake Bay at Calvert Cliffs State Park* (Richard Orr); right, *blue crab (Callinectes sapidus) native to Chesapeake Bay estuary* (Rosedale Yannayon).

Conservation Status of Maryland's Plants and Wildlife

MD DNR's Natural Heritage Program (NHP), part of the Wildlife and Heritage Service, is one of the state's lead programs for biodiversity conservation, including rare, threatened, and endangered species protection. NHP identifies, ranks, conserves, and conducts status assessments of all rare and endangered species and natural communities throughout the state. It currently monitors the status of over 1,100 native plants and animals. Species status assessments play a critical role by helping to set NHP and partner conservation priorities, supporting state and federal species listing decisions and related regulatory processes, and helping to inform the public of key conservation issues. In the sections that follow, the criteria used to select Maryland Species of Greatest Conservation Need (SGCN) are described. First, a summary of species that are currently either extinct or extirpated is presented, followed by an overview of state-listed species and non-listed but declining species. Conservation status rank (i.e., global [G1, G2, etc.] and state ranks [S1, S2, etc.]), legal protection status (Endangered, Threatened, In Need of Conservation), and other criteria used to select SGCN are then reviewed.

Maryland's Extinct and Extirpated Wildlife Species

The U.S. Congress recognized human impacts on wildlife over 40 years ago in its preamble to the Endangered Species Act: "The Congress finds and declares that various species of fish, wildlife, and plants in the United States have been rendered extinct as a consequence of economic growth and development untempered by adequate concern and conservation" (USFWS 1973). Since European settlement, over 100 species of plants and animals have become extinct or extirpated from the state (i.e., no longer remaining in MD but still present elsewhere). Those species now gone entirely include the Carolina parakeet (*Conuropsis carolinensis*), passenger pigeon (*Ectopistes migratorius*), and heath hen (*Tympanuchus cupido cupido*). Habitat loss and overhunting were largely responsible for their demise and eventual extinction during the first half of the 20th century (Cornell Lab of Ornithology 2008).



Today an estimated 118 species (86 plants and 32 animals, Table 3.1) are state-listed as Endangered Extirpated. This legal status indicates that these species were once viable components of the state's flora and fauna but no longer occur in Maryland and, if rediscovered, would likely be afforded the legal status of Endangered. Six of the 32 animals listed as Endangered Extirpated are mammals. These include gray wolves (*Canis lupus*), which historically occurred throughout the state but were eliminated by the late 1800's as a result of indiscriminant hunting and trapping, habitat



American bison (National Park Service)

loss, and increasingly scarce prey populations. American bison (*Bison bison*) and elk (*Cervus elaphus*) ranged throughout central and western Maryland when Europeans colonized the state. The last American bison was shot in 1775 in Garrett County (Paradiso 1969) and the last elk, also eliminated by overhunting, vanished around 1850 (Lee 1984). The American pine marten's (*Martes americana*) range included western Maryland until the early 1900s, as did the fisher (*Martes pennanti*), which was able to return to Maryland as a result of a release of 23 animals in West Virginia near the Maryland border in the winter of 1969. The snowshoe hare historically occurred in high elevation red spruce-dominated forests in western Maryland; there have been no reliable Maryland records in over 50 years (Paradiso 1969).

As with some of the mammals mentioned above, habitat loss was the primary cause for the extirpation of a number of bird species that once nested in Maryland. The Swainson's thrush historically nested in high elevation red-spruce dominated forest (Robbins & Blom 1996) but was eliminated following extensive logging and the nearly complete loss of red spruce in the 19th and early 20th centuries. The Endangered Extirpated red-cockaded woodpecker disappeared due in large part to the loss of expansive tracts of old forest containing large pine trees that provided nest cavities (Robbins & Blom 1996; USFWS 2003). Bachman's sparrow (*Peucaea aestivalisis*), a species that formerly nested in some of Maryland's open pine woodlands, is also extirpated in Maryland due in part to habitat loss. Habitat loss may also have been the main factor leading to the extirpation of these and several other species listed as Endangered Extirpated. These total to: 10 birds, 1 amphibian, 4 fishes, and 11 invertebrates (5 butterflies, 1 beetle, 3 dragonflies, 1 snail, 1 crustacean) (MD DNR 2010a).

Endangered, Threatened, and In Need of Conservation Species

More than 600 species and subspecies are listed in state regulations as Endangered, Threatened, or In Need of Conservation in Maryland (COMAR 08.03.08). Most of the species that are state-listed as Endangered are plants (263), and 96 are animals. An additional 70 plants and 20 animals are recognized as Threatened in the state. Thirty-five animal species are listed as In Need of Conservation in Maryland (Maryland Division of State Documents 2015b). A small fraction of plant and animal species (38) are also federally listed as Endangered or Threatened (Table 3.1), including 2 plant and 7 animal species considered to be extirpated in Maryland.



Six of Maryland's 12 mammals state-listed as Endangered are whales that occasionally or regularly migrate offshore in the state's coastal waters. These 6 species are also federally listed. The Delmarva fox squirrel, state-listed as In Need of Conservation, is endemic to the Delmarva Peninsula region. Reintroductions led by MD DNR along with other conservation measures have allowed populations to expand into parts of its former range on the Delmarva Peninsula (Therres & Willey 2002). These recovery efforts, in part, prompted its removal in November 2015 from the federal Endangered Species list (U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service 2014). More state-listed mammals are found in western Maryland compared to other parts of the state, including several species associated with rock outcrop habitats (e.g., Allegheny woodrat, southern rock vole, long-tailed shrew). Three of Maryland's listed species are bats, two of which are also federally listed.

Twenty bird species are state-listed as Threatened or Endangered, including the federally listed piping plover, whose only nesting area in Maryland is confined to Assateague Island. Twelve species are state-listed as In Need of Conservation, including American peregrine falcon. This species was reclassified in recent years from Endangered in the state as more pairs have adapted to nesting on tall buildings in urban areas as a substitute for traditional cliff nesting sites. Many of the state-listed birds are restricted to coastal marshes and islands (e.g., black rail, royal tern, gull-billed tern, black skimmer) or high elevation montane forests and wetlands (e.g., Nashville warbler, northern goshawk, and northern waterthrush). Others, like golden-winged warbler, an early successional habitat and high elevation wetland specialist, and Henslow's sparrow and upland sandpiper, both grassland species, are also in significant decline. Other state-listed birds include the elusive Swainson's warbler, a highly area-sensitive, forested wetland-nesting bird that breeds only in the Pocomoke River watershed on the lower Eastern Shore.

Five of the sixteen Threatened and Endangered reptiles and amphibians are federally listed sea turtles, which forage offshore or in Maryland's estuaries during warmer summer months. One of Maryland's Endangered frogs, the mountain chorus frog, is a species that breeds in high elevation temporary pools; the last known occurrence in Maryland was in 1986. The hellbender, an aquatic salamander state-listed as Endangered and North America's largest amphibian, is threatened by degraded water quality in its western Maryland riverine habitat. The Wehrle's salamander and eastern spiny softshell are also western Maryland species; these are the only reptiles and amphibians listed as In Need of Conservation.

There are 12 Threatened and Endangered fishes in Maryland, of which the shortnose sturgeon, Atlantic sturgeon, and Maryland darter are also federally listed. The blackbanded sunfish, a blackwater stream specialist state-listed as Endangered, has suffered severe declines primarily due to habitat loss and degradation (MD DNR 2010b). Competition and predation by introduced fish species, as well as illegal collecting for the pet trade, are also of concern. Other examples of Threatened and Endangered fishes include stripeback darter, Chesapeake logperch, and American brook lamprey. The Maryland darter, the State's only endemic vertebrate, may now be extinct although it is currently listed as Endangered. It was last reported in a single riffle of Deer Creek in Harford County in 1988 (Raesly 1992). This species has specialized habitat requirements and may have been impacted by changes in water quality due to development and pollution. The three In Need of Conservation fishes



include two Coastal Plain species, mud sunfish and swamp darter, and striped shiner, which is found in western Maryland streams and rivers.

Many of Maryland's rarest and most imperiled wildlife species are invertebrates. Fifty-eight species are state-listed as Threatened or Endangered and 35 are listed as In Need of Conservation. Several of these species (e.g., Franz's cave isopod, Shenandoah cave amphipod, Hoffmaster's cave planarian) are highly specialized subterranean species found in springs, mines, and caves in Maryland's mountains. Freshwater mussels are one of the most imperiled species groups in the U.S. and are a major conservation concern. The majority of the state's 16 freshwater mussel species are rare, declining, or state listed. These include the Endangered dwarf wedgemussel whose Maryland range is confined to a handful of small streams on the Eastern Shore and in southern Maryland. Other state-listed invertebrates include 7 tiger beetle species (e.g., northeastern beach tiger beetle and Puritan tiger beetle, both federally listed as Threatened), 24 butterflies (e.g., bog copper, mottled duskywing, great purple hairstreak), 11 dragonflies (e.g., treetop emerald, elfin skimmer) and 3 snails (e.g., cherrydrop snail, Blue Ridge spring snail).

Table 3.1 Summary of all federal and state-listed species in Maryland. Source: COMAR, MD	
Division of State Documents.	

Federally Listed Species		
Category	Plants	Animals
Endangered	5	21
Threatened	4	8
Total	9	29

Categor
Endanger

Category	Plants	Animals
Endangered	263	96
Threatened	70	20
In Need of Conservation	n/a	35
Endangered Extirpated	86	32
Total	419	183

State-listed Species*

* Summary of state-listed species only includes species listed in COMAR 08.03.08.

Declining Species (and our opportunity to reverse that trend)

Although the state officially recognizes 183 animal species and 419 plant species in its protected species regulations, many other species are declining and may warrant listing in the next few decades. North America is home to more than a third of the world's mussel species, but nearly 70% of North America's 302 native mussel species are extinct or imperiled (USGS 2013). Approximately 20% of the 353 species of crayfish found in the United States are threatened (Helfrich & DiStefano 2009) and 39% of North America's freshwater fish are imperiled (Jelks et al. 2011). In addition, a number of commercial fish species are considered as In Need of Conservation in terms of management concerns and harvest regulation needs (COMAR 8.02.12).



The 2015 list of SGCN includes 128 pollinator insect species. Pollinators are important players in ecosystems around the world, and carry out an essential process that drives agriculture and the agricultural economy. Of the 240,000 flowering plant species around the world, roughly 75% rely on pollinators in order to reproduce (National Resource Council 2007). In the U.S., the estimated commercial value of crops that rely on pollination to produce fruit was roughly \$15.1 billion in 2009, with nearly \$12 billion of that sum directly attributed to pollination from honey bees. In the natural system, contributions of pollinator species to the maintenance of plants ultimately contributes to ecosystem services such as carbon sequestration, water filtration, soil erosion control, and genetic diversity enhancement (Pollinator Health Task Force 2015). However, habitat loss and fragmentation, pesticide use, pathogens, invasive species, and lack of genetic diversity threaten pollinators in the U.S. and in much of the world. In addition, social pollinators such as honeybees are threatened by colony collapse disorder. Attributed to overuse of pesticides, malnutrition, disease, and stress, colony collapse disorder is causing unprecedented death rates in bee populations across the United States. In light of the importance of pollinators in natural communities and in activities of human interest, it is critical that conservation planners focus on the integral roles of pollinators in Maryland and across North America, and on the threats that affect these species today.

Amphibians are exhibiting alarming rates of decline, with one in three species globally threatened (IUCN 2015), and, in the U.S., declines are occurring at an annual rate of 3.7% (Adams et al. 2013). Reptiles are exhibiting dramatic declines similar to that of amphibians, with 19% of the world's reptiles estimated to be threatened with extinction (IUCN 2013). Habitat loss and degradation, environmental pollution, unsustainable use, diseases, introduced invasive species, and global climate change are the leading causes for declining reptile populations (Gibbons et al. 2000).

Numerous bird species are showing population declines nationally, regionally, and locally. Twenty-five of 59 shorebird species, subspecies, and populations assessed in the U.S. Shorebird Conservation Plan's 2014 Watch List Assessment met criteria as at-risk species (U.S. Shorebird Conservation Plan Partnership 2015). A recent assessment of U.S. bird populations has noted stabilizing grassland bird populations, although intensifying farming practices and overgrazing remain a major threat for many still-declining species within this category of birds (North American Bird Conservation Initiative 2014). In 2004, Partners in Flight (PIF) ranked 30 forest birds, 12 shrub/early successional birds, 10 grassland/ agricultural birds, 7 wetland birds, and 1 urban/suburban bird (the chimney swift) as priority species for Maryland (Rosenberg 2004). Declining population trends remain a concern for most of these species today. Wetland bird populations have seen a gain of nearly 40% since 1968, but progress is hindered by continuing loss and degradation of wetlands, especially for southern species such as the king rail (North American Bird Conservation Initiative 2014).

Unlike birds, little is known about the population trends of many of Maryland's more secretive mammals. Recently, however, white-nose syndrome has devastated bat populations across eastern North America, and the combination of the many threats these species face has placed most bat species on the SGCN list. More information about white-nose syndrome and other threats to species can be found throughout Maryland's SWAP. The SWAP represents an opportunity to reverse these declining population trends for numerous species in greatest



need of conservation. By incorporating existing conservation ranks, population assessments and conservation plans into the development of the Maryland SWAP, MD DNR and its conservation partners have the opportunity to implement conservation actions that will have positive effects on species that truly have the greatest conservation need.

Species of Greatest Conservation Need (SGCN)

Maryland plant and wildlife species vary in their need and urgency for conservation. Some more obviously warrant conservation attention than others. State- and federally listed species are clearly high priorities but the Plan also provides the opportunity to consider species not currently listed but declining or at risk of decline. Specifically, in determining which species warrant SGCN status, Congress allows states to consider the "distribution and abundance of species of wildlife, including low and declining populations as the State fish and wildlife agency deems appropriate, that are indicative of the diversity and health of the State's wildlife," (Element #1).

Rather than focusing on a certain group or category of wildlife, the effort to identify SGCN evaluated the status of over 2,000 known animal species and considered the countless additional invertebrate species yet unnamed and unstudied in Maryland. By considering all species and their requisite habitats in this assessment, the broader interrelationships of wildlife conservation can be addressed. Using national and regional guidance and the best scientific information available, each species status was assessed to determine those of greatest conservation need. The criteria that were used during the assessment process and to ultimately identify these species were adopted from national and regional guidelines developed by the Association of Fish and Wildlife Agencies and found in the *Best Practices for State Wildlife Action Plans* (AFWA 2012), U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, and the Northeast Fish and Wildlife Diversity Technical Committee (NEFWDTC). Guidelines provided by the NEFWDTC were organized into the Northeast Lexicon document which is a set of common terminology developed by the Northeast states to facilitate interstate collaboration for all eight required elements in the SWAPs (Crisfield & NEWDTC 2013).

Fundamental considerations for assessing species conservation need and inclusion on/exclusion from the SGCN list include species abundance and trend; threat (number, immediacy, extent and/or reversibility of known threats to species populations); state responsibility (relative importance of the state to conservation of the species); habitat trend (changes in the extent or condition of habitat which may be closely related to threats); and information deficiency (lack of basic information needed to evaluate conservation status). In addition to these considerations and existing Maryland conservation status ranks, numerous state, regional, and national ranking systems that prioritize or rank species for each wildlife taxa group were used during this evaluation process. The criteria from all of these sources are included in Table 3.2 (for further details, see Appendix 3c).

Table 3.2 Categories considered for inclusion on Maryland's list of Species of Greatest Conservation Need (SGCN)

Special Conservation Status

Federally listed Threatened and Endangered plants and animals

State-listed Threatened and Endangered animals



Wildlife species listed as In Need of Conservation

Globally rare species ranked by NatureServe

MD DNR Natural Heritage Program tracked and watchlist animal species

IUCN Red List species

Northeast Regional Species of Greatest Conservation Need

Species recognized as endangered from international trade (CITES)

Recognized Bird Priority Status

Partners in Flight and all bird conservation plan priority species

US Fish & Wildlife Service's migratory birds of conservation and management concern

Colonial waterbirds

Forest interior breeding birds

Shrubland successional breeding birds at risk

Grassland breeding birds at risk

Shorebirds with significant migratory concentrations and declining populations

Marshland breeding birds (e.g., rails, bitterns, sedge wren) at risk

Marine birds in decline

Other Terrestrial Conservation Status Priorities

Reptiles and amphibians at risk

Bats at risk

Small mammals at risk

Terrestrial invertebrates at risk

Aquatic Conservation Status Priorities

Aquatic invertebrates at risk

Freshwater fish at risk

American Fisheries Society's species of concern

Depleted anadromous fish (e.g., shad spp., sturgeon)

Depleted marine invertebrates (e.g., horseshoe crab)

Sensitive aquatic species

Additional Selection Criteria

Endemic species

Disjunct species

Vulnerable species (to a variety of threats, particularly impacts from climate change and invasive species impacting host plant species)

Species with limited dispersal

Species with fragmented or isolated populations

Focal species (e.g., keystone species, species with specific needs)

Indicator species of high quality habitat

"Responsibility" species (i.e., species that have their center of range within Maryland)

Species that aggregate in concentration areas (e.g., migratory stopover sites, bat roosts/ maternity sites)



Identifying the SGCN in Maryland began with reviewing the previous 2005 SGCN list. Review of these species, using the guidance criteria explained above, provided an introductory understanding of species population changes over the last ten years. Decisions about which species to include on the draft 2015 SGCN list relied heavily on a review of the species current conservation status, based on both State and Global Conservation Ranks. The best available quantitative and qualitative data on status, abundance, distribution, and habitat associations for many species in the state were considered to confirm species conservation status and preliminary SGCN selection. Further justification for changes (additions and/or deletions) to the 2005 SGCN list, other than changes in conservation or legal status, included new discoveries and research findings since 2005; an increase (or decrease) of existing threats (e.g., illegal trade, spread of disease, increased loss of habitat); and new, emerging threats (e.g., white-nose syndrome, increase in operating wind turbines, hydrofracturing, loss of host species due to non-native pests). The overlap of priorities among groups, stakeholders, experts, agencies, and partner programs indicated significant agreement for criteria involved in the decision-making process and the species listings themselves. Species from the 2005 SGCN list that fell outside the review guidelines were removed, and new species that met the guidelines were added. This process resulted in 108 more animal species in the 2015 SGCN list compared to 2005, due to the addition of 202 additional species and removal of 94 species from the 2005 SGCN list (Table 3.3, Appendices 3e & 3f).

Taxa Group	2005 List	2015 List	Number Added	Number Deleted
Mammals	34	41	11	4
Birds	141	143	24	22
Reptiles	25	26	5	4
Amphibians	17	19	6	4
Fish	40	31	3	12
Beetles	23	22	7	8
Bees, Wasps and Ants	0	36	36	0
Butterflies and Moths	58	101	52	9
Dragonflies and Damselflies	100	93	15	22
Stoneflies, Mayflies and Caddisflies	1	14	14	1
Other Insects	4	6	3	1
Total Insects	186	272	127	41
Crustaceans and Allies	31	40	16	7
Snails	9	14	5	0
Freshwater Mussels	14	14	0	0
Flatworms	5	10	5	0
TOTALS	502	610	202	94

Table 3.3 Comparison of 2005 and 2015 Species of Greatest Conservation Need Lists



The final 2015 list of Maryland's SGCN includes 610 animals species, with 260 vertebrates and 350 invertebrates. The list is comprised of 41 mammals, 143 birds, 26 reptiles, 19 amphibians, 31 fish, 272 insects, and 78 other invertebrates (Table 3.4). In addition, 750 plant species are included as SGCN (Table 3.19). These are species at risk of disappearing from Maryland in the foreseeable future if appropriate conservation actions (Chapter 7) are not implemented. Complete lists with status ranking information can be found in this Chapter under each taxonomic group. There are separate Appendices listing wildlife SGCN alphabetically by common name, and also by scientific name (Appendix 3a & 3b). All major animal taxonomic groups were considered for the SGCN screening process: mammals, birds, reptiles, amphibians, fishes, insects, freshwater mussels, and other invertebrate groups, such as snails and flatworms. The state and global conservation ranks presented in Table 3.4 are discussed in the next section, as they were used as initial criteria for inclusion on the SGCN list. In 2015, all plants with a status of rare, threatened, or endangered were included as SGCN.

Taxa Group	Total*	SGCN	State- listed	Federally listed	S1 – S3 Ranked	G1 – G3 Ranked
	Total	buch	SGCN	SGCN	SGCN	SGCN
VERTEBRATES		260	89	21	158	38
Mammals	97	41	19	8	20	17
Birds	443	143	34	3	94	5
Reptiles	47	26	11	6	12	8
Amphibians	42	19	8		11	2
Fish	> 300	31	17	4	24	6
SELECT INVERTEBRATES		350	76	3	207	103
		INSE	CTS			
Beetles	> 15,000	22	9	2	16	10
Bees, Wasps and Ants	> 1,800	36			8	4
Butterflies and Moths	> 2,100	101	24		45	25
Dragonflies and Damselflies	170	93	11		85	8
Stoneflies, Mayflies and Caddisflies	> 290	14			1	14
Other Insects	-	6	1		2	1
OTHER INVERTEBRATES						
Crustaceans and Allies	-	40	20		28	20
Snails	> 150	14	3		7	10
Freshwater Mussels	18	14	6	1	11	7
Flatworms	> 40	10	2		5	4
TOTAL	Over 20,000	610	165	24	369	141

Table 3.4 Wildlife diversity of Maryland

*Native species, including those that have become extirpated in the state. See Table 3.5 for an explanation of S and G ranks



SGCN Selection: Conservation Ranks

Table 3.4 summarizes the state, federal, and global listings and conservation ranks for Maryland's wildlife species by taxa group. For additional regional, national, and international ranks, including for plants, see Appendices 3a, 3b, 3c, and 3j. The species ranks assigned and maintained by the Wildlife and Heritage Service's Natural Heritage Program (NHP) are the most complete list and accounting of wildlife species conservation status in Maryland. Data maintained by NHP represents the best available summary of information on the abundance, distribution, threats, and conservation status of wildlife species for the state, and these data were reviewed as one of the initial steps to determine which species are of greatest conservation need.

Conservation status ranks (i.e., the global rank [G-rank] and state rank [S-rank]) are determined by state natural heritage programs and NatureServe in consultation with numerous biologists, taxonomic experts, and other members of the scientific community, as well as other state, federal and local agencies, and NGO's. Definitions for these ranks can be found in Table 3.5. A variety of factors are considered when assessing a species conservation status rank. These factors fall into three groups - rarity, threats, and trends - which together provide a composite assessment of a species vulnerability to decline and extirpation (state ranks) or extinction (global ranks); see Appendix 3g for a more detailed explanation. These factors include:

- total number and condition/viability of occurrences (e.g., populations)
- population size
- range extent and area of occupancy
- short-term and long-term population trends
- scope, severity, and immediacy of threats
- intrinsic vulnerability
- environmental specificity

Most conservation status ranks follow a simple numerical scale of 1 - 5. When a species status assessment is completed, the most appropriate numeric rank is assigned from 1 (critically imperiled) to 5 (abundant, widespread, and/or demonstrably secure). Some additional non-numeric ranks may be most appropriate for a given species or subspecies, including "SH" for historically occurring species or "SX" for species thought to be extirpated with little, if any, hope of rediscovery within Maryland.

Table 3.5 Definitions of global (G) and state (S) conservation ranks and rank qualifiers

Rank	Definitions (Global / State)
GX or SX	Presumed Extirpated —Species believed to be extirpated from the jurisdiction (i.e.
	global, or state/province). Not located despite intensive searches of historical sites and
	other appropriate habitat, and virtually no likelihood that it will be rediscovered.
GH or SH	Historical (Possibly Extirpated)—Known only from historical records, but with still
	some hope of rediscovery. There is evidence that the species may no longer be present
	in the jurisdiction, (i.e. global, or state/province) but not enough to state this with
	certainty.



G1 or S1	Critically Imposiled/Ilighly State Days At your high right of entirection of
GI or SI	Critically Imperiled/Highly State Rare—At very high risk of extinction or
	extirpation due to very restricted range, very few populations or occurrences, very
	steep declines, very severe threats, or other factors. Typically occurring in fewer than
	five populations.
G2 or S2	Imperiled/State Rare—At high risk of extinction or extirpation due to restricted
	range, few populations or occurrences, steep declines, severe threats, or other factors.
~~~~~	Typically occurring in 6-20 populations.
G3 or S3	Vulnerable/Watchlist—At moderate risk of extinction or extirpation due to a fairly
	restricted range, relatively few populations or occurrences, recent and widespread
	declines, threats, or other factors. Typically occurring in 21-80 populations.
G4 or S4	Apparently Secure—At fairly low risk of extinction or extirpation due to an
	extensive range and/or many populations or occurrences, but with possible cause for
	some concern as a result of local recent declines, threats, or other factors.
G5 or S5	<b>Demonstrably Secure</b> —At very low risk of extinction or extirpation due to a very
	extensive range, abundant populations or occurrences, or little to no concern from
	declines or threats.
GU or SU	Status Uncertain—A numerical rank cannot be established with confidence for
	reasons including lack of historical records, low survey effort, cryptic nature of the
	species, or concerns that the species may not be native to the state. Uncertainty spans a
	range of 4- 5 ranks as defined above.
GNR or	Not ranked—Conservation status has not yet been fully assessed.
SNR	
SNA	Not a conservation target—Species is not a suitable target for most conservation
	actions because of its transient occurrence or other factors
<b>Global Qua</b>	lifiers
Q	Questionable—Indicates that the taxon has questionable, controversial, or uncertain
	taxonomic standing (e.g., treated by some taxonomic authors as a species, whereas
	others treat it as a subspecies or variety or not at all).
Т	<b>Taxon</b> —Indicates the rank of a subspecies or variety (i.e., an infraspecific taxon).
State Quali	fiers
?	Questionable—Indicating uncertainty that may span 2-3 numeric S-ranks, as defined
	above.
В	<b>Breeding</b> —Conservation status refers to Maryland's breeding population of a
	migratory animal.
Ν	<b>Nonbreeding</b> —Conservation status refers to Maryland's non-breeding population of a
	migratory animal.
Μ	<b>Migrant</b> —Migrant animal that occurs regularly during migration at particular staging
	areas or concentration spots where the species might warrant conservation attention.
	Conservation status refers to the aggregating migrant population of the species in the
	state.

Both global and state conservation ranks were very valuable in the process of selecting SGCN, as the factors used to calculate the ranks address several aspects of the SGCN definition. Table 3.6 describes the guidelines related to state and global ranks that were used in the initial steps of determining the SGCN list for Maryland. Consideration of other factors

**XX** < > > ×

in Table 3.2 and input from conservation partners and stakeholders, all in addition to this initial assessment, led to the final decisions as to what species are in greatest conservation need in the state. More information on the SGCN selection process, especially relating to working with our partners and stakeholders, can be found in Chapter 9.

Ranking	Explanation for SGCN Listing
G1 & G2 species that occur in Maryland	All are included because of their global imperilment and Maryland's responsibility in conserving the species.
G3 species that occur in Maryland	Many are included because of their global vulnerability and likelihood that they are of conservation value within the state due to their affinity with rare, declining, high quality or other significant habitats, or their importance as indicator species.
S1 and S2 species (either breeding or wintering for migrant species)	All are included because of their limited population size within Maryland and their elevated risk of loss from the state due to stochastic events or man-made habitat changes.
S3 species	Some are included based on limited or declining populations, or the threats they face are of sufficient scope, severity, or immediacy that their populations are likely to continue to decline without management or other intervention. Also, some S3 species may have been included due to their significance as indicator species within rare, high quality, or otherwise significant habitats even though they might not currently be facing severe or immediate threats.
S4 or S5 species	Some are included because of their importance as indicator or umbrella species of significant or high quality habitats, or because of known gradual, long-term population declines even though they are still considered relatively common within Maryland.
SH (historical)	Some are included because of their potential for rediscovery, albeit sometimes rather low. Most of these species have had insufficient survey work and additional surveys are needed to confirm their loss from Maryland. Some may be candidates for reintroduction efforts, as identified.
SX (extirpated)	A few are included if they have some reasonable potential for successful reintroduction efforts.
SU (uncertain)	Some are included if they have restricted distributions within Maryland and/or live in rare, declining, high quality, or otherwise significant habitats, even though insufficient information currently exists related to threats, statewide population size, or other primary factors that are needed to determine an accurate conservation status rank. These species are often good candidates for additional research into biological attributes that would enable more accurate priority ranks to be assigned.

Table 3.6 Cross-reference of Conservation Status Ranks and their use in SGCN listing



	A few are included when there is a high likelihood that the
	species could be located and documented within Maryland
SP (potential) or SR	given sufficient survey efforts, and that the species would
(reported)	have relatively high conservation value due to their global
	rarity or their affinity with rare, declining, high quality or
	other significant habitats.
	Because these are migrants or transitory species within
	Maryland, such that they could occur over a widespread area
SZN (or SNA)	for relatively short periods during migration or the winter, the
	species are included primarily when there is regional or
	federal concern regarding their status.

## **Conservation Status Groups**

As the factors and methods for determining species and natural community conservation status ranks have evolved over more than 30 years, the complexity of the ranking system has grown and the level of information contained within the ranks has increased. This is useful for conservation practitioners who understand the system's complexities, scientific rationale and intended applications. However, for the uninitiated and public at large, a simplified system in the form of a small matrix is provided in Figure 3.1.

		STATE STATUS									
		<b>S1</b>	S1         S2         S3         S4         S5         SNR / SU         SH								
	G1	А					D	Е			
<b>TUS</b>	G2	А	А				D	Е			
GLOBAL STATUS	G3	А	А	В			D	Е			
BAL	G4	А	В	С	С		D	Е			
GLO	G5	А	В	С	С	С	D	Е			
	GNR / GU	А	В		1.	•••	D	E			

## SGCN Categorization Matrix¹

¹To apply the matrix, "range" status ranks were rounded upward in priority (e.g., S2S3 = S2); "range" ranks spanning 3 ranks were considered as the middle rank (e.g., S1S3 = S2); global status ranks for subspecies with T-ranks are treated as the "T" status (e.g., G5T3 = G3). Most "non-numeric" state status ranks are classified in Group D, the "Data Deficient" group (e.g., SU, SNR, SP, SR), except for SH.

Figure 3.1 Matrix used to categorize species into five conservation status categories. Global and state ranks are defined in Table 3.5. Conservation status categories are defined in Table 3.7.



Group	Definition
А	Highest conservation status
В	High conservation status
С	Moderate conservation status
D	Conservation status is uncertain; insufficient data to assign a state
D	conservation status rank.
Е	Historical status; ranked as "SH" and may no longer occur in Maryland,
E	but with some potential for rediscovery in the foreseeable future.

Table 3.7 Definitions of the five conservation status categories from Figure 3.1 based on grouping global and state conservation status ranks.

This matrix was used to assign SGCN wildlife to conservation status groups to provide an overarching and less technical view of the state of Maryland's wildlife species. Taking into account state and global ranks, this system especially highlights those species and taxonomic groups most in need of conservation (species in the A status group) and also those which are in danger due to lack of knowledge and research on these species (D status group). For a complete listing of wildlife SGCN organized by conservation status group, please see Appendix 3h. Figure 3.2 presents the percentages of SGCN in each taxonomic group classified into the five conservation status groups. Taxonomic groups with highest conservation status and at highest risk of elimination include beetles (59% classified as Status Group A), crustaceans and allies (55% classified as Status Group A), freshwater mussels (50% classified as Status Group A), fishes (45% classified as Status Group A), and dragonflies and damselflies (42% classified as Status Group A). Four of these five species groups live in aquatic habitats. Taxonomic groups with highest need of further inventory, research, and monitoring activities are largely concentrated in the invertebrate taxonomic groups, including stoneflies, mayflies, and caddisflies (93% classified as Status Group D), bees, wasps, and ants (67% classified as Status Group D), snails (50% classified as Status Group D), butterflies and moths (43% classified as Status Group D), and other insects, a taxonomic group which includes a collection of relatively unstudied insect species (67% classified as Status Group D). Forty-four percent of mammals are classified as Status Group D as well; this status was assigned mainly to bats and aquatic mammals, including whales and dolphins, whose migratory range in Maryland has not been fully investigated. Because they are relatively well studied, only eight percent of birds are categorized in Status Group D, in need of further research, monitoring, or inventory efforts.





Figure 0.2 Percentages of species by taxa groups in each conservation status group. Conservation status groups are designated by letter as follows: A: highest; B: high; C: moderate; D: uncertain; E: historical.

# **Regional Context for Species of Greatest Conservation Need:** *An Additional Factor for SGCN Selection*

As states developed nongame and endangered species programs in the 1980s, their conservation efforts focused primarily on species that were state or federally listed. Although distribution and abundance data for taxonomic groups other than birds was limited, <u>the</u> Northeast Fish and Wildlife Diversity Technical Committee (NEFWDTC) applied this focus, along with additional priority setting methods, to nongame wildlife taxa in the Northeast region. Coordinated regional species lists were compiled beginning in the 1980s (French & Pence 2000) and led to the first region-wide list of species in need of conservation published by the Committee and subsequent species accounts (Terwilliger 2001). Hunt (2005) adapted the methodology to rank fish and wildlife Action Plan. This methodology was applied regionally in 2010 by the Northeast Partners in Amphibian and Reptile Conservation (NEPARC) to identify high priority species of northeast regional herpetofauna.



This evolving priority-setting process and Regional Species of Greatest Conservation Need (RSGCN) list is built upon the concept of review and re-evaluation by the NEFWDTC in order to maintain a current list of species that are of regional conservation interest. The most recent effort (2011-2013) highlights collaboration between the NEFWDTC and the North Atlantic Landscape Conservation Cooperative (NALCC) to improve and implement a screening of Northeast wildlife for conservation need and responsibility, and better capture and quantify species risk in the region. This effort resulted in 366 species or subspecies identified as RSGCN in the Northeast region (Appendix 3i), and Maryland has used this list as criteria in evaluating their 2015 SGCN list.

All major vertebrate taxonomic groups were considered for the RSGCN screening process: mammals (including marine mammals), birds, reptiles, amphibians, and freshwater and marine fish. Tiger beetles and freshwater mussels were also included. Due to insufficient information, many groups of invertebrates were not included. Instead, only the federally listed or candidate species were included until a more thorough review can be completed for these important taxa. Several invertebrate taxa groups (e.g., odonates and mussels) are the subject of current Regional Conservation Need project status reviews by experts in the region and will result in updated invertebrate lists.

The RSGCN screening criteria were applied to all 14 jurisdictions in the Northeast, with the intention that: 1) the list is available for voluntary adoption by states in their planning processes including Wildlife Action Plan revisions and, 2) the process and results satisfy certain Wildlife Action Plan requirements under Element 1. Additional factors such as emerging threats (e.g., diseases), changes in taxonomy, and other important updates are incorporated into the process as well.

Species on the RSGCN list are categorized according to "conservation need" (i.e., the percentage of Northeast states that list the species as SGCN in their 2005 SWAP) and "regional responsibility" (i.e., the percentage of the species North American range that occurs in the Northeast). This methodology was adapted from distribution and risk-based prioritizations used for birds (Carter et al. 2000; Wells et al. 2010), reptiles and amphibians (NEPARC 2010), and state agency endangered species lists (Hunt 1997, Joseph et. al. 2008, Wells et. al. 2010). Additional analyses were applied by the NALCC to a composite list of 2,398 species published in the 2005 Northeast SWAPs (Whitlock 2006) and applications will continue to be developed through collaboration with the Northeast states and NEFWDTC. Through this process, 366 species or subspecies have more than 50% of their North American range in the Northeast region or are identified by more than half of Northeast states as being species of greatest conservation need in 2005 State Wildlife Action Plans (Terwilliger & NEFWDTC 2013).

Major taxonomic groups of all species in the Northeast with the highest percentage of RSGCN include amphibians (40%), reptiles (39%), and tiger beetles (39%). Table 3.8 outlines the number of Northeast RSGCN by major taxonomic group. The large number of species included in these lists reflects the magnitude of the threats facing fish and wildlife species in the Northeast, as well as the commendable efforts of the individual Northeast states to ensure that their State Wildlife Action Plans were comprehensive in their coverage of species within these major taxonomic groups (Terwilliger & NEFWDTC 2013).



or taxonomic group			
Taxonomic Group	Number of RSGCN Species		
Mammals	45		
Birds	110		
Reptiles	29		
Amphibians	36		
Fishes	101		
Tiger Beetles ¹	11		
Freshwater Mussels	23		
Other Federally Listed Invertebrates	11		
Total	366		

#### Table 3.8 RSGCN by major taxonomic group

¹ In Maryland's SWAP, 'Tiger Beetles' fall under the category of 'Beetles' and are not counted separately Source: Terwilliger & NEFWDTC 2013.

# Mammals of Maryland

A total of 97 native mammal species have been documented in the state, including 28 marine mammals. The 69 land mammals are represented by 12 shrews and moles, 12 bats, 3 rabbits and hares, 22 rodents, 16 carnivores, 3 ungulates, and one marsupial, the Virginia opossum. Six non-native species have been introduced in Maryland, including Norway rat (*Rattus norvegicus*), house mouse (*Mus musculus*), sika deer (*Cervus nippon*), and nutria (*Myocastor coypus*). Most of Maryland's native extant mammals have a statewide distribution. However, the Appalachian Plateau physiographic region, followed by the Ridge and Valley, support the highest diversity and the majority of the state's most imperiled mammals.

Twenty-one mammals in Maryland are game species with regulated hunting or trapping seasons. MD DNR's Wildlife and Heritage Service maintains several programs that monitor the status of game mammal species, including the deer, bear, small game, and furbearer projects. The white-tailed deer (*Odocoileus virginianus*) management program monitors abundance and distribution in the state and regulates deer hunting seasons to maintain healthy deer populations within biological and cultural carrying capacities. A deer management plan (MD DNR 2008) was first developed by DNR in 1998 and is revised on a ten-year basis. Before implementation of this plan, which included creating one of the first ever urban/suburban deer management programs in the U.S., deer populations had drastically rebounded from historic lows. Populations doubled or more in most counties, increasing as much as 5-7 times (MD DNR 2008). Today, Maryland deer hunters enjoy very liberal deer seasons and bag limits, and, as a result, harvest more antlerless deer per square mile than any other state or province in North America. Deer population statistics have been on a declining trend since the deer population peaked in 2002 at nearly 295,000 deer. The 2014 white-tailed deer population was estimated at 227,000 (MD DNR 2015a).

Having reached historical lows in the mid-twentieth century, Maryland's black bear (*Ursus americanus*) population has increased dramatically in western Maryland over the past few



decades. The first black bear management plan went into effect in 1992, and was followed by another 10-year management plan in 2004 (MD DNR 2004a). The bear population in Garrett and Allegany counties has increased 94% since 2005, with a tally of 701 bears in the two counties (MD DNR 2013).

The furbearer management program monitors and collects biological information on 14 mammal species that are legally harvested for their fur, either currently or historically. These species include gray fox (*Urocyon cinereoargenteus*), red fox (*Vulpes vulpes*), muskrat (*Ondatra zibethicus*), beaver (*Castor canadensis*), and raccoon (*Procyon lotor*). Over the past few decades, river otters (*Lontra canadensis*) and fishers have become re-established throughout most of their historical range in Maryland and coyotes are now present throughout the state. Trappers have taken an average of 258 otters and 52 fishers annually for the past 10 years. Fishers were extirpated from Maryland by the early 20th century, but have now expanded their range throughout western Maryland and into Frederick County from re-established populations in Pennsylvania and West Virginia.

Exotic species of mammals have become established in Maryland either through intentional or unintentional introductions. The house mouse and Norway rat arrived in Maryland with the earliest waves of Europeans to the Americas. Native to East Asia, sika deer were released in Maryland on James Island in 1916 and on Assateague Island around 1930. They have expanded their range in Maryland, now occupying five counties on Maryland's Eastern Shore (MD DNR 2015a). Nutria, a 15-20 lb rodent species of South American origin, has displaced the native muskrat in many coastal marsh regions and has impacted the marshes themselves. Able to breed throughout the year and sometimes "eating out" marsh vegetation, nutria greatly alter the marsh ecosystem and is a nuisance species. An aggressive nutria eradication program is currently underway throughout the Chesapeake and Delaware Bay regions; see Chapter 5 for more information.

#### **SGCN Mammals of Maryland**

Forty-one (42%) of the state's 97 native mammal species were identified as Species of Greatest Conservation Need (SGCN) (Table 3.10). Nineteen of these are state-listed, of which eight (3 bat species, 5 whale species; see Table 3.10) are also federally listed. The group also comprises 28 species of regional conservation concern in the northeastern U.S., 17 globally rare species, and six species that are otherwise declining, at risk, or of uncertain status and warrant conservation concern. The vast majority (81%, 33 species) are represented by three broad groups: bats, marine mammals, and species that are restricted, or mostly so, to montane habitats in western Maryland. These groups are described below. In addition, several small mammals occur in a wider variety of forested and open habitats, such as mink and least weasel. On Maryland's Eastern Shore, the Delmarva fox squirrel depends on forested habitats with at least some larger mast-producing trees, and least shrew can be found in coastal marshes (as well as in other habitats).

#### **SGCN Montane Mammals**

The state's greatest mammal diversity lies in the mountainous western region, which encompasses the Blue Ridge, Ridge and Valley and Appalachian Plateau physiographic provinces. Some 57 mammal species have been documented here, although eight are either



extirpated from the state (snowshoe hare [*Lepus americanus*], gray wolf [*Canis lupus*], American marten [*Martes americana*], elk [*Cervus elaphus*], American bison [*Bos bison*]), extinct (eastern cougar [*Felis concolor couguar*]) or their presence remains in question (eastern spotted skunk, Virginia northern flying squirrel). Of those still extant, approximately half (25 species) are species of greatest conservation need, including ten bat species (see subsequent section on SGCN bats) and nearly all of the state's most critically imperiled mammals. Twelve of these species occur only, or nearly so, in the western region with Garrett County supporting the greatest number of SGCN land mammals.

Many of western Maryland's SGCN mammals are associated with rare or uncommon montane habitats within large forested landscapes. Among the most specialized mammals is the southern water shrew, a globally rare species listed as Endangered in Maryland. Feeding primarily on aquatic insect larvae (e.g., mayflies, caddisflies, stoneflies), this small (~15 cm), uniquely adapted, semi-aquatic mammal is restricted to pristine, high elevation headwater streams in the central and southern Appalachians. The streams are typically bordered by bog wetlands and mature cool, moist forests dominated by northern hardwoods, hemlock, and/or red spruce, often with dense rhododendron thickets. In Maryland, small populations remain along just 6-7 streams, all in Garrett County. Another globally rare, Appalachian endemic with stringent habitat requirements is the southern rock vole (*Microtus chrotorrhinus carolinensis*). Known from just three Maryland sites, this Endangered mammal occurs in mesic, mature to old growth northern hardwood-hemlock forest with extensive, moss-

covered boulderfields that often lay over springs.

At least eight SGCN mammals are associated with rock outcroppings and talus in western Maryland. These unique montane habitats are used seasonally or year-round as den sites, young-rearing areas, refugia, escape cover, and for foraging or hunting. SGCN mammals that use one or both of these habitats include eastern spotted skunk, bobcat, North American porcupine, and eastern small-footed myotis. Perhaps best exemplifying this habitat association is the Endangered Allegheny woodrat. An agile climber, it occurs almost



Eastern spotted skunk (USFWS)

exclusively in extensive rock outcroppings and talus slopes (and occasionally caves) surrounded by mast-bearing, mature to old growth forest (Thompson 1984, Feller 1994, Ford et al. 2006, Mengak et al. 2008). Although historically occurring as far east as the western edge of Washington DC, today its Maryland range is limited to a handful of widely scattered sites in the four westernmost counties, mostly along or near mountain ridge crests. Like many SGCN species, the Allegheny woodrat is sensitive to forest fragmentation, and maintaining adequate connectivity between sites to allow for dispersal and gene flow is key to the species survival.

One species requiring montane talus habitat is the long-tailed shrew. It is limited to mesic forest containing large areas of loose talus where it preys primarily on small invertebrates



such as spiders, beetles and centipedes. Although found throughout the Appalachians, it occurs in highly localized, widely scattered locations and is rare to uncommon in parts of its range, including Maryland where it is state-listed as In Need of Conservation. Another montane habitat specialist is Appalachian cottontail. It is restricted, in part, to heavily forested, high elevation areas with extensive dense ericaceous vegetation (e.g., mountain laurel [Kalmia latifolia], great rhododendron [Rhododendron maximum]), especially along mountain ridgetops and slopes with extensive outcroppings and talus which provide thermal refugia and escape cover. It also occurs in natural shrubland and semi-open woodland habitats, such as shale barrens and sandstone glades. Appalachian cottontail is state-listed as In Need of Conservation and is a species of regional concern because of known or potential declines due to habitat loss, fragmentation, and competition with the ubiquitous eastern cottontail.

## **SGCN Bats**

All 12 species of bats occurring in Maryland are considered to be SGCN. Unlike other mammals such as rabbits and mice, bats have a low reproduction rate, but make up for it by living long lives, provided they survive the many stressors and hazards in their environment. As a result of these threats, the Indiana bat and northern longeared bat are federally listed, and the eastern small-footed myotis is state-listed as Endangered. Three more bat species are under review for possible state listing because of white-nose syndrome, a fungus that has killed millions of bats in the eastern United States (USGS 2015).



Indiana bat (Adam Mann, USFWS)

All bats in Maryland are insectivorous and use a highly

sophisticated system of echolocation to find and catch insects in mid-air or glean from foliage. Bats are the primary predators of night flying insects, and can almost eat their weight in insects every night. Many of the insects eaten by bats are pests of gardens and farm crops (Webster et al. 1985).

Although bats in Maryland can be divided into groups based on life history strategies, in general they tend to select roosts near permanent water such as streams, rivers, ponds and lakes. Since insects are generally not active during winter, some of Maryland's bats migrate south and others fly to overwinter in hibernacula such as caves and abandoned sub-surface mines. Migratory bats include eastern red bats, hoary bats, silver-haired bats, and Seminole bats. Eastern red bats have been documented as occurring year-round in Maryland, although it is unknown if the bats that are present in the summer are the same as the individuals that overwinter. Red bats prefer mature deciduous trees to roost in during the summer (Limpert et al. 2007) and will overwinter under leaf litter in the fall and winter, while hoary bats prefer evergreen trees as summer roosts. Further south, Seminole bats prefer to roost in clumps of Spanish moss (*Tillandsia usneoides*), but not much is known about roost choices in Maryland since Spanish moss is no longer extant. Hoary bats are the largest bats in Maryland and occur throughout the state with a similar pattern to red bats. They prefer to roost in coniferous trees in clumps of foliage (Webster et al. 1985).



Silver-haired bats have not been documented as breeding in Maryland but have been documented during spring and fall migration periods. Silver-haired bats like to roost under bark crevices and in woodpecker holes, and occasionally are found in wood piles, open sheds, and rock crevices. Biologists think that silver-haired and hoary bats migrate south in the fall to areas where insects are active all year, however, much is still unknown about these species because they tend to roost singly or in family groups, are small in size, and are secretive in nature (Webster et al. 1985).

The remaining SGCN bats hibernate during the winter months when food is not available (little brown bat, big brown bat, northern long-eared bat, tricolored bat [formerly known as eastern pipistrelle], eastern small-footed myotis, and Indiana myotis). These species winter in caves, mines, and abandoned railroad tunnels in Maryland, although big brown bats will sometimes overwinter in buildings or bat boxes. Not much is known about where evening bats in Maryland spend the winter. Bats in this group may travel a hundred miles or more between their summer and winter roosts. Tricolored bats were once the most abundant wintering species in Maryland's caves, mines, and tunnels, but populations of this species, as well as little brown bats and northern long-eared bats, have been decimated by white-nose syndrome in addition to suffering other threats and stressors (D. Feller, unpublished data; MD DNR 2015b). During the summer breeding season, most of these species form loose colonies of females and pups (maternity colonies) in snags and hollow trees, under loose bark, in buildings, and in bat roosting boxes. Eastern small-footed bats differ in that they select rock outcrops for maternity sites. Males of these species tend to roost alone or in small bachelor colonies in similar habitats during the summer months.

## **SGCN Marine Mammals**

Marine mammals encountered to date or are likely to occur in ocean waters off of Maryland and in the Chesapeake or Coastal Bays include 28 species: 23 cetaceans (whales, dolphins, porpoises), 4 pinnipeds (seals), and the West Indian manatee (Litwiler 2001). Of these 28, 10 cetaceans have been selected as SGCN mammals.

Cetaceans are divided into two groups, the baleen whales and the toothed whales. Baleen whales have large strips of whalebone or baleen instead of teeth which is used to filter water and food. Baleen whales do not echolocate but do use sound to communicate.



Bottlenose dolphin (George Jett)

SGCN baleen whales include the sei, blue, fin, humpback, and North Atlantic right whale. All of these species with the exception of humpback whale are federally listed as Endangered and population stocks are classified as depleted by the Marine Mammal Protection Act (MMPA). The federal status of the humpback whale was under review for delisting in April 2015, which resulted in subdividing the global population into 14 distinct population segments. The population segment that encompasses Maryland was downlisted to "not at risk" status (NOAA 2015a). All baleen whales are state-listed as Endangered.



Baleen whales in general spend the summer much further north of Maryland waters and migrate through to calving grounds much further south. Most sightings of baleen whales off the coast of Maryland occur in the fall, winter, and spring. The primary diet of these species includes krill, copepods, small fish in schools, and squid, which they can consume in vast quantities. Sei whales can be found in subtropical to subpolar oceans on the continental shelf edge and slope, often singly or in small groups of 2-5 individuals. Blue whales tend to be further offshore than other baleen whales (NOAA 2015c) and in general their movements are correlated with krill concentrations. Fin whales form social groups of 2-7 individuals but may feed with humpback whales, minke whales, and Atlantic white-sided dolphins (NOAA 2015d). Humpbacks engage in hunting techniques involving the creation of air bubbles to herd and trap fish. Bubble netting is unique to humpbacks and is a hunting strategy where individuals cooperate together to trap fish (NOAA 2015a). Right whales got their name because they have a layer of fat that floats them to the surface when dead. Early whalers referred to them as the "right" whales to hunt. Right whales are the rarest of baleen whales and among the rarest of marine mammals with only 450 individuals estimated in the North Atlantic. Their diet is primarily zooplankton but, unlike other baleen whales, they skim the water with their mouths open through a concentration of zooplankton. North Atlantic right whales occur in coastal or shelf waters (NOAA 2015e).

Species of toothed whales on Maryland's SGCN list include the sperm whale, three beaked whales (Gervais', True's, and Cuvier's) and one dolphin (bottlenose dolphin). The only species federally listed as Endangered, with stocks listed as depleted by the MMPA, is the sperm whale. The Western North Atlantic coastal stock of bottlenose dolphins is also listed as depleted by the MMPA. Only the sperm whale is state-listed as Endangered. Sperm whales can dive to 3,000 feet for an hour to feed on prey including large squid, sharks, skates, and fishes. Females form social bonds with other females and their young, and tend to stay in the same unit all their lives in tropical waters, whereas, young males form bachelor groups. As the young males age, they move polewards and become more solitary over time (NOAA 2015f).

Beaked whales are challenging to distinguish from one another and are very hard to observe. Cuvier's beaked whales are deep divers and can dive 3,300 feet for 20-40 minutes going after squid and octopus, but will also eat fish and crustaceans. Gervais' and True's beaked whales are found alone or in small groups and their main prey are squid, shrimp, and fish. (NOAA 2015g; NOAA 2015h; NOAA 2015i). Bottlenose dolphins in the Mid-Atlantic are of two types, a coastal type which is the one designated as "depleted" by the MMPA and the offshore type. The coastal type is the one most likely to be found off the coast of Maryland. Similarly, Williams et al. (2015) found the coastal type most prevalent in the nearshore part of the study area in summer and remaining until fall, returning in the spring. Bottlenose dolphins were also the most abundant delphinid observed. Their diet consists of invertebrates, squids, and fish, and echolocation is used to find food; sometimes these dolphins employ a strategy known as "fish whacking" where they use their flukes to smack fish out of the water (NOAA 2015j).



#### **Threats to SGCN Mammals**

Threats to SGCN mammals are varied, reflecting the unique life histories and habitat requirements of this diverse group of species. Extensive forested, mountain ridgetop areas containing rock outcrops, talus slopes, and mature to old growth forest are among the most important SGCN mammal habitats in Maryland. These areas are threatened by energy development, especially wind power development and coal strip mining, as well as other forms of human disturbances, such as residential development and incompatible timber harvest practices. They provide critical habitat for Allegheny woodrat, long-tailed shrew, southern rock vole, and eastern small-footed myotis and can provide important habitat for other mammals, such as Appalachian cottontail, bobcat, and smoky shrew. The loss of high elevation red spruce and hemlock forest contributed to the extirpation of snowshoe hare and the decline of other mammals, including southern rock vole. This unique forest habitat and associated mammal fauna are likely to continue to be impacted by climate change, introduced species (e.g., hemlock wooly adelgid), and conversion to nonforested land uses. The southern water shrew, which requires high quality montane streams surrounded by old forest and high elevation wetlands, is vulnerable to a variety of land uses that could eliminate or degrade its requisite habitat. SGCN mammals in other parts of the state are similarly threatened by habitat loss and degradation.

Bats face particular threats to their food source through pesticide use and reduction of aquatic prey due to pollution, and are sensitive to disturbance during hibernation and while in maternity colonies located in tree cavities, rock outcrops (eastern small-footed myotis), and human structures. The removal of large tree snags and forest cover affects species such as Indiana myotis, northern long-eared bat, and red bat. At present, the greatest threat faced by a number of bat species is the recently introduced disease white-nose syndrome (WNS). Caused by a fungus (*Pseudogymnoascus destructans*), WNS was first detected in New York in the winter of 2006-2007 and has rapidly spread throughout the Eastern U.S., decimating bat populations in most states east of the Mississippi River (Turner et al. 2011). The eastern small-footed myotis may be the least common cave bat in the Northeast and is vulnerable to extirpation by chance events, like WNS, affecting isolated colonies concentrated in hibernacula. One of the biggest threats to migratory bat species is direct mortality from interactions with industrial wind turbines, which is estimated to kill as many as 888,000 migratory bats in North America per year (Smallwood 2013). In an effort to track effects of wind turbines on Endangered species, the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service documents Indiana bat fatalities at wind energy facilities. Finally, urbanization has increased the level of competition and disease transmission between some SGCN and other species, such as raccoons, that adapt well to human-altered landscapes.

Marine mammals also face threats from pollutants and toxins dumped in the ocean, as well as entanglement in fishing gear, incidental take, and injuries from ship strikes. The coastal type of bottlenose dolphin has suffered viral outbreaks in recent times resulting in death and strandings. There is also growing concern about the effects of anthropogenic noise pollution on deep diving marine mammals. The possible effects of offshore wind turbine development on marine mammals and other marine taxa have been flagged for further study.



#### **Conservation Actions and Information Needs for SGCN Mammals**

Some of the conservation actions needed to address threats to specific SGCN are presented in recovery plans for federally listed species (e.g., Indiana myotis, certain whale species) (Table 3.9). For many SGCN mammals, the protection of critical forest, wetland, and rock outcrop habitat represents the most urgent and important conservation need. The best and, in some cases, only remaining habitat for some species is confined to MD DNR lands. For this reason, incorporating species and habitat conservation needs into public land management plans takes on an even greater importance. Landscape habitat models may help provide more effective conservation strategies for species with large home ranges (e.g., bobcat) or that occur as metapopulations and require large forested landscapes with minimal fragmentation (e.g., Allegheny woodrat). The restoration of high elevation red spruce-hemlock forest, along with efforts to minimize impacts from hemlock wooly adelgid, would provide important habitat for several SGCN mammals, as well as other SGCN taxa, and may provide opportunities for population reintroductions. Public education and working with mining, wind energy, and other industries that commonly cause disturbance in bat habitat could help to minimize bat mortality and deter the presence of invasive or pest species, such as domestic cats, near SGCN habitat.

To determine additional conservation measures, specific information or research is needed for some SGCN. The fossorial and nocturnal habits of many SGCN mammals make inventory, monitoring, and research on basic biology and habitat needs a particular challenge for this group. For wide-ranging species, understanding the landscape configuration needed to maintain metapopulations is of primary importance. Documenting the migratory flyways of bats and how to deter collisions with wind turbines are becoming more pressing issues as wind power development increases in the eastern U.S. Best management practices need to be developed to minimize the impacts of agricultural and timber harvest activities on forest and wetland mammals.

The Marine Mammal Protection Act (MMPA) of 1972 was monumental in that it provided ecosystem-level protection to all marine mammals in U.S. waters. Before the MMPA, marine mammals were protected by species on an as-needed basis to prevent total depletion of atrisk species, but the MMPA reaches across all marine mammal categories in its prohibition of take or harassment of marine mammals. The MMPA is carried out by the Secretary of the Interior through the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service and by the Department of Commerce through the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA). NOAA is responsible for managing pinnipeds, including cetaceans such as whale and dolphins.



Mammal Species	Federal Recovery Plan	Recent Action
Delmarva fox squirrel	<u>USFWS 1993</u>	2014: Draft post-delisting monitoring plan
Indiana myotis	<b>USFWS 2007</b>	2014: Initiation of 5-year review
Blue whale	<u>NOAA Fisheries</u> <u>1998</u>	2012: Notice of intent to update recovery plan, request for more information
Fin whale	NOAA Fisheries 2010	2011: 5-year review, summary, & evaluation
Humpback whale	NOAA Fisheries <u>1991</u>	2015: Status review completed
North Atlantic right whale	<u>NOAA Fisheries</u> 2004	2013: NOAA extends rule reducing risk of whale ship strikes along U.S. East Coast 2015: Proposed rule to expand critical habitat
Sei whale	NOAA Fisheries 2011	2012: 5-year review, summary, & evaluation
Sperm whaleNOAA Fisheries 2010		2015: 5-year review, summary, & evaluation

Table 3.9 Existing federal recovery plans for SGCN mammal species.

## Table 3.10 Mammal Species of Greatest Conservation Need in Maryland

Common Name	Scientific Name	G- Rank ¹	S-Rank ¹	State- listed ²	Federally listed ²	Conservation status group ³
Allegheny woodrat	Neotoma magister	G3G4	<b>S</b> 1	Е		А
American mink	Neovison vison	G5	S4			С
Appalachian cottontail	Sylvilagus obscurus	G4	S1	Ι		А
Big brown bat	Eptesicus fuscus	G5	S5			С
Blue whale	Balaenoptera musculus	G3G4	SNA	E	E	D
Bobcat	Lynx rufus	G5	S3	Ι		С
Bottlenose dolphin	Tursiops truncatus	G5	SNR			D
Cuvier's beaked whale	Ziphius cavirostris	G4	SNR			D
Delmarva fox squirrel	Sciurus niger cinereus	G5T3	S1	Ι		А
Eastern harvest mouse	Reithrodontomys humulis	G5	SH	Х		Е
Eastern red bat	Lasiurus borealis	G4	SUB**, SUN**			D
Eastern small- footed myotis	Myotis leibii	G3G4	S1	E		А



Common Name	Scientific	G-	S-Rank ¹	State-	Federally	Conservation
Common Manie	Name	Rank ¹	D-Kalik	listed ²	listed ²	status group ³
Eastern spotted	Spilogale	G4	<b>S</b> 1			А
skunk	putorius	01				
Evening bat	Nycticeius	G5	SUB**,			D
2. • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	humeralis		SUN**			
Fin whale	Balaenoptera	G3G4	SNA	Е	Е	D
	physalus					
Gervais beaked	Mesoplodon	G3	SNR			D
whale	europaeus					
Hoary bat	Lasiurus	G4	SUB**,			D
•	cinereus		SUN**			
Humpback whale	Megaptera	G4	SNA	E	Е	D
Indiana mustia	novaeangliae Muatia adalia	<u>C</u> 2	<u><u> </u></u>	E	E	•
Indiana myotis Least shrew	Myotis sodalis	G2 G5	S1 S3S5	E	E	A C
Least shrew Least weasel	Cryptotis parva Mustela nivalis	G5 G5	S2S3	Ι		B
Little brown	Mustela nivalis	63	5255	1		В
	Myotis lucifugus	G3G4	S1**			А
myotis Long-tailed shrew	Sonor dianan	G4	S2	Ι		В
North American	Sorex dispar Erethizon	04	52	1		D
porcupine	dorsatum	G5	S3S4			С
North Atlantic	Eubalaena					
right whale	glacialis	G1	SNA	E	E	D
Northern long-	Myotis					
eared bat	septentrionalis	G1G2	S1**	Т	Т	А
	Balaenoptera					
Sei whale	borealis	G3	SNA	E	E	D
	Lasiurus		SUB**,			
Seminole bat	seminolus	G5	SUN**			D
	Lasionycteris		SUB**,			
Silver-haired bat	noctivagans	G4	SUN**			D
Smoky shrew	Sorex fumeus	G5	S2S3	Ι		В
Southeastern	Myotis					
myotis	austroriparius	G3G4	SU**			D
Southeastern	Sorex	07	6264			G
shrew	longirostris	G5	S3S4			С
Southeastern star-	Condylura	C C T 4	OL I			5
nosed mole	cristata parva	G5T4	SU			D
Southern bog	Synaptomys	<u> </u>	62			C
lemming	cooperi	G5	S3			С
Southern pygmy	Sorex hoyi	G5T4	S2			В
shrew	winnemana	0314	52			D
	Microtus					
Southern rock vole	chrotorrhinus	G4T3	<b>S</b> 1	E		А
	carolinensis					



Common Name	Scientific Name	G- Rank ¹	S-Rank ¹	State- listed ²	Federally listed ²	Conservation status group ³
Southern water shrew	Sorex palustris punctulatus	G5T3	<b>S</b> 1	E		А
Sperm whale	Physeter catodon	G3G4	SNA	E	Ε	D
Tricolored bat	Perimyotis subflavus	G3G4	S1**			А
True's beaked whale	Mesoplodon mirus	G3	SNR			D
Virginia northern flying squirrel	Glaucomys sabrinus fuscus	G5T2	SP			D

 1  = See Table 3.5 for S-rank and G-rank definitions

² = T (Threatened); E (Endangered); I (In Need of Conservation); X (Endangered Extirpated)

 3  = See Table 3.7 for Conservation Status definitions

* = a qualifier denoting the species is listed in a limited geographic area only

** = Proposed State Rank changes

# **Birds of Maryland**

Birds are the most familiar and widely enjoyed wildlife in North America. As of 2015, 449 species of birds have been documented at least once in the state as represented in the "Official List of the Birds of Maryland" (Maryland Ornithological Society 2015). This list includes two species that have been extirpated from the state (trumpeter swan [Cygnus buccinator] and greater prairie chicken [Tympanuchus cupido]), two extinct species (passenger pigeon and Carolina parakeet), five introduced species that have become naturalized, and a large number of species that have made their way into Maryland only once or a few times since records were first kept in 1804.

Most Maryland birds are migratory and do not spend the entire year in the state, although some, such as the pileated woodpecker and northern bobwhite, are non-migratory and permanent year-round residents. Many species that breed in the state migrate to other areas outside of the breeding season. Some more northerly breeding species migrate south to Maryland and spend the winter here, while other species simply pass through the state during spring and fall migration periods. Less common are species like golden-crowned kinglet and dark-eyed junco that breed in western Maryland, but whose numbers increase when wintering individuals from the north occupy the entire state. Marine birds occur in the waters offshore with seasonally based abundance and distribution patterns, but their specific presence largely depends on the location of concentrations of their fish prey. Two-hundred and six species were documented as breeding in the state during data collection for the Second Breeding Bird Atlas from 2002 to 2006 (Ellison 2010). Although a number of other species only migrate through or overwinter in Maryland, their success while they are in the state can be critical to their continued survival.

Maryland's importance to birds has been recognized by several organizations that have designated specific areas as particularly valuable to seabirds, wading birds, waterfowl, shorebirds and others. The Atlantic Coast Joint Venture (ACJV) designated much of Maryland's coastal regions as Waterfowl Focus Areas in their <u>2005 ACJV Waterfowl</u> <u>Implementation Plan</u>. Focus Areas include the Atlantic Coastal Bays, the Blackwater –



Nanticoke River region on the Delmarva Peninsula, the Chester River and Kent County Bayshore region, the Choptank River region, the Eastern Shore's Eastern Bay region, the Patuxent River region, the Tangier Sound and Bay islands, and the Tidal Potomac region (Atlantic Coast Joint Venture 2005). The Mid-Atlantic/New England Maritime Regional Working Group for Waterbirds (MANEM), a regional partnership working to conserve waterbirds in the Northeast, identified Important Waterbird Areas for breeding seabirds, wading birds, and marshbirds for 11 states and 4 provinces in the Northeast. MANEM maps for each of the mentioned groups of waterbirds are available for each state, including Maryland. Key areas for shorebird and marine bird conservation are under development by working groups for shorebirds (Atlantic Flyway Shorebird Business Strategy group) and marine birds (Northwest Atlantic Marine Bird Cooperative). Information from these and other efforts led to the creation of focal area maps for coastal areas in Maryland for shorebirds, waterbirds, landbirds, and waterfowl. In Maryland, concentrations of high priority landbirds, waterbirds, and/or shorebirds have led to the designation of 42 areas as Important Bird Areas (IBAs) by the National Audubon Society. Of the 42, six IBAs are of global significance, meaning that the IBA contains bird species of global conservation concern or the site meets other criteria based on the species limited range and high density in the IBA. IBAs are found in every region of Maryland, with the majority of higher ranked IBAs in coastal regions (National Audubon Society 2013). At regional and local scales, target areas in Maryland have been or are being identified for particular species (e.g., cerulean warbler, golden-winged warbler, rusty blackbird, and American woodcock) or groups of species (e.g., forest interior breeding birds, nightjars) based on habitat needs and known concentrations.

Maryland's landscape encompasses six physiographic regions, as described in Chapter 2: Lower Coastal Plain, Upper Coastal Plain, Piedmont, Ridge and Valley, Blue Ridge, and Appalachian Plateau. The diversity of habitats within these regions directly accounts for the diversity of birds found in the state. Because of this physiographic diversity, three Bird Conservation Regions (BCRs) occur in Maryland – <u>New England/Mid-Atlantic Coast</u>, <u>Piedmont</u>, and <u>Appalachian Mountains</u> (see Table 2.1). The Regions were designated by the North American Bird Conservation Initiative to represent ecologically distinct regions with similar bird communities, habitats, and resource management issues. Each BCR addresses different suites of species and issues, and some have designated priority areas for focal species. Additionally, numerous conservation plans address the unique guilds or groups of bird species that occur within BCRs and across the entire Atlantic Coast region.

## **SGCN Birds of Maryland**

One hundred and forty-one species of birds have been listed by the SWAP process as species of greatest conservation need (SGCN) in Maryland (Table 3.12). Of these, 34 are state-listed, 18 of which are listed as Threatened or Endangered and 83 of which are of conservation concern in the Northeastern U.S. region (RSGCN). An additional 53 species were included because they are of national or international concern or the best available current scientific information indicates their populations are in decline or they require more specialized habitat types that are likely to be degraded (Appendix 3c). For example, regional rusty blackbird populations have declined steeply in recent years and Maryland has joined efforts to identify key wintering and migration wetland areas. Coordinated regional surveys are also underway



for nightjars (eastern whip-poor-will, common nighthawk, chuck-will's-widow) based on suspected declines and the difficulty of surveying nocturnal species. Birds federally listed as Endangered that formerly bred in Maryland include roseate tern and red-cockaded woodpecker. The bald eagle was removed from the federal list of Threatened and Endangered species in 2007, but the Atlantic coast breeding population of piping plovers is still federally listed as Threatened; both bird species are listed as MD SGCN. Fourteen species are considered by the MD DNR to be Endangered in the state: Wilson's plover, piping plover, upland sandpiper, black rail, common tern, gull-billed tern, royal tern, black skimmer, short-eared owl, sedge wren, loggerhead shrike, Swainson's warbler, mourning warbler, and northern goshawk. Species listed as Threatened in the state include the American bittern, the Nashville warbler, and the least tern. For additional regional, national, and international ranks see Appendices 3a, 3b, and 3c.

#### **SGCN Montane Birds**

Forests dominate the Blue Ridge, Ridge and Valley, and Appalachian Plateau provinces more so than any other part of the state and include mostly a mix of mature and younger sapling and pole stage forests with just scattered remnants of old-growth forest. Although most forests are dominated by hardwoods, more northern forest types with a conifer component are also present. Where they occur, old-growth forests in this region tend to support higher densities of several SGCN forest birds. In addition, bog and fen wetland complexes, cliff and rock outcrops, beaver-created wetlands, and large planted grasslands provide habitats for a great



Northern saw-whet owl (George Jett)

diversity of SGCN birds. Because some of these habitats occur nowhere else in the state, a number of bird species breed exclusively, or nearly so, in these regions, especially in the Appalachian Plateau. Some of these species are at or near the southern extent of their breeding ranges, such as Nashville warbler, mourning warbler, northern saw-whet owl, Canada warbler, and alder flycatcher. Eight state-listed species and an additional 11 SGCN birds breed only in western Maryland's montane region, including northern goshawk, pine siskin, winter wren, red-breasted nuthatch, and golden-winged warbler.

Although historically a predominantly forested landscape, the Appalachian Plateau region includes extensive anthropogenic grasslands resulting from strip mine reclamation and agricultural practices (e.g., hayfields, pasture). These habitats provide some of the few remaining areas in Maryland where area-sensitive grassland nesting birds such as Henslow's sparrow, northern harrier, and upland sandpiper still regularly breed.

The mountain ridges of Maryland feature concentrations of migrating raptors by day and songbirds by night, where migrants also stop to feed and rest on their journeys. Recent satellite tracking studies on eastern golden eagles have reinforced hawk watch data showing



that the regional population concentrates along just a few mountain ridges as birds pass over Maryland (Katzner et al. 2012). In addition, ongoing studies at higher elevations in forested areas have shown that they are regularly used as wintering grounds by eastern golden eagles.

#### **SGCN Birds of the Piedmont**

The forests, riparian corridors, wetland habitats, and open areas of the Piedmont Bird Conservation Region support roughly 140 breeding bird species (Carter et al. 2000). Six bird species have a disproportionately large share of their global populations breeding within this area, which extends from southern Virginia to northern New Jersey (Kearney 2003; Watson 2014). These include four SGCN deciduous forest nesting species (wood thrush, Acadian flycatcher, scarlet tanager, Louisiana waterthrush) and one SGCN associated with early successional



Prairie warbler (George Jett)

habitats (prairie warbler). The Piedmont is in the heart of these species geographic ranges and, therefore, forest conservation in this region could especially benefit and sustain their populations over the long term. In Maryland, willow flycatcher, American kestrel, and redheaded woodpecker breed more commonly in the Piedmont section of the state and their habitats deserve special attention. Regional planning efforts have also identified the importance for the Piedmont of protected or sheltered habitat corridors for forest and grassland species, maximizing opportunities to preserve habitats for breeding and migration for priority species in rapidly increasing urban and suburban areas, and preserving farmlands and associated habitats (Watson 2014).

Due to the concentration of human population growth, changes in farming practices, and alteration of natural fire frequencies, the Maryland Piedmont now forms a particularly fragmented mosaic of forest and mostly anthropogenic grassland, which limits the success of birds that depend on large blocks of these habitats. For example, broad-winged hawk, brown creeper, Kentucky warbler, and cerulean warbler have shown declines in the Piedmont, likely due to forest loss and fragmentation. In addition to forest-dependent species, Maryland's Piedmont habitats traditionally supported grassland species such as the vesper sparrow, grasshopper sparrow, and eastern meadowlark, which have decreased by an average of 10% per year and are among the most steeply declining birds in the mid-Atlantic Piedmont (Kearney 2003). Dickcissel, bobolink, barn owl, and upland sandpiper were once more common in the grassland habitats of this region of Maryland, and some of these species still occur there. Birds of shrublands and early successional habitats, such as the northern bobwhite, American woodcock, and yellow-breasted chat, have also seen large population declines as farming practices have changed and urbanization has increased in the Piedmont. Loss of habitat to development, changes in farming practices (lack of hedgerows), and other factors have also led to the loss of loggerhead shrike as a breeding bird in recent years in Maryland and surrounding states. The continued expansion of development in this area of the


state as well as in the region represents a particular challenge for bird conservation to the SGCN species that occur in Maryland's Piedmont.

## **SGCN Birds of the Coastal Plain**

The avifauna of the Upper and Lower Coastal Plain is transitional and contains a mix of species mostly centered in southeastern North America, with some additional species coming into the area from more inland regions. Of the Coastal Plain breeders, many SGCN are associated with open water and wetland habitats, although some are associated with upland forests, shrublands, and grasslands. As would be expected, waterfowl, marsh birds, shorebirds, and colonial nesting waterbirds, are important components of this region's avifauna (Kushlan et al. 2002). Of the perching birds, Coastal Plain specialists include brown-headed nuthatch, marsh wren, Swainson's warbler, saltmarsh sparrow, seaside sparrow, Coastal Plain swamp sparrow, and boat-tailed grackle.

Chesapeake Bay is a major wintering area for waterfowl in the Atlantic Flyway including brant, redhead, canvasback, and long-tailed duck. Several SGCN also breed in the Chesapeake Bay region, including American black duck, blue-winged teal, and gadwall- all of which have declined in the state (Costanzo & Hindman 2007). The Bay is also very important for wintering and migrating red-throated loons and horned grebes; migrating northern gannets and saltmarsh sparrows; and breeding rails and sparrows, according to a recent analysis of the importance of the Chesapeake Bay to avian populations during different parts of their life cycle (Watts 2013). Overall, Chesapeake Bay supports 67 species of breeding waterbirds, 87 species of wintering waterbirds, and 138 species that stopover during migratory periods (Watts 2013), many of which are SGCN.

Efforts to assess Maryland's marsh bird populations began in the early 1990s (Brinker et al. 2001). Compared to most other groups of birds, many aspects of marshbird biology remain poorly known. Information is lacking or incomplete, for example, with regard to breeding and winter distribution, migration patterns, threats, and limiting factors (Watts 2013). Breeding marshbirds are included on the SGCN list due to documented declines in Maryland and in the region. Of great concern is the disappearance of Endangered black rails from many of their former locations, reflecting a region-wide decline estimated to be as high as 75% according to the Eastern Black Rail Conservation and Management Working Group. Marshes and wetlands of the Coastal Plain also provide important breeding habitat for other SGCN rails, ducks, shorebirds, raptors, wrens, and sparrows. A recent region-wide collaboration, the <u>Saltmarsh Habitat and Avian Research Program</u>, will soon provide data on trends and productivity of a suite of SGCN marsh birds that are critical for effective conservation of these species.

Colonial waterbirds are particularly vulnerable to disturbance or loss of nesting areas since they concentrate during the nesting season into very limited areas. Since the mid-1980's, MD DNR has had an active colonial waterbird management program to assess and monitor these vulnerable populations. Regional management is coordinated through MANEM (2006) and the Colonial Waterbird and Shorebird Working Groups provide regional assessments of waterbird population status and trends. Recently, the <u>Integrated Waterbird Management and Monitoring Program</u>, coordinated by the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, has worked to



provide breeding, wintering, and migration habitat for shorebirds, waterfowl, and wading birds as they occupy different areas throughout the year along the Atlantic Coast. Twenty-one species of colonial waterbirds nest currently or nested historically in Maryland. This group includes terns, gulls, herons, egrets, and ibises, along with black skimmer, double-crested cormorant and brown pelican. In Maryland, nesting black skimmers, common terns, and Forster's terns continue to experience significant declines, while double-crested cormorants (*Phalacrocorax auritus*) nesting on man-made structures like bridges have increased at a dramatic rate (Brinker et al. 2007). Many islands important to colonial waterbirds continue to shrink due to erosion and sea-level rise, and nests are increasingly vulnerable to overwash during high tides and storm events. Although the number of Chesapeake Bay colonies has increased for great blue heron and great egrets, decreases have been documented for other species (snowy egret, black-crowned night-heron) due to the loss of foraging and nesting habitats (Watts et al. 2007).

Maryland's Atlantic Coast and Coastal Bays provide the primary habitats where migrating shorebirds stop to feed and rest, where shorebirds spend the winter, and where rare piping plovers breed. Although a number of shorebird populations in North America have stabilized after large declines during the early 1980's and mid-1990's, several species that pass through (e.g., whimbrel, red knot, semipalmated sandpiper) or winter in Maryland (e.g., ruddy turnstone, lesser yellowlegs, sanderling) have continued to show significant population declines over the last 10 years (Andres et al. 2012). Shorebird populations are regularly monitored in Maryland only by the National Park Service (NPS) at Assateague Island National Seashore, although occasional Atlantic coast regional coordinated efforts have been carried out (Clark & Niles 2000; Hunter 2003). Conservation actions in North America are provided in the <u>U.S. Shorebird Conservation Plan</u> (Brown et al. 2001), and the U.S. Shorebird Conservation Plan.



**Left:** *piping plover* (Don Freiday, USFWS); **right:** *protected sand dunes of Assateague Island, where the piping plover, endangered in Maryland, nests* (Stephen Badger, MD DNR).

The piping plover, a federally listed as Threatened and state-listed as Endangered, is a tiny dune-nesting shorebird that nests on Maryland's Assateague Island and on other Atlantic



coastal beaches (USFWS 1996). The species is slowly recovering due to education of beach users, aided by signs and light fencing, the latter sometimes also being predator-resistant. The <u>Atlantic Flyway Shorebird Initiative: A Business Plan</u> (2015) presents an unprecedented approach to shorebird conservation. As a business strategy instead of a conservation plan, this strategy identifies the needs of species at greatest risk, examines necessary actions including funding, and presents those individuals and groups which are instrumental in the recovery process, along with analysis of possible outcomes. The goal of this conservation business strategy is to create a long-term platform for stability and recovery of focal species identified and to increase current shorebird population levels by 10-15 percent by 2020 (Manomet Center for Conservation Sciences and U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service 2015).

Remaining forested areas in the Upper and Lower Coastal Plain, especially those dominated by hardwoods, provide places for migrating songbirds to rest and refuel on their long journeys to the north or south of Maryland. Forested corridors along rivers, especially the Pocomoke River, have been shown to be particularly important to these migrants. Coastal Plain river corridors and Chesapeake Bay shorelines support the recovered bald eagle population at all times of the year (Watts et al. 2007). In larger forest blocks, breeding birds limited to the forest interior form a diverse, species-rich community that can include as many as 10 species of warblers and another 11 species that depend on this habitat. In Lower Coastal Plain uplands, a mosaic of anthropogenic grasslands (e.g., hayfields, wildlife habitat plantings), shrubs, and both young and older forest provides a landscape that supports species such as grasshopper sparrow, yellow-breasted chat, prairie warbler. This mosaic landscape is critical to maintain remaining northern bobwhite populations, whose range in Maryland has been largely reduced to the Lower Eastern Shore.

## **SGCN Marine Birds**

The birds of Maryland's oceanic waters are the least understood and least studied group of birds in the state and in the region. To try to address information gaps, a recent collaboration, the <u>Northwest Atlantic Birds</u> <u>at Sea</u>, is working to support surveys and research for marine birds. This group has also identified conservation target species for the region, most of which at least pass through offshore Maryland on a regular basis. Studies from the 1970's (Rowlett 1980) and 1980's (Powers 1983), compilations of data (O'Connell et al. 2009),



American oystercatcher (George Jett)

as well as observations from pelagic boat trips for birdwatching have provided basic information on the presence and timing of the occurrence of over 20 marine bird species in the waters offshore of Maryland, not including gulls and terns. Although recent studies in advance of offshore wind development have provided additional information on deepwater marine birds, the distribution, seasonal movements, population status, and specific habitat preferences throughout the year remain poorly understood for many species. More information is available for birds using nearshore areas due to the ease of observation from



shore compared to areas that must be reached by boat or flown over with aircraft to document species presence and activity. Spring and fall migration periods bring the majority of marine birds to the state, although several species (loons, scoters, gannets) winter here in large numbers and some species (shearwaters, storm-petrels) can increase in abundance in the summer.

Marine birds in Maryland use pelagic habitats on the continental shelf that vary from shallow areas close to shore, to open waters beyond the 3-mile state boundary, to areas above deep canyons on the ocean floor miles from the coast. The presence and location of marine birds is very much influenced by the presence of their prey, which depends on temperature and salinity as dictated by oceanic currents and weather conditions, and which can also be influenced by ocean depth and underlying physical features of the ocean floor. Concentrations of shellfish and other food sources around shoals and artificial reefs provide nearshore feeding grounds for wintering loons, grebes, and scoters. Migrating gannets move along the coast by the thousands, plunge diving on schooling fish, with a number of birds remaining to winter in Maryland waters. Further offshore, concentrations of pelagic birds can be found where fish and cephalopod prey are abundant, including near upwellings from deep canyons in the ocean floor at the outer edge of the continental shelf, where Sargassum mats are brought in by the Gulf Stream, and where fishing trawlers and processing vessels are dumping offal. In these situations, mixed groups of shearwaters and petrels, which can number in the hundreds, are often found where food is concentrated. Several terns, jaegers, alcids (puffins, murres, razorbills), storm-petrels, black-legged kittiwake, and two species of phalarope round out the diversity of marine birds occurring regularly offshore of Maryland. These species, especially storm-petrels and phalaropes, tend to aggregate where zooplankton, planktonic crustaceans, and small fish are concentrated at the surface. Although data to assess population trends for marine birds are limited, it has been estimated that loons, scoters, phalaropes, Audubon's shearwater, black-legged kittiwake, and Leach's storm-petrel are declining. Changes in food availability and challenges in breeding areas, such as habitat loss and contamination, are likely contributing to these declines.

### **Threats to SGCN Birds**

Although SGCN birds are a diverse group, many of them (> 40 species) are highly areasensitive and negatively affected by the fragmentation of their respective habitats. For example, forest nesting species, such as worm-eating warbler, cerulean warbler, and broadwinged hawk, typically only breed in large contiguous forest tracts and nest success tends to decline in increasingly fragmented areas. Area-sensitive grassland nesting birds such as Henslow's sparrow and short-eared owl, as well as some wetland species, like sedge wren and saltmarsh sparrow, show similar patterns. In addition to area sensitivity, some species require a mosaic of habitats at the landscape level to persist, such as northern bobwhite. Most fragmentation has resulted from residential and commercial development but agriculture and infrastructure such as roads and powerlines are also a major source. Of growing concern is forest loss and fragmentation from windpower developments on ridgetops and the potential for further fragmentation from hydrofracking. Conversion of native forest communities to commercial pine plantations alters the suitability of the habitat for most SGCN forest species, and some bird species, such as northern saw-whet owl and golden-crowned kinglet, are dependent on high elevation red spruce-hemlock habitats that have been greatly reduced in



size and impacted by climate change. Changes in forest composition and structure from altered fire regimes, invasive pests like hemlock wooly adelgid, overbrowsing by deer, and invasive plant species can all have serious impacts on critical habitat for forest nesting birds as well as some shrubland species. Grassland birds such as dickcissel and bobolink are further threatened by changes in agricultural practices such as early mowing. Wetlands birds are impacted by changes to their environment from invasive plant species (phragmites), contaminants, and lack of beaver-created wetlands.

Beach-nesting, migrating, and wintering shorebirds and colonial waterbirds face special challenges as they are concentrated in areas with increased recreational use, expanding gull populations, shoreline development, and alteration of natural shoreline processes. In addition, sea level rise has seriously impacted the availability of suitable nesting habitat for terns as islands in the Chesapeake and Coastal Bays have continued to disappear. Disturbance of colonial waterbird colonies is of special concern given the potential to negatively affect the breeding success of a large group of birds by impacting just one or a few areas. These colonies and beach-nesting shorebirds can also be seriously impacted by higher than normal predator populations favored by a variety of human activities.

The vast saltmarsh habitats of Maryland support the regional stronghold of rails and sparrows, such as black rail and Coastal Plain swamp sparrow. Contamination and drainage of these and other marsh habitats through development and mosquito control efforts can be a serious problem for marsh-nesting species. Climate change has also impacted coastal marsh

habitats through sea level rise, combined with an increase in frequency and severity of storms and tidal surges in coastal areas during the nesting season. Marine birds can be impacted by overfishing, entanglement in fishing gear, contamination, and climate alterations that impact the presence and abundance of their food resources. The development of offshore wind energy facilities has the potential to impact marine birds, although the degree and severity of impacts can be difficult to predict.

Not including marine birds, 24 SGCN birds do not breed in Maryland, but overwinter or stop in Maryland during migration. Migratory stopover or wintering habitat is critical for these species, most of which are shorebirds or waterfowl. Disturbance of beach habitats, the absence of horseshoe crab eggs for shorebirds (especially red knot), and degradation of aquatic habitats for



**Figure 0.3 Regional importance of fall migration stopover sites for birds from the analysis of weather surveillance radar data.** Source: Buler & Dawson 2014.



waterfowl threaten these species groups during winter and migration periods. In addition, studies show that migrating songbirds in the region regularly concentrate in certain areas of the state (Figure 3.3). Protection of migratory stopover habitat in these areas is critical to support regional populations. Several general threats to birds also affect SGCN to differing degrees. Collisions with towers, windows, cars, and other human structures kill many thousands of birds each year. SGCNs face competition for nest sites with introduced bird species, and free-ranging domestic cats are estimated to kill billions of birds annually in the U.S (Loss et al. 2013).

### **Conservation Actions and Information Needs for SGCN Birds**

State and regional efforts to identify conservation actions and information needs for birds has been extensive, more so than for any other SGCN taxonomic group. For example, Partners in Flight has produced conservation plans that include Maryland SGCN, and Bird Conservation Region plans have been completed or species of concern have otherwise been identified for all of the regions in Maryland designated by the North American Bird Conservation Initiative. Recommendations for SGCN waterbirds, seabirds, shorebirds, and waterfowl are included in other regional plans. These plans help to provide population targets and landscape-level habitat information that can be used to identify priority areas for conservation through acquisition and easement and habitat restoration. In particular need are habitats for area sensitive and northern habitat species, and those species requiring a mosaic landscape of particular habitats. The recent designation of Important Bird Areas (IBA) by Audubon Maryland/DC will assist with this effort by identifying habitats supporting suites of SGCN and working to protect them. In addition, information needs and conservation actions for breeding federally listed species (piping plover) can be found in their respective recovery plans. Support for the recovery plans for federally listed Endangered and Threatened species is included in the implementation of the SWAP (Table 3.11). Partnerships, such as the newly formed Maryland Bird Conservation Initiative, are key to coordinating the efforts of local and regional groups for the most effective and efficient conservation of Maryland's SGCN birds and their habitats.

Given the mobility of birds, planning at the landscape level and considering species needs during their full life cycle is particularly important. Coordination of monitoring for birds and use of standardized protocols are likewise important to assess population status and trends across broad landscapes (Lambert et al. 2009). To address the special needs of SGCN birds, more information is particularly needed on migratory stopover and overwintering requirements; area sensitivity (forest, grassland, and marsh species); and inventory of nocturnal species and marine birds. Even for some more well-studied SCGN species, details of their habitat requirements and impacts of threats are not understood well enough for effective conservation. Building on information collected during the most recent Breeding Bird Atlas (Ellison 2010) could help to fill in information gaps for select species and also indicate where improvements in habitat conservation and restoration could be most beneficial for SGCN birds.

Fragmentation and habitat destruction for forest-interior as well as area-sensitive grassland species can be limited by protecting the remaining large blocks of unfragmented forests and grasslands, controlling urban sprawl through implementation of the state's smart growth



initiatives, smart siting of energy developments and transportation corridors, and limiting forest conversion to monotypic pine plantations. Work with the public can encourage the protection of SGCN at migratory stopover sites, beach-nesting sites, waterbird nesting colonies, and through control of predation by free-ranging cats. Programs that encourage private landowners to create or preserve habitat are key for several SGCN. Control of introduced and invasive bird species, predators, and deer populations continues to be needed to conserve some nesting bird species. Food resources of SGCN birds can be protected by limiting the use of pesticides and overharvest of horseshoe crabs and forage fish. Encouraging farming practices, utility right-of-way management, and reclaimed strip mine practices that favor grassland and shrub-scrub nesting species, such as late mowing, hedgerow establishment, and reduced pesticide use can benefit a number of SGCN grassland and early successional forest birds. Best Management Practices (BMPs), such as those created for Virginia Piedmont birds (Wolter et al. 2008) and golden-winged warbler habitats in the Appalachian region (Golden-winged Warbler Working Group 2013), can be good sources for conservation practices for SGCN birds; creation of additional BMPs would be beneficial, especially for work with private and public land managers. Working with a variety of partners will be critical to minimize mortality due to collisions.

Restoration of natural fire frequencies, shoreline processes, and beaver populations can create breeding habitat for certain SGCN. Creation of islands to replace those that have been lost could contribute greatly to the persistence of breeding colonial waterbirds. Retention and improvement of aquatic habitats for SGCN birds can be achieved by controlling common reed, restoring marshes, the enforcement of wetland protection laws, limiting excess nutrient and pollutant inputs, and the reduction of bycatch by commercial fisheries.

Bird Species	Federal Recovery Plan	Recent Action
Eskimo curlew	None	2011: 5-year review,
Eskillo cullew	None	summary, & evaluation
Ivory-billed woodpecker	<u>USFWS 2010</u>	2010: Final recovery plan
Dining player	<b>USFWS</b> 1996	2014: Initiation of 5-year
Piping plover	<u>USFWS 1990</u>	review
Red-cockaded woodpecker	<b>USFWS 2003</b>	2006: 5-year review,
Red-cockaded woodpecker	<u>031 W3 2003</u>	summary, & evaluation
Roseate tern	<b>USFWS</b> 1998	2010: 5-year review,
Roseate term	<u>USI WS 1996</u>	summary, & evaluation

Table 3.11 Existing federal recovery plans for SGCN birds.

### Table 3.12 Bird Species of Greatest Conservation Need in Maryland.

Common Name	Scientific Name	G-Rank ¹	S-Rank ¹	State- listed ²	Federally listed ²	Conservation status group ³
Acadian flycatcher	Empidonax virescens	G5	S5B			С
Alder flycatcher	Empidonax alnorum	G5	S2B	Ι		В



Common Name	Scientific Name	G-Rank ¹	S-Rank ¹	State- listed ²	Federally listed ²	<b>Conservation</b> status group ³
American bittern	Botaurus lentiginosus	G4	S1B, SIN	T		A
American black duck	Anas rubripes	G5	S4B,S5N			С
American kestrel	Falco sparverius	G5	S4B,S3N			С
American oystercatcher	Haematopus palliatus	G5	S3B,S2N			С
American peregrine falcon	Falco peregrinus anatum	G4T4	S2	Ι		В
American redstart	Setophaga ruticilla	G5	S4B			С
American woodcock	Scolopax minor	G5	S4B,S4N			С
Audubon's shearwater	Puffinus lherminieri	G4G5	SNR			D
Bald eagle	Haliaeetus leucocephalus	G5	S4			С
Bank swallow	Riparia riparia	G5	S3B			С
Barn owl	Tyto alba	G5	S2	Ι		В
Bicknell's thrush	Catharus bicknellii	G4	SNA			D
Black rail	Laterallus jamaicensis	G3G4	<b>S</b> 1	Е		А
Black scoter	Melanitta americana	G5	S3N			С
Black skimmer	Rynchops niger	G5	S1B	Е		А
Black-and-white warbler	Mniotilta varia	G5	S4B			С
Black-bellied plover	Pluvialis squatarola	G5	S3N			С
Blackburnian warbler	Setophaga fusca	G5	S3B			С
Black-crowned night-heron	Nycticorax nycticorax	G5	S3B,S2N			С
Black-legged kittiwake	Rissa tridactyla	G5	SNR			D
Black-throated blue warbler	Setophaga caerulescens	G5	S3S4B			С
Black-throated green warbler	Setophaga virens	G5	S4B			С
Blue-winged teal	Anas discors	G5	S2B,S3N			В



Common Name	Scientific Name	G-Rank ¹	S-Rank ¹	State- listed ²	Federally listed ²	Conservation status group ³
Blue-winged	Vermivora			IISICU	IIsteu	
warbler	cyanoptera	G5	S4B			C
Boat-tailed	Quiscalus					
grackle	major	G5	S4B,S3N			C
grackie	Dolichonyx					
Bobolink	oryzivorus	G5	S3S4B			С
Brant	Branta bernicla	G5	S4S3N			С
Broad-winged hawk	Buteo platypterus	G5	S3S4B			С
Brown creeper	Certhia americana	G5	S3B,S4N			С
Brown pelican	Pelecanus occidentalis	G4	S1B			А
Canada warbler	Cardellina canadensis	G5	S3B			С
Canvasback	Aythya valisineria	G5	S3S4N			С
Cerulean warbler	Setophaga cerulea	G4	S3B			С
Chimney swift	Chaetura pelagica	G5	S5B			С
Chuck-will's- widow	Antrostomus carolinensis	G5	S4B			С
Coastal Plain swamp sparrow	Melospiza georgiana nigrescens	G5T3	S2S3B,SU N	Ι		А
Common gallinule	Gallinula galeata	G5	S2S3B	Ι		В
Common loon	Gavia immer	G5	S4N			С
Common merganser	Mergus merganser	G5	S2B,S3S4 N			В
Common nighthawk	Chordeiles minor	G5	S2S3B			В
Common tern	Sterna hirundo	G5	S1B	Е		А
Cory's shearwater	Calonectris diomedea	G5	SNR			D
Dark-eyed junco	Junco hyemalis	G5	\$3B,\$5N			С
Dickcissel	Spiza americana	G5	S3B			С
Dunlin	Calidris alpina	G5	S3N			С



Common Name	Scientific	G-Rank ¹	S-Rank ¹	State-	Federally	Conservation 3
Eastann	Name Sturnella			listed ²	listed ²	status group ³
Eastern		G5	S5B,S3N			С
meadowlark	magna					
Eastern whip-	Antrostomus	G5	S3S4B			С
poor-will	vociferus		COD CON	т		
Forster's tern	Sterna forsteri	G5	S2B,S2N	Ι		B
Gadwall	Anas strepera	G5	S2B,S4N			В
Glossy ibis	Plegadis falcinellus	G5	S3B			С
Golden eagle	Aquila chrysaetos	G5	S2N			В
Golden-crowned kinglet	Regulus satrapa	G5	S3B,S4N			С
Golden-winged warbler	Vermivora chrysoptera	G4	S2B	Ι		В
Grasshopper sparrow	Ammodramus savannarum	G5	S5B			С
Great blue heron	Ardea	G5	S5B, S3S4N			С
Great egret	herodias Ardea alba	G5	S3S4N S3S4B			С
Greater	Tringa melanoleuca	G5	S2S3N			В
yellowlegs Gull-billed tern	Gelochelidon nilotica	G5	S1B	E		А
Henslow's sparrow	Ammodramus henslowii	G4	S2B	Ι		В
Hooded warbler	Setophaga citrina	G5	S4B			С
Horned grebe	Podiceps auritus	G5	S4N			С
Kentucky warbler	Geothlypis formosa	G5	S4B			С
King rail	Rallus elegans	G4	S2B,S2N			В
Laughing gull	Leucophaeus atricilla	G5	S1B,S2N			А
Leach's storm- petrel	Oceanodroma leucorhoa	G5	SNR			D
Least bittern	Ixobrychus exilis	G5	S2S3B	Ι		В
Least flycatcher	Empidonax minimus	G5	S3S4B			С
Least tern	Sternula antillarum	G4	S2B	Т		В
Lesser yellowlegs	Tringa flavipes	G5	S1N			А



Common Name	Scientific Name	G-Rank ¹	S-Rank ¹	State- listed ²	Federally listed ²	Conservation status group ³
Little blue heron	Egretta caerulea	G5	S3B			C
Loggerhead shrike	Lanius ludovicianus	G4	S1B	Е		А
Long-eared owl	Asio otus	G5	S1B, S1N			А
Long-tailed duck	Clangula hyemalis	G5	S4N			С
Louisiana waterthrush	Parkesia motacilla	G5	S5B			С
Magnolia warbler	Setophaga magnolia	G5	S3S4B			С
Marsh wren	Cistothorus palustris	G5	S4B,S2N			С
Mourning warbler	Geothlypis philadelphia	G5	S1B	Е		А
Nashville warbler	Oreothlypis ruficapilla	G5	S1B	Т		А
Nelson's sparrow	Ammodramus nelsoni	G5	S1N			А
Northern bobwhite	Colinus virginianus	G5	S4			С
Northern gannet	Morus bassanus	G5	S3N			С
Northern goshawk	Accipiter gentilis	G5	S1B, SAN	E*		А
Northern harrier	Circus cyaneus	G5	S2B,S4N	Ι		В
Northern parula	Setophaga americana	G5	S5B			С
Northern saw- whet owl	Aegolius acadicus	G5	S1B,S1N			А
Northern waterthrush	Parkesia noveboracensi s	G5	S2B	Ι		В
Olive-sided flycatcher	Contopus cooperi	G4	SHB	X		Е
Ovenbird	Seiurus aurocapillus	G5	S5B			С
Pied-billed grebe	Podilymbus podiceps	G5	S2S3B,S3 N			В
Pine siskin	Spinus pinus	G5	S2B,S1S3 N			В
Piping plover	Charadrius melodus	G3	S1B	E	Т	А



Common Name	Scientific Name	G-Rank ¹	S-Rank ¹	State- listed ²	Federally listed ²	Conservation status group ³
Prairie warbler	Setophaga discolor	G5	S4B			С
Prothonotary warbler	Protonotaria citrea	G5	S4B			С
Red knot	Calidris canutus rufa	G4T2	SNA	Т	Т	D
Red phalarope	Phalaropus fulicarius	G5	SNA			D
Red-breasted nuthatch	Sitta canadensis	G5	S3B,S3S4 N			С
Red-cockaded woodpecker	Picoides borealis	G3	SHB	Х	E	E
Redhead	Aythya americana	G5	S3S4N			С
Red-headed woodpecker	Melanerpes erythrocephal us	G5	S4			С
Red-necked phalarope	Phalaropus lobatus	G4G5	SNA			D
Red-throated loon	Gavia stellata	G5	S3S4N			С
Roseate tern	Sterna dougallii	G4	SXB,S1N	X	Е	Е
Royal tern	Thalasseus maximus	G5	S1B	Е		А
Ruddy duck	Oxyura jamaicensis	G5	S3N			С
Ruddy turnstone	Arenaria interpres	G5	S2N			В
Ruffed grouse	Bonasa umbellus	G5	S4			С
Rusty blackbird	Euphagus carolinus	G4	S2S3N			В
Saltmarsh sparrow	Ammodramus caudacutus	G4	S2B,S1N	Ι		В
Sanderling	Calidris alba	G5	S3N			С
Sandwich tern	Thalasseus sandvicensis	G5	S1B			А
Savannah sparrow	Passerculus sandwichensis	G5	S4B,S4N			С
Scarlet tanager	Piranga olivacea	G5	S5B			С
Seaside sparrow	Ammodramus maritimus	G4	S4B,S2N			С
Sedge wren	Cistothorus platensis	G5	S1B	E		А



Common Name	Scientific Name	G-Rank ¹	S-Rank ¹	State- listed ²	Federally listed ²	Conservation status group ³
Semipalmated	Calidris	G5	SNA			D
sandpiper	pusilla	05				D
Sharp-shinned	Accipiter	G5	S2S3B,S4			В
hawk	striatus	00	N			2
Short-billed	Limnodromus	G5	SNA			D
dowitcher	griseus			_		
Short-eared owl	Asio flammeus	G5	S1B, S2N	E		A
Snowy egret	Egretta thula	G5	S3B			С
Sora	Porzana carolina	G5	S1B,S1N			А
Spotted sandpiper	Actitis macularius	G5	S3S4B			С
Surf scoter	Melanitta perspicillata	G5	S4N			С
Swainson's thrush	Catharus ustulatus	G5	SHB	X		Е
Swainson's warbler	Limnothlypis swainsonii	G4	S1B	Е		А
Tricolored heron	Egretta tricolor	G5	S3B			С
Upland sandpiper	Bartramia longicauda	G5	S1B	Е		А
Veery	Catharus fuscescens	G5	S4B			С
Vesper sparrow	Pooecetes gramineus	G5	S4B,S2N			С
Wayne's black- throated green warbler	Setophaga virens waynei	G5T3	SUB			D
Whimbrel	Numenius phaeopus	G5	SNA			D
White-winged scoter	Melanitta fusca	G5	S2S3N			В
Willet	Tringa semipalmata	G5	S4B,S2N			С
Willow flycatcher	Empidonax traillii	G5	S4B			С
Wilson's plover	Charadrius wilsonia	G5	S1B	Е		А
Winter wren	Troglodytes hiemalis	G5	S2B,S3N			В
Wood thrush	Hylocichla mustelina	G5	S5B			С
Worm-eating warbler	Helmitheros vermivorum	G5	S4B			С



Common Name	Scientific Name	G-Rank ¹	S-Rank ¹	State- listed ²	Federally listed ²	Conservation status group ³
Yellow-bellied sapsucker	Sphyrapicus varius	G5	S1B,S3S4 N			А
Yellow-breasted chat	Icteria virens	G5	S5B			С
Yellow-crowned night-heron	Nyctanassa violacea	G5	S3S4B			С
Yellow-throated vireo	Vireo flavifrons	G5	S4B			С

 1  = See Table 3.5 for S-rank and G-rank definitions

² = T (Threatened); E (Endangered); I (In Need of Conservation); X (Endangered Extirpated)

 3  = See Table 3.7 for Conservation Status definitions

* = a qualifier denoting the species is listed in a limited geographic area only

# **Reptiles and Amphibians of Maryland**

Maryland herpetofauna, also known as "herps," includes 42 amphibian and 47 reptile species that are native inhabitants of the state. The most recent published complete account of Maryland herps (Harris 1975) is now out of date. However, MD DNR and the Natural History Society of Maryland recently concluded a five-year-long Maryland Amphibian and Reptile Atlas (MARA) project in 2015. A book will be published in 2017 documenting species accounts with life history information and distribution results of Maryland's herpetofauna (see *Case Study* below for additional information on MARA). The Natural History Society of Maryland publishes local and statewide herp information in its bulletin and updates of information can be found in their newsletter and their <u>website</u>.

Although reptiles and amphibians often are found together, moist-skinned amphibians are most abundant in the cool damp forests, such as those of the western counties, and in or near aquatic or wetland habitats throughout the state. By contrast, most reptiles (snakes, lizards, and some kinds of turtles) are more suited to warm and dry environments, where their dry and relatively impermeable skin conserves water. Amphibians generally are intolerant of even low concentrations of salt water, but the marine environment is not a barrier to a number of reptiles in Maryland, notably the sea turtles.

### **Reptiles**

Native reptile species in Maryland include 17 turtles, 6 lizards, and 24 snakes. Maryland's 17 turtles range from the highly aquatic eastern spiny softshell to the terrestrial eastern box turtle to the five sea turtles that visit offshore ocean waters, the Chesapeake Bay and Maryland's coastal estuaries during the warmer months. Although most other turtles cannot tolerate brackish water, the northern diamond-backed terrapin lives primarily in this habitat, and a few primarily freshwater species, such as eastern snapping turtle and eastern mud turtle, sometimes venture into brackish habitats. Most of the other species are stream and pond inhabitants, such as the widespread painted turtle (*Chrysemys picta*) and northern red-bellied cooter (*Pseudemys rubriventris*).



Maryland's six lizards are small, four-legged, slender, and long-tailed reptiles. The common five-lined skink (*Plestiodon fasciatus*) and the eastern fence lizard (*Sceloporus undulatus*) are widespread and by inference tolerate a wide range of habitats. The little brown skink (*Scincella lateralis*), however, is restricted to the eastern half of the state; SGCN species also have restricted ranges. Lizards are frequently found in more open, sunny and drier habitats, including light gaps in forests or barren areas.

The 24 documented native snake species in Maryland range from the tiny, earthworm-like eastern wormsnake (*Carphophis amoenus amoenus*) to the thick-bodied, heavy, and venomous timber rattlesnake. About half of Maryland snakes lay eggs and the rest are live-bearers, with females retaining eggs during development. Maryland's snakes are carnivorous, eating a range of foods from invertebrates to small mammals. Some have specialized diets like the Endangered rainbow snake that feeds



Timber rattlesnake (Scott Smith, MD DNR)

primarily on eels; the rarely seen queensnake (*Regina septemvittata*), which feeds on recently molted (i.e., soft) crayfish; and that great actor, the eastern hog-nosed snake (*Heterodon platirhinos*), which focuses on toads. Most snakes are terrestrial or even arboreal, and a few, such as the watersnakes, are semiaquatic. Only two species in Maryland are venomous, the timber rattlesnake and copperhead (*Agkistrodon contortrix*).

# Amphibians

Maryland's list of documented native amphibians includes 22 salamanders and 20 frogs and toads. Additional undetermined records are known for greater siren (Harris 1975) and a recently discovered siren population (species currently undetermined) in a lake near College Park.

Many amphibians require vernal or other fishfree ponds, slow-moving streams, springs or non-tidal wetlands for breeding. Nearly all of the 21 species of salamanders found in Maryland are associated with these freshwater aquatic environments, with a few notable exceptions such as the widespread and completely terrestrial eastern red-backed salamander *(Plethodon cinereus)*. Many salamanders spend the winter hibernating underground and beneath



*Northern slimy salamander* (Bonnie Ott)

rocks and logs and then travel to seek traditional aquatic breeding sites shortly after emergence from hibernation in late winter or early spring. When habitats are fragmented, it often becomes difficult or impossible for these salamanders to reach breeding sites: desiccation occurs when formerly shaded forests dry out, predation increases when cover is



lost, and mortality ensues when adults try to cross roads. If their wetland breeding sites and surrounding upland buffers are altered or destroyed, then breeding may become impossible, unless alternative sites can be found (Semlitsch & Bodie 2003).

Most of Maryland's frogs and toads belong to three families (Bufonidae, toads; Hylidae, treefrogs and their allies; and Ranidae, true frogs) that are experiencing the sharpest declines worldwide (Stuart et al. 2004). Although all Maryland frog and toad species lay eggs in water, toads and some frogs are terrestrial as adults, the latter living in cool damp habitats where their moist skin does not readily desiccate. Each species of frog and toad has a distinctive mating call, usually made at night in spring and summer when most breeding activity occurs. After breeding, most frogs and toads go silent and then their presence is much harder to detect.

## SGCN Reptiles and Amphibians of Maryland

Forty-five species of amphibians and reptiles have been identified by the SWAP process as species of greatest conservation need in Maryland (Table 3.14). Of these, 19 are amphibians and 26 are reptiles. Six reptiles are listed as federally Endangered or federally Threatened: bog turtle, green sea turtle, and loggerhead sea turtle are listed as Threatened, and the Kemp's ridley, Atlantic hawksbill, and leatherback sea turtles are listed as Endangered. Eight amphibians and 11 reptiles are state-listed, including 6 amphibians and 10 reptiles listed as Threatened or Endangered; 1 amphibian and 7 reptiles are of national or international concern, and 12 amphibians and 17 reptiles are of conservation concern in the Northeastern U.S. region (RSGCN). An additional 2 amphibians and 8 reptiles are included as SGCN due to concerns for declining populations or for other reasons. For more explanation and

additional regional, national, and international ranks see Appendices 3a, 3b, and 3c.

## **SGCN Marine and Estuarine Reptiles**

Maryland's five marine turtles are large to massive, have their forefeet modified as flippers, and have specialized salt glands to maintain proper water balance while living in the marine environment. Most observations of these turtles come from documentation of sea turtle strandings along Maryland's coastline and in the Chesapeake Bay, or capture in fishing nets and fish traps. However, the loggerhead sea turtle has successfully nested on the beaches of



Leatherback sea turtle (George Jett)

Assateague Island. The two most common species in Maryland are the loggerhead and Kemp's ridley sea turtles; the MARA project contains 43 atlas blocks for the former and 12 for the latter (MD DNR & NHSM, unpublished data). Leatherback and green sea turtles are reported less regularly and the Atlantic hawksbill has only been documented in the ocean off Maryland's coast. Most sea turtles in the Chesapeake and Coastal Bays are juveniles and non-breeding subadults, which use these estuaries to feed on various crabs, mollusks, and other invertebrates during the warmer summer months.



The only truly estuarine reptile in Maryland, the northern diamond-backed terrapin is designated as Maryland's state reptile and is one of the Chesapeake's iconic creatures. It lives in brackish waters and coastal marshes and lays its eggs from late May to early July on sandy beaches and bay islands. Historically, this turtle was a food source for Native Americans and early Colonists. Many thousands of pounds of terrapins were harvested and sold annually into the early 1900's. The market for this species was greatly reduced over the next half-century, but the high demand in Asian markets beginning in the 1990's added significant pressure on the population. Terrapins were commercially harvested until 2007 when the Maryland legislature enacted a ban on commercial take or possession.

### **SGCN Freshwater Reptiles and Amphibians**

Most of Maryland's freshwater aquatic and wetland SGCN herpetofauna are amphibians, although some turtles and a few snakes also have aquatic affinities. The 19 SCGN amphibians exhibit a wide range of dependency on watery habitats during their lifetime. At one extreme are the common mudpuppy and eastern hellbender, which are wholly aquatic and spend their entire lives submerged in boulder-strewn rivers in western Maryland. At the other extreme, species like Wehrle's salamander and green



Eastern hellbender (Lori Pruitt, USFWS)

salamander can live on mountain tops and in other drier habitats by finding cool, moist crevices in boulders and caves and moist soils under rocks and logs, as well as by foraging at night. Most of the 13 salamanders and 6 frogs and toads on the SGCN list require vernal pools, ponds, springs or streamside wetlands for reproduction. After mating and laying eggs, the adults usually remain nearby while the eggs develop into larval forms (tadpoles) before transforming into adults and leaving their watery habitats. Species particularly dependent on vernal pools and Delmarva bay habitats include barking treefrog, carpenter frog, eastern tiger salamander, Jefferson salamander, mountain chorus frog, and upland chorus frog.

Four of Maryland's amphibian species belong to the Ambystomatidae, the mole salamanders, a family in which the rate of population decline is greater than the average for all amphibians (Stuart et al. 2004). One of these, the eastern tiger salamander, is state-listed as Endangered in Maryland.

Two new native species have been identified in Maryland in recent years. The southern twolined salamander was discovered as occurring in the state's southern Eastern Shore in 2008, and the Atlantic Coast leopard frog was first identified in 2012 and described in 2014 (Newman et al 2012; Feinberg et al 2014). Our knowledge of the Maryland distribution and life history of these two species is still limited and in need of additional research.



The SGCN reptiles that regularly live in or near freshwater habitats include five turtles and three snake species. Of the turtles, the eastern spiny softshell, state-listed as In Need of Conservation, and northern map turtle, state-listed as Endangered, spend much of their time submerged in larger rivers. Wood turtles are associated with streams and smaller rivers, but are more terrestrial than the other riverine turtles. The spotted turtle and especially the bog turtle inhabit freshwater wetlands. Wetland-dependent SGCN snakes include eastern ribbonsnake, plain-bellied watersnake (formerly known as red-bellied watersnake), and rainbow snake. Endangered in Maryland, the rainbow snake is limited to southern sections of the Potomac River and lives in tributary streams, marshes, and swamps as it hunts eels and other aquatic prey at night.

### **SGCN Reptiles of Upland Habitats**

Most of the remaining SGCN reptiles (one turtle, two lizards, and nine snakes) are primarily associated with upland forests, rock outcrops, open fields, grassy glades, and other terrestrial habitats. The well known eastern box turtle lives in moist and dry forests throughout much of Maryland, but newly emerging diseases are taking a toll. A new population of the state-Endangered northern coal skink was recently discovered in western Maryland; however, the shale barren habitat where it was found is scarce and considered a rare habitat in the state. A number of the nine snakes associated with upland habitats are



Bog turtle (USFWS)

secretive, living underground or otherwise hidden, and are difficult to survey, such as the mole kingsnake. This snake and the smooth greensnake are found in meadows and open woods, with the latter species restricted to western Maryland. The mountain earthsnake and timber rattlesnake, one of two venomous snakes in Maryland, are also restricted to western Maryland today, although a timber rattlesnake population existed in Baltimore County in recent times.

## **Threats to SGCN Reptiles and Amphibians**

Almost all SGCN amphibians rely on freshwater streams, vernal pools, ponds, or other freshwater wetlands for all or some of their life stages. Threats such as pollution, acid mine drainage, and sedimentation due to erosion and run-off from impervious surfaces can seriously impact populations of these species by making water conditions unsuitable. The 21 species of salamanders found in Maryland are sensitive to human sprawl and associated habitat fragmentation. According to a survey conducted by the MD DNR, even the slightest bit of urbanization, less than 3%, has contributed to the reduction of three salamanders, namely mountain dusky (*Desmognathus ochrophaeus*), seal, and northern slimy salamanders (Boward et al. 1999). Watershed deforestation impacts include changes in water temperature, soil temperature, sedimentation, and a decrease in organic inputs that maintain a food base. These threats are especially of concern in western Maryland, where 8 of the 12 SGCN salamander species are found. In addition, Wehrle's and green salamanders rely on moist



rock crevices and are especially vulnerable to the destruction of rock outcrops and the removal of surrounding forest canopy that alters substrate moisture. Forest reptiles, including mountain earth snake and eastern box turtle are also threatened by deforestation and fragmentation due to timber harvests, habitat conversion, and road building. In some areas of the state, hydrological changes and groundwater withdrawal threaten the continued presence of critical water bodies for aquatic species. The loss of beaver impoundments, overgrazing, and ditching and draining of marshes and wetlands have further impacted populations of some amphibians and reptiles through the loss of habitat, including the federally listed bog turtle. Aquatic snakes (e.g., rainbow snake and plain-bellied watersnake) and turtles that rely on riverine and pool habitats (e.g., wood turtle, eastern spiny softshell, and map turtle) face threats similar to SGCN amphibians. In the marine environment, sea turtles are subject to boat collisions and ingestion of trash. Northern diamond-backed terrapin is also threatened as a non-target capture (bycatch) in commercial and recreational crab traps. In addition, shoreline development and structural stabilization often eliminates nesting areas for the terrapin.

Globally, widespread and largely unexplained declines in amphibians have been observed since 1980, and the need to identify the specific causes of these declines is urgent (Gibbons et al. 2000). Declines in some species may be due to over-exploitation for food and the pet trade; whereas, habitat loss also contributes to declines in some species (Stuart et al. 2004). The sharpest declines are enigmatic due to the lack of obvious causes, however, especially for stream-dwelling species in tropical locations, often in seemingly pristine conditions. For reasons that are unclear, the declines have been slower and fewer in number in North America, where the best information on populations exists. There is a recognized national and regional need for advocacy focused on conservation and the use of an ecosystem approach to incorporate protection of amphibian and reptilian species into existing management plans (SE PARC 2004; NE PARC 2010).

The use of different habitats at different times of the year for breeding, overwintering, and developing into adults further increases the vulnerability of SGCN amphibians and reptiles to landscape-level fragmentation and the loss of travel corridors. Movements between these habitats frequently result in road mortalities for frogs and toads, salamanders, turtles, and snakes. Unlike most other SGCN species, some reptiles and amphibians are increasingly threatened by illegal collection. Snakes in general and venomous snakes in particular are harassed and often killed when perceived to be a threat. The hibernacula of timber rattlesnakes are particularly vulnerable to harassment, destruction, and illegal collecting activities. Finally, amphibians are threatened by emerging diseases such as *Ranavirus* and chytridiomycosis. Ranavirus, which includes six species of viruses in the same genus, is known to affect over 100 reptile and amphibian species and subspecies. This disease is especially dangerous as relatively little information is known about the origin, extent, and frequency of the virus due to the disease's pattern of rapid onset and mortality. The virus can live for weeks outside the host in aquatic conditions, and is usually fatal to juvenile individuals, although adults can also be susceptible to or transmit *Ranavirus*. The disease spreads quickly through populations that tend to congregate in large groups, with some infected populations suffering 90% mortality (NE PARC 2014). Chytridiomycosis is an invasive contagious fungal disease causing amphibian die-offs in 40 countries worldwide,



including 36 states in the United States. The disease is caused by a chytrid fungus (*Batrachochytrium dendrobatidis* and *B. salamandrivorans*) that feeds on the skin of living vertebrates, interfering with amphibians' ability to take up water and air through their skin. Although the fungus has been present in the world since ancient times, scientists speculate that it is now becoming lethal to amphibians due to other stresses, such as climate change, pollution, and habitat destruction (Lips et al. 2006).

### **Conservation Actions and Information Needs for SGCN Reptiles and Amphibians**

In order to better conserve SGCN reptiles and amphibians, seasonal movements and needs of different life stages should be investigated for a number of species. Understanding the impacts of roads, development, and forest harvest practices on SGCN species would also assist in their conservation. Direct inputs of contaminants to aquatic environments can be reduced through improved stormwater management practices, minimizing and mitigating acid mine drainage, controlling illegal dumping and wastewater inputs, minimizing the use of pesticides, and establishing adequate buffers of upland habitat. State and local wetland laws should be amended with larger buffers (life zones) as needed to protect significant habitats for SGCN amphibians and reptiles. Compatible management of the landscape in order to conserve aquatic habitats needs to include reduction of impervious surfaces, groundwater withdrawal, stream bank erosion, and watershed deforestation through better design and placement of developments, and improved timber harvest and agricultural practices. Restoration of key wetland habitats, such as beaver impoundments, and plugging ditches can help to address wetland losses. Road mortality may be minimized or mitigated through improved road design and placement, as well as installation of wildlife tunnels and causeways for safe passage corridors in key locations. For marine and estuarine turtles, collision injuries and impacts related to commercial harvest activities may be reduced by working with the fishing industry, recreational boaters, and crab harvesters. Enforcement of existing state regulations on possession and trade of amphibians and reptiles and revision of those regulations for further protection are critical. In addition, education and outreach are needed to reduce illegal collecting and killing of reptiles and amphibians.

Other inventory and research needs, and actions for conservation, are included in sea turtle recovery plans, the bog turtle recovery plan, and the regional plan for the northern diamondbacked terrapin. Recently, Partners for Amphibian and Reptile Conservation has used the Important Bird Areas program as a model for herpetofauna habitat conservation. The 2012 Priority Amphibian and Reptile Conservation Areas Report identifies valuable habitat for priority amphibians and reptiles based on designations of species rarity and richness, local and regional implementation responsibility, and landscape integrity (PARC 2012). To improve the population status regionally, the USFWS, NMFS, and other partners coordinate the actions identified by the Federal Recovery Plans for these species (NMFS and USFWS 1991, 1992, 1993, 2009; NMFS et al. 2011) (Table 3.13). A new revision of the Virginia and Maryland Sea Turtle Conservation Plan provides updated research about distribution and abundance of sea turtles in the Chesapeake and Atlantic waters of Maryland and Virginia. The plan discusses greatest threats and conservation strategies for the loggerhead and Kemp's ridley sea turtles, the most common sea turtle species in the region (Virginia Aquarium & MD DNR 2015). There is also a state-specific plan to conserve these sea turtles along with other marine animals in Maryland (Litwiler 2001). A recovery plan for the federally listed bog turtle (USFWS 2001) is being implemented in Maryland. These plans



contain detailed status and distribution information, as well as prioritized conservation actions, based on surveys and other research results.

# Case Study: Maryland Amphibian and Reptile Atlas (MARA)

Grid-based atlas projects began over 50 years ago with the Atlas of the British Flora (Perring & Walters 1962), in which 1,500 botanists mapped the distribution of 2,000 plant species to a 10-km (6.2 mi) grid. Since then, hundreds of similar atlases, many of which mapped bird distributions, have been conducted in numerous countries. Maryland has been fortunate to have completed two breeding bird atlas projects, the first in 1983 – 1987 (Blom & Robbins 1996) and the second about 20 years later in 2002 – 2006 (Ellison 2010). Conclusions about changes in species distributions over those 20 years were able to be documented throughout Maryland because of the standard data gathering methods used for both atlases. This information is an essential part of assessing and documenting the conservation status of our biodiversity.

The abundance and distribution of a number of Maryland's amphibians and reptiles, also known as herpetofauna or "herps", were thought to be in decline for many years. The increasing challenges that amphibians and reptiles face – primarily resulting from human-induced causes such as habitat loss, pollution, introduced diseases, and over-harvesting or collecting – raise concerns for their continued success and even survival. Unfortunately, sufficient data were lacking to have a good handle on the status of many herps in Maryland. Observed declines, based on anecdotal evidence, highlighted the need for more rigorous and complete documenting of current amphibian and reptile populations.

In the 1930s and 1940s, the Natural History Society of Maryland (NHSM) published distributional surveys of Maryland's reptile species, including The Reptiles of Maryland and the District of Columbia (McCauley 1945). Fifteen years later, the curator of the Department of Herpetology at the NHSM, John E. Cooper, published a paper on the distribution of amphibians and reptiles for the state (Cooper 1960). In 1969, Herbert S. Harris, Jr. published the first



YK 4 1

distributional survey of every amphibian and reptile known to occur in Maryland, and he updated the publication in 1975. No updated, complete survey of reptile and amphibian distributions has been published since then. Although distributional data have been collected dating back to the 1930s, no systematic and replicable survey of all herpetofauna had ever been conducted in Maryland.

To fill this need, the NHSM and the Maryland Department of Natural Resources (DNR) completed the Maryland Amphibian and Reptile Atlas (MARA) project, using State Wildlife Grant funding and thousands of hours of volunteer effort. The project's goal was to map the current distribution of all 89 native species of amphibians and reptiles within the state in a manner that is repeatable; thus establishing a baseline and aiding species conservation status assessments both now and in the future. Understanding patterns of change at county and statewide scales is necessary for land managers, regulators, and citizens to make better decisions to conserve the herpetofauna of Maryland.

52 Maryland's Wildlife and Species of Greatest Conservation Need

MARA began in 2009 with a one-year pilot project to iron out logistics. However, the bulk of the fieldwork was conducted in a 5-year window starting January 2010: With the help of social media outlets, including Facebook and Meetup, MARA also became an important educational tool, as hundreds of people across Maryland spent roughly 30,000 hours searching for herps and reported nearly 35,000 herp sightings. The results, both in map and tabular formats, are available through MD DNR's online database website (https://webapps02.dnr.state.md.us/mara/default.aspx). Similar to the breeding bird atlas projects, a book with species accounts, life history information, and distribution results will be written by various people involved with MARA and is expected to be published in 2017. Providing project results both online and in traditional published format will hopefully reach the widest possible audience. Figure 3.3 shows a comparison of a MARA distribution map and a Harris distribution map.



# Figure 0.4 New Jersey chorus frog distribution map comparison: MARA 2014 (left) and Harris 1975 (right). Sources: MD DNR, Harris 1975.

Although surveys in Garrett County in far western Maryland were still being conducted in 2015, the project was complete enough to provide valuable data to inform the development of the updated list of Species of Greatest Conservation Need. Some species, such as upland chorus frog, Eastern six-lined racerunner, mole kingsnake, and Coastal Plain milksnake were added because their ranges have been reduced since Harris's 1975 publication. On the other hand, a number of species were removed from the SGCN list because they do not appear to have range restrictions in the past 40 years and the more active volunteer atlasers agreed that these species did not seem to be in decline, including Allegheny mountain dusky salamander (Desmognathus ochrophaeus), New Jersey chorus frog (Pseudacris kalmi), Eastern spadefoot (Scaphiopus holbrookii), Northern red-bellied cooter (Pseudemys rubriventris), Eastern hognose snake (Heterodon platirhinos), and queen snake (Regina septemvittata). An undetermined species of siren was discovered in Maryland during the MARA project, and about 25 introduced, non-native species were documented during the MARA project. Some of these include Florida shoftshell turtle (Apalone ferox), Mississippi map turtle (Graptemys pseudogeographica kohnii), yellow-bellied slider (Trachemys scripta scripta), savannah monitor (Varanus exanthematicus), green anole (Anolis carolinensis), American alligator (Alligator mississippiensis), red-tailed boa (Boa constrictor), Burmese python (Python *bivittatus*), Cuban treefrog (Osteopilus septentrionalis), and Northwestern salamander

(*Ambystoma gracile*), which was found hitchhiking on a Christmas tree from the Pacific Northwest.

Reptile Species	Federal Recovery Plan	Recent Action	
Atlantic hawksbill sea turtle	NOAA Fisheries and	2013: 5-year review, summary, &	
Triunite nuwrsenn seu turtie	<u>USFWS 1993</u>	evaluation	
Bog turtle	<u>USFWS 2001</u>	2011: Initiation of 5-year review	
Green sea turtle	NOAA Fisheries and USFWS 1991	2015: 12-month findings and proposed rule to list 11 Distinct Population Segments (DPS) of green sea turtles as threatened or endangered under the ESA	
Kemp's Ridley sea turtle	NOAA Fisheries and USFWS 2011	2015: 5-year review, summary, & evaluation	
Leatherback sea turtle	NOAA Fisheries and USFWS 1992	2013: 5-year review, summary, & evaluation	
Loggerhead sea turtle	NOAA Fisheries and USFWS 2009	2011: Final rule to list 9 distinct DPS of loggerhead sea turtles under the ESA 2014: Designation of critical habitat	

### Table 3.13 Existing federal recovery plans for SGCN reptiles.

#### Table 3.14 Reptile and Amphibian Species of Greatest Conservation Need in Maryland.

Common Name	Scientific Name	G-Rank ¹	S-Rank ¹	State- listed ²	Federally listed ²	Conservation status group ³				
	Amphibians									
Atlantic Coast leopard frog	Lithobates kauffeldi	GNR	SNR			D				
Barking treefrog	Hyla gratiosa	G5	<b>S</b> 1	Е		А				
Carpenter frog	Lithobates virgatipes	G4	<b>S</b> 3			С				
Common mudpuppy	Necturus maculosus	G5	<b>S</b> 1	Х		А				
Eastern hellbender	Cryptobranchus alleganiensis	G3G4	S1	E		А				
Eastern mud salamander	Pseudotriton montanus	G5	S2			В				
Eastern narrow- mouthed toad	Gastrophryne carolinensis	G5	S1S2	E		А				
Eastern tiger salamander	Ambystoma tigrinum	G5	S2	Е		В				
Green salamander	Aneides aeneus	G3G4	S2	Е		А				
Jefferson salamander	Ambystoma jeffersonianum	G4	<b>S</b> 3			С				
Mountain chorus frog	Pseudacris brachyphona	G5	<b>S</b> 1	Е		А				



Common Name	Scientific Name	G-Rank ¹	S-Rank ¹	State- listed ²	Federally listed ²	Conservation status group ³
Northern red salamander	Pseudotriton ruber	G5	S5			C
Northern spring salamander	Gyrinophilus porphyriticus	G5	S4			С
Seal salamander	Desmognathus monticola	G5	S5			С
Southern two- lined salamander	Eurycea cirrigera	G5	SNR			D
Undetermined siren	Siren sp. 1	GNR	SNR			D
Upland chorus frog	Pseudacris feriarum	G5	S5**			С
Valley and Ridge salamander	Plethodon hoffmani	G5	S5			С
Wehrle's salamander	Plethodon wehrlei	G4	S2	Ι		В
		Rept	iles			
Atlantic hawksbill seaturtle	Eretmochelys imbricata	G3	SNR	Е	Е	D
Bog turtle	Glyptemys muhlenbergii	G3	S2	Т	Т	А
Coastal Plain milksnake	Lampropeltis triangulum elapsoides X triangulum	GNR	SNR			D
Common ribbonsnake	Thamnophis sauritus	G5	S5			С
Eastern box turtle	Terrapene carolina	G5	S5			С
Eastern kingsnake	Lampropeltis getula	G5	S5			С
Eastern six-lined racerunner	Aspidoscelis sexlineata	G5	S4			С
Eastern spiny softshell	Apalone spinifera	G5	S1	Ι		А
Green seaturtle	Chelonia mydas	G3	SNA	Т	Т	D
Kemp's ridley seaturtle	Lepidochelys kempii	G1	S1N	Е	Е	А
Leatherback seaturtle	Dermochelys coriacea	G2	SNA	Е	Е	D
Loggerhead seaturtle	Caretta caretta	G3	S1B,S1N	Т	Т	А



Common Name	Scientific Name	G-Rank ¹	S-Rank ¹	State- listed ²	Federally listed ²	Conservation status group ³
Mole kingsnake	Lampropeltis calligaster rhombomaculata	G5T5	S4			С
Mountain earthsnake	Virginia valeriae pulchra	G5T3T4	S1S2	Е		А
Northern coal skink	Plestiodon anthracinus	G5	<b>S</b> 1	Е		А
Northern diamond-backed terrapin	Malaclemys terrapin terrapin	G4T4Q	S4			С
Northern map turtle	Graptemys geographica	G5	<b>S</b> 1	E*		А
Northern pinesnake	Pituophis melanoleucus	G4	SH			Е
Northern scarletsnake	Cemophora coccinea	G5	<b>S</b> 3			С
Plain-bellied watersnake	Nerodia erythrogaster	G5	S2S3			В
Rainbow snake	Farancia erytrogramma	G4	S1	E		А
Red cornsnake	Pantherophis guttatus	G5	S4			С
Smooth greensnake	Opheodrys vernalis	G5	S5**			С
Spotted turtle	Clemmys guttata	G5	S5**			С
Timber rattlesnake	Crotalus horridus	G4	<b>S</b> 3			С
Wood turtle	Glyptemys insculpta	G3	S2S3**			А

 1  = See Table 3.5 for S-rank and G-rank definitions

² = T (Threatened); E (Endangered); I (In Need of Conservation); X (Endangered Extirpated)

 3  = See Table 3.7 for Conservation Status definitions

* = a qualifier denoting the species is listed in a limited geographic area only

** = Proposed State Rank changes

# **Fishes of Maryland**

The Chesapeake Bay, Coastal Bays, Atlantic Ocean, and Maryland's rivers, streams, lakes, and ponds are home to many types of freshwater and saltwater fishes. Some of the state's fish species are freshwater residents, such as brook trout and mud sunfish. Some fishes are residents of the estuaries, including hogchoker (*Trinectes maculatus*) and northern pipefish (*Syngnathus fuscus*). Scup (*Stenotomus chrysops*) and bluefin tuna (*Thunnus thynnus*) are among the fish species that live in marine waters, and several species of shark are highly



migratory, traveling long distances. Anadromous fish species that utilize Maryland's freshwater rivers for spawning include striped bass (*Morone saxatilis*), shad, and herring. Some species (e.g., red drum (*Sciaenops ocellatus*), tautog (*Tautoga onitis*), Atlantic croaker [*Micropogonias undulates*]) spawn in marine waters but rely upon estuaries for juvenile development, while other marine species spawn in estuaries (e.g., weakfish [*Cynoscion regalis*]) or use them as foraging habitat (e.g., black drum [*Pogonias cromis*]).

Maryland waters support a number of game fish. For example, trout are found in the streams of the mountains to the Piedmont, striped bass occur in the Chesapeake Bay, and marlin and tuna inhabit the open waters of the Atlantic. Numerous species have been stocked in Maryland's streams over the past 135 years, including largemouth bass (*Micropterus salmoides*), brown (*Salmo trutta*) and rainbow trout (*Oncorhynchus mykiss*) and common carp (*Cyprinus carpio*) (Boward et al. 1999). MD DNR Fisheries Service currently stocks a number of ponds, lakes and streams with warm-water and cold-water species every year; in the spring of 2015, a total of 337,400 trout were released (MD DNR 2015c).

The Fishery Management Plan (FMP) Workgroup of the Chesapeake Bay Program (CBP) led the development of some of the first Chesapeake Bay-specific fish management plans guiding conservation of the major commercial, recreational and ecologically valuable fish species in the Bay, including shad and herring (CBP 1989a), striped bass (CBP 1989b), and summer flounder (*Paralichthys dentatus*) (CBP 1991a). The latest full Fishery Management Plan includes updates for fifteen FMPs encompassing 21 species (MD DNR 2014). Fisheries management expanded to the ecosystem level when representatives from a number of organizations focused on the health of the Chesapeake Bay came together to develop a Fisheries Ecosystem Plan (Chesapeake Bay Fisheries Ecosystem Advisory Panel 2006). This Ecosystem Plan describes the structure and function of the Chesapeake Bay ecosystem, focusing on the interactions between key fisheries species in an effort to move beyond traditional fisheries management tools like controlling harvest limits. Based on this foundational plan, detailed ecosystem-based reports were developed for fisheries species and species groups including alosines (MDSG 2011), striped bass (MDSG 2009a), and menhaden (*Brevoortia tyrannus*) (MDSG 2009b).

The <u>Atlantic States Marine Fisheries Commission (ASMFC</u>), Mid-Atlantic Fishery Management Council (MAFMC) and National Marine Fisheries Service (NMFS) have also developed FMPs for numerous fish species that are found in the state's Atlantic waters. These plans, regular revisions, and updates to the plans promote habitat management and protection to achieve interstate conservation goals. The Chesapeake Bay Program website hosts a detailed <u>field guide</u> featuring residential and migratory fish of the Chesapeake Bay watershed.



### **Freshwater Fishes**

MD DNR's Maryland Biological Stream Survey (MBSS) has sampled over 4,000 sites since 1995, compiling a robust inventory of the status and distribution of the nearly 100 freshwater fish species found in the state (Versar, Inc. 2011). The survey sampled streams representing the four ecological stream types: Highlands Warmwater, Highlands Coldwater, East Piedmont, and Coastal Plain. Eighteen species of freshwater fish were found to occur in all geographic regions. Coastal



Blackbanded sunfish (Dave Neely, MD DNR)

Plain streams had the most fish species (60), followed closely by East Piedmont streams (55 species). The most common fishes in Maryland's streams include the blacknose dace, creek chub (*Semotilus atromaculatus*), American eel (*Anguilla rostrata*), tessellated darter (*Etheostoma olmstedi*), and bluegill (*Lepomis macrochirus*). MBSS continues to maintain the best available scientific information regarding population status, abundance, and distribution of nongame freshwater fishes in the state.

Non-native fishes have been widely introduced in Maryland dating as far back as 1854 (Smith & Bean 1899). Many introductions were made to establish and maintain recreational fisheries, while others resulted from the illegal release of unused bait, unwanted aquarium pets, or of fishes purchased from live seafood markets. At least 20-25 introduced fishes now inhabit Maryland's waters, and some have acclimated very well, like the popular largemouth bass and the not-so-popular common carp. Some introduced fishes have proven to be invasive. For example, the northern snakehead (*Channa argus*), an illegally introduced predatory fish from Asia, was first established in the Potomac River and has since spread to other river basins like the Patuxent and Nanticoke. MD DNR is now working to prevent further spread of snakehead and to control established populations. In order to control the abundance of this species in invaded waters, anglers in Maryland and Virginia are required to kill any snakeheads that they catch.

## **Marine and Estuarine Fishes**

Maryland's marine and estuarine waters host a diverse array of fishes, with the Chesapeake Bay hosting 350 fish species, the Coastal Bays more than 140 species of fish, and the Atlantic Ocean being home to hundreds more (MD DNR 2004b; Pyzik et al. 2004). The 2001 commercial landings of fish and shellfish from Chesapeake Bay were worth \$239 million (NMFS 2013); which is a \$64 million increase in worth since 2004. A number of Maryland's marine and estuarine fish species have been overfished or show serious population declines, leading to the adoption of fishery management plans to conserve many individual species.



Although many marine species have existing Fishery Management Plans to guide their conservation (e.g., striped bass, spiny dogfish [Squalus acanthias], goosefish [Lophius *americanus*)/ scup), harvest pressure coupled with impaired habitat has resulted in population declines and many questions on the status of forage species, trophic interactions, and the loss of critical spawning and nursery habitat remain unanswered. Sharks, marlin and tuna are highly migratory species that move over large areas of the ocean and are not permanent residents of the state's marine waters. As a result, their management requires regional, national and sometimes international partnerships. The National Marine Fisheries Service monitors the status of highly migratory species and has developed a fishery management plan (NMFS 2006) outlining conservation efforts for sharks, tuna and swordfish (Xiphias gladius). This plan continues to be amended regularly.



Atlantic sturgeon (MD DNR)

### **SGCN Fishes of Maryland**

Thirty-one fishes have been identified by the SWAP process as species of greatest conservation need (SGCN) in Maryland (Table 3.16). Three fishes are federally listed as Endangered including Maryland darter, Atlantic sturgeon, and shortnose sturgeon; the thorny skate is federally listed as a Special Concern species. Seventeen SGCN fish species are state-listed, 12 of which are listed as Threatened or Endangered, 6 are of national or international concern, 6 are of conservation concern in the Northeastern U.S. region (RSGCN), and an additional 5 species are included due to concerns about declining populations or for other reasons. For additional regional, national, and international ranks see Appendices 3a, 3b, and 3c.

Maryland's Atlantic coastal waters support three SGCN fishes – the thorny skate, barndoor skate, and smooth skate – that are of regional conservation concern and are considered vulnerable or Endangered by the IUCN. The status of these fishes in Maryland waters is largely unknown and requires additional survey efforts and research. Coastal waters also serve as important habitat to Atlantic sturgeon and shortnose sturgeon. These fishes also frequent the Chesapeake Bay estuary and its tidal tributaries. These tidal waters are an important habitat for these species, utilized as adult spawning grounds and as juvenile nurseries. A fall spawning population of the Atlantic sturgeon was recently discovered in Marshyhope Creek in the Nanticoke River basin, providing promising news for the conservation of this prehistoric-looking species. This fall-spawning life history strategy was previously unrecognized – most populations of Atlantic sturgeon spawn during spring. Spotfin killifish, another SGCN, is a species of the tidal estuary that is found within the intertidal shallows along brackish marshes. It is often found in shallow pools no more than an inch deep and can tolerate sudden shifts in temperature and oxygen concentrations. Spotfin killifish often becomes stranded in standing intertidal pools among marsh grasses where it awaits the next incoming tide.

Coastal Plain streams and rivers support a variety of SGCN fishes. Bowfin and white catfish are predators of Maryland's highly productive large Coastal Plain rivers. Upstream in smaller



Coastal Plain streams, the solitary, secretive mud sunfish lurks in slow water, hidden among soft substrate and submerged vegetation. Two additional SGCN fishes, blackbanded sunfish and banded sunfish, are perfectly adapted to the naturally low oxygen, acidic blackwater swamps that characterize forested lowlands of the Eastern Shore. Ironcolor shiner and swamp darter can also be found in these dystrophic habitats.

Two SGCN fishes in Maryland have restricted ranges that straddle the Fall Line separating the Coastal Plain and Piedmont physiographic provinces. One of these is the federally Endangered Maryland darter. The state's only endemic vertebrate, the Maryland darter is one of the world's rarest fish species and may now be extinct. Known historically from only three streams in Harford County, it was last observed in a single riffle of Deer Creek in 1988 (Raesly 1992). Intensive survey efforts over the past 25 years have failed to find Maryland's namesake fish (Kilian & Raesly 2012). The Maryland darter is subject to the same stressors as other freshwater fishes; however, due to its restricted distribution, it is especially vulnerable. The Chesapeake logperch, a species described in 2008 (Near 2008), is another resident of Deer Creek and nearby tributaries. This species is a habitat generalist – equally at home in fast-flowing, cobble strewn riffles in Piedmont streams as it is in slow, vegetated habitats common within the Coastal Plain. Although once known from the Potomac River, the distribution of the Chesapeake logperch now includes only the waters of the Upper Chesapeake Bay and the Lower Susquehanna River in Maryland and southeastern Pennsylvania.

Congregating in large schools during the early months of spring, hickory shad and American shad are SGCN fishes that migrate long distances from the sea to spawn in freshwater. These anadromous species utilize spawning habitats in both Coastal Plain and Piedmont streams of Maryland. Although populations of these species remain depleted from historical levels due to a combination of dams, overfishing, and pollution, extensive restoration efforts including hatchery propagation and the removal of stream blockages (e.g., dams, culverts) have been largely successful at increasing their abundance in bay tributaries – especially that of hickory shad.

From the Piedmont province and west, Maryland's SGCN fishes are associated with higher gradient streams with an abundance of cobble, gravel, and other course substrates. For example, the stonecat is a small, nocturnal catfish that seeks shelter during daylight hours under large submerged boulders. It is a species that was eliminated from a large portion of its historical range in western Maryland as a result of poor coal mining practices. Several SGCN fishes in this region are restricted to clean, coldwater habitats. For example, the mottled sculpin is a small, bottom dwelling insectivorous fish found in high gradient, coldwater streams of the Youghiogheny River basin. As a species that requires clean coarse substrate to spawn, the mottled sculpin tends to be especially susceptible to sedimentation. The longnose sucker, a species that is likely extirpated, was once also a coldwater Youghiogheny River dweller. Brook trout, a coldwater specialist that ranges from the Appalachian Plateau to the eastern Piedmont, prefers stream temperatures below 20°C year round to survive. SGCN fishes of western Maryland also include checkered sculpin and pearl dace – two limestone stream specialists restricted to spring-fed streams flowing through the karst terrain of the Great Valley of Maryland near Hagerstown.



### **Threats to SGCN Fishes**

The dependence of SGCN fish species on aquatic environments makes them vulnerable to negative inputs to streams, rivers, and estuaries. For example, run-off from roads, impervious surfaces, and farm fields can directly contaminate SGCN habitats through inputs of road salt, oil, pesticides, herbicides, nutrients, and excessive fine sediments. All flowing water bodies are influenced by upstream inputs, and accumulations of toxins, sediments, and nutrients can be particularly acute in large rivers and estuaries. Removal of trees from a watershed in general and especially from riparian areas can reduce the quality and quantity of fish habitat by increasing stream temperature, stream bank erosion, and decreasing instream woody debris, rootwads, and leaf litter. The influx of silt that often accompanies deforestation can bury important spawning and feeding habitats negatively affecting SGCN like stripeback darter and mottled sculpin. Any changes in pH, temperature, and turbidity from acid mine drainage, livestock grazing, urbanization, and other sources can make habitats unsuitable for SGCN fishes. For example, brook trout, the only trout species native to Maryland, are particularly sensitive to temperature changes that occur when forest cover is removed. This species has suffered drastic population declines. Once likely found in the millions, the population of brook trout has decreased to just under 200,000 statewide (Dew-Baxter & Southerland 2013). Brook trout are now extirpated from 62% of historically occupied subwatersheds in Maryland, a 5% increase since the initial assessment (57%) completed in 2005 (MD DNR 2006). As a species that thrives in cold water, their population decline is attributed to hot water runoff from roofs, roadsides, and other impervious surfaces, loss of trees along streams, and climate change.

Human activities in or near aquatic environments can adversely affect SGCN and their habitats. Bridge construction and demolition, dredging, and vessel strikes, have adversely affected SGCN of large rivers such as the shortnose and Atlantic sturgeons (Litwiler 2001). Similarly, the practice of stream ditching and channelization, common on Delmarva Peninsula, can adversely impact SGCN including mud sunfish. Surface and groundwater withdrawals for drinking water and irrigation are an increasing threat to stream and river habitats, especially in rapidly urbanizing areas. Dams and other barriers to fish passage, such as road culverts, isolate populations and disrupt the habitat connectivity that many resident and migratory fishes require to remain a viable part of Maryland's fauna. These barriers prevent the upstream and downstream movement of fishes in response to stressors and may hinder their ability to adapt to altered flow and temperature regimes expected with climate change. Pesticide applications, such as those for mosquito control, can reduce aquatic prey species important to many SGCN fishes. Overharvest has particularly affected sturgeon and shad. Species introduced for sport, mosquito control, or other means (e.g., bait bucket introductions, released pets) can impact SGCN fishes through direct competition and predation. Other non-native, invasive species like the zebra mussel (Dreissena polymorpha) have the capacity to alter the structure and function of aquatic food webs directly and indirectly, affecting SGCN fishes and their habitats. Aquatic invasive species are an increasing threat to SGCN fishes, especially with forecasted increases in the global trade of live organisms (Levine & D'Antonio 2003; Padilla & Williams 2004).



## **Conservation Actions and Information Needs for SGCN Fishes**

For the effective conservation of SGCN fishes, threats to aquatic habitats must be addressed at both local and landscape scales, from headwaters to large rivers and the Chesapeake Bay. Minimizing or eliminating stressors that affect key components of streams, rivers, and estuaries are possible through better land use planning, improved stormwater management, reduction of impervious surfaces, mitigation of acid mine drainage, improved wastewater treatment, improved agricultural and forestry practices, reduction of pesticide use, and maintaining and improving riparian buffers. Careful planning to limit the location and extent of deforestation, urbanization, and nutrient inputs is needed to conserve functioning watersheds. The ecological impacts of surface and groundwater withdrawals should be better assessed and research should be conducted to quantify the minimum flow requirements of each SGCN fish species. Maps of groundwater and hydrological systems could assist with determining potential impacts and planning restoration activities. Dams should continue to be removed wherever possible. When removal is not an option, fish passage should be improved with ladders or other techniques. Coordination and planning with state and county highway departments should be increased to replace undersized or faulty road culverts and encourage state-of-the-art stream crossing designs that reduce stream alterations and improve connectivity of SGCN habitats.

More information on the seasonal movements and spatial life history requirements of SGCN, including anadromous fishes, is needed to determine habitat requirements. Recreational management plans are important tools for conservation for some species, such as the brook trout management plan. Regulatory controls are needed to limit the establishment of non-natives and minimize their impact. Research on the impacts of competition between native and non-native species is also needed. Continued regulation is critical for the recovery of SGCN shad and sturgeon populations. Reintroduction after habitat restoration has the potential to increase populations of some SGCN species.

To restore Atlantic sturgeon, American shad, and hickory shad in the Chesapeake Bay, MD DNR's Fisheries Service uses a combination of closed fishery, removal of barriers to spawning grounds, water quality improvements, and hatchery-produced fish. Information regarding threats and conservation actions for these fishes can be found in the Fishery Management Plan for Atlantic Sturgeon by the Atlantic States Marine Fisheries Commission (ASMFC 1998) and subsequent addenda, and Maryland's regional American Shad Habitat Plan (Capossela 2014), in addition to the original Interstate Fishery Management Plan for American Shad and River Herring and associated amendments which include compliance and monitoring requirements for states (ASMFC 1985, 1999). Federal recovery plans exist for Atlantic sturgeon, shortnose sturgeon, and Maryland darter (Table 3.15).

New to the 2015 SGCN fishes list are the barndoor, smooth, and thorny skates. The thorny skate is a federal species of concern, but all three skates have seen heavy declines in the Atlantic Coast fishery, which includes seven species in the Northeast Skate Complex. The barndoor and thorny skate species are overfished, and restrictions on possession of these species are in place. The barndoor, smooth, and thorny skate species are managed by NOAA Fisheries, which in conjunction with the New England Fishery Management Council, implemented the Northeast Skate Complex Fishery Management Plan in 2003 (New England



Fishery Management Council 2003). A team is working to reassess the status of all seven species in the fishery and revise the plan with recent research (Cavanagh & Damon-Randall 2009).

Fish Species	Federal Recovery Plan	Recent Action		
Atlantic sturgeon	In progress	2012: Final listing rule for Gulf of Maine, New York Bight, and Chesapeake Bay DPS of Atlantic Sturgeon in the Northeast Region		
Maryland darter	<u>USFWS 1985</u>	2012: Initiation of 5-year review		
Shortnose sturgeon	NOAA Fisheries 1998	2010: Biological assessment		

## Table 3.15 Existing federal recovery plans for SGCN fishes.

Common Name	Scientific Name	G-Rank ¹	S-Rank ¹	State- listed ²	Federally listed ²	Conservation status group ³
American brook lamprey	Lethenteron appendix	G4	S1S2	Т		А
American shad	Alosa sapidissima	G5	S3			С
Atlantic sturgeon	Acipenser oxyrinchus	G3	<b>S</b> 1	Е	E	А
Banded sunfish	Enneacanthus obesus	G5	S2			В
Barndoor skate	Dipturus laevis	G3	SNR			D
Blackbanded sunfish	Enneacanthus chaetodon	G3G4	<b>S</b> 1	Е		А
Bowfin	Amia calva	G5	S1			А
Bridle shiner	Notropis bifrenatus	G3	SH	Х		Е
Brook trout	Salvelinus fontinalis	G5	S3S4			С
Checkered sculpin	Cottus sp 7	G4Q	S1S2			А
Chesapeake logperch	Percina bimaculata	G1G2	S1S2	Т		А
Comely shiner	Notropis amoenus	G5	<b>S</b> 3			С
Flier	Centrarchus macropterus	G5	S1S2	Т		А
Glassy darter	Etheostoma vitreum	G4G5	S1S2	Т		А
Hickory shad	Alosa mediocris	G4	<b>S</b> 3			С



Common Name	Scientific Name	G-Rank ¹	S-Rank ¹	State- listed ²	Federally listed ²	Conservation status group ³
Ironcolor shiner	Notropis chalybaeus	G4	<b>S</b> 1	Е		A
Johnny darter	Etheostoma nigrum	G5	<b>S</b> 3			С
Longnose sucker	Catostomus catostomus	G5	SH	Х		Е
Maryland darter	Etheostoma sellare	GH	SH	Е	Е	Е
Mottled sculpin	Cottus bairdi	G5	S3S4			С
Mud sunfish	Acantharchus pomotis	G4G5	S2	Ι		В
Pearl dace	Margariscus margarita	G4	S1S2	Т		А
Shortnose sturgeon	Acipenser brevirostrum	G3	<b>S</b> 1	Е	Е	А
Smooth skate	Malacoraja senta	GNR	SNR			D
Spotfin killifish	Fundulus luciae	G4	S2			В
Stonecat	Noturus flavus	G5	<b>S</b> 1	Е		А
Stripeback darter	Percina notogramma	G4	<b>S</b> 1	Е		А
Striped shiner	Luxilus chrysocephal us	G5	S1S2	Ι		А
Swamp darter	Etheostoma fusiforme	G5	S2	Ι		В
Thorny skate	Amblyraja radiata	GNR	SNR		SC	D
White catfish	Ameiurus catus	G5	SU			D

 1  = See Table 3.5 for S-rank and G-rank definitions

² = T (Threatened); E (Endangered); I (In Need of Conservation); X (Endangered Extirpated)

 3  = See Table 3.7 for Conservation Status definitions

## **Invertebrates of Maryland**

As a group, Maryland's invertebrates are not nearly as well studied as vertebrates. Historically, and to varying degrees persisting today, there has been greater public interest and focus on more "charismatic megafauna." This also is true at both the regional and national scale due largely to the overwhelming number of invertebrate species, limited number of taxonomic specialists, and the complexities of the ecological communities of which they are an integral part. Because Maryland has marine, estuarine, freshwater and terrestrial environments, the invertebrate fauna of Maryland are diverse and include many



thousands of species representing a wide variety of taxonomic groups, such as flatworms; freshwater mussels and other molluscs; crustaceans; spiders; and numerous insect groups, including dragonflies and damselflies, moths and butterflies, and many more.

Most species require further study while others have received significant attention and are known to be Endangered, Threatened, or In Need of Conservation in the state. Fairly well-researched taxa groups include butterflies, dragonflies and damselflies (odonates), and freshwater mussels, a small percentage of the total number. Others, such as bees and moths, are known well enough to be recognized as species or taxonomic groups of conservation concern that merit further study and focus by academia, natural resource agencies, and the broader conservation community.

Many invertebrate species serve as excellent indicators of biotic integrity and environmental health. More than 500 genera of benthic macroinvertebrates are found in Maryland streams, allowing MD DNR to utilize an Index of Biotic Integrity for benthic macroinvertebrate species (plus another for fish) to assess the health of stream communities (Versar, Inc. 2011). The number of pollution-sensitive benthic macroinvertebrate taxa is another measure that MD DNR uses to assess stream health. Several mayflies, stoneflies and caddisflies, collectively called EPT for their taxonomic orders (Ephemeroptera, Plecoptera, and Trichoptera), are monitored to indicate water quality and/or physical habitat degradation of Maryland's streams (Boward et al. 1999). The benthic communities of the Coastal Bays and their associated tidal streams similarly have served as biological indicators for the health of those estuaries (DNR 2004d).

Several species of aquatic invertebrates are of high economic importance, either as commercially valuable species or because they are pest species. Commercially important species include the blue crab, several species of clams and the eastern oyster (*Crassostrea virginica*), all of which are managed by MD DNR's Fisheries Service with the goal of attaining healthy, sustainable populations. Although declining and a Species of Greatest Conservation Need, horseshoe crabs are commercially valuable, with Maryland catches making up 23-78% of the northeast region's landings along the Atlantic Coast since 1980 (CBP 1994). Horseshoe crabs are valuable as bait and for use in the biomedical industry. Approximately 255,000 horseshoe crabs are fished annually from Maryland waters – roughly 32% of the total harvest occurring along the Atlantic Coast (Sweka et al. 2013). The state's populations of <u>horseshoe crab</u>, <u>blue crab</u> (*Callinectes sapidus*) and eastern oysters have existing fishery management plans that are reviewed annually (ASMFC 1998; CBP 1998, 2004b).

The vast majority of invertebrates, however, are clearly understudied and data deficient. Some species remain sparsely documented while many are yet to be discovered and described. The paucity of available invertebrate information is an important limitation to our ability to fully represent wildlife and broader biodiversity conservation needs in Maryland's State Wildlife Action Plan. Although the population statuses for several invertebrate taxa groups and for some rare species are fairly well known, little is known for the vast majority of the thousands of invertebrates in Maryland. For this reason, this Plan takes a coarse-filter approach to invertebrate conservation, using available data on the quality and distribution of



natural vegetative communities and key wildlife habitats as surrogates for species lacking status information. For example, many butterflies require one or a few species of food plants in order to complete their life cycles. To take a well-known example, the Monarch butterfly lays its eggs on milkweeds in the genus *Asclepias*. Preserve habitats with milkweeds and this part of the butterfly's life cycle will be secure. For many odonates (dragonflies and damselflies), part of their life cycle is completed in the clean waters of flowing streams. Maintaining clean and free-flowing waters will enhance odonate populations. This more holistic approach of focusing on habitats and natural communities will proactively provide conservation to these SGCN, as well as to the entire spectrum of wildlife from rare to abundant.



Left, a monarch caterpillar on a milkweed plant (Richard Orr); right, a monarch butterfly (Orr)

## **SGCN Insects of Maryland**

MD DNR lists 272 insects as Species of Greatest Conservation Need (SGCN). This list (Table 3.18) includes the following insect orders: Collembola (1 species), Coleoptera (22), Diptera (3), Ephemeroptera (1), Hemiptera (2), Hymenoptera (36), Lepidoptera (101), Odonata (93), Plecoptera (6), and Trichoptera (7). Most of these species are so poorly known that they cannot be classified as Endangered or Threatened, only in need of further study (i.e., data deficient), but about ten percent of the insects have been studied well enough to warrant a particular conservation status.

The SGCN insect list includes 45 state-listed species, of which 35 are listed as Threatened or Endangered. Sixty-two species are of national or international concern. The puritan tiger beetle and the northeastern beach tiger beetle are federally listed as Threatened and state-listed as Endangered. For additional regional, national, and international ranks see Appendices 3a, 3b, and 3c.



## SGCN Aquatic Insects (Odonates and Ephemeroptera, Plecoptera, Trichoptera)

Aquatic insects are an extremely diverse group, spanning some 13 orders of insects from springtails (Order Collembola) to caddisflies (Order Trichoptera) and containing thousands of species, some assuredly still undiscovered and unknown to science. They are a dominant

part of most freshwater aquatic food webs, play critical roles in nutrient cycling, and serve as excellent indicators of aquatic habitat condition and biotic integrity. Still, for most aquatic insect groups, their study and identification, especially to species level, require specialized taxonomic skills and training, which can pose formidable challenges to documenting species presence, distribution, ecological requirements, threats and conservation needs. Certain taxa, however, such as stoneflies (Order Plecoptera), mayflies (Order Ephemeroptera), caddisflies (Order Trichoptera)



Banded pennant (Kerry Wixted, MD DNR)

and especially odonates (dragonflies and damselflies, Order Odonata), are relatively well known. Members of the first three of these orders, often referred to as "EPT", serve as important biological indicators for water quality.

In the case of odonates, considerable progress has been made over the past few decades in determining their status, distribution, and habitat associations. This is due, in large part, to the recent publication of excellent field guides and a surge in interest among amateur naturalists, professional entomologists, state and federal conservation agencies, and NGO's. Many years of concerted and often collaborative survey efforts by odonate experts, naturalists, and DNR-NHP biologists led to a recent, comprehensive statewide status assessment of dragonflies and damselflies. Today, a total of 182 odonate taxa have been recorded in Maryland. Over half (93 of 182 species) have been identified as SGCN. Many have highly restricted ranges and stringent habitat requirements, and some are associated with rare, unique and/or high quality aquatic habitats, such as pristine headwater streams, forested seepage wetlands, montane rivers, and Carolina bays. The state's SGCN odonates include 9 globally rare species, 85 state rare species, 7 species that occurred here historically and may have been extirpated, and 11 species that are state-listed. As a group, few other animal taxa have such a high proportion of species warranting conservation attention, perhaps reflecting, in part, the degraded condition of their freshwater habitats.

The SGCN list also includes 6 stonefly species, 7 caddisflies, and one mayfly. All are globally rare but, as with most members of these three species groups, detailed information is lacking about their Maryland status, distribution, and ecological requirements. To date, a total of 89 stoneflies, 106 caddisflies, and 57 mayfly species have been recorded in Maryland, and surveys are likely to reveal additional species, many of which may be state or globally rare given the numerous threats to their habitats.

## Case Study: SGCN Pollinators


## The Importance of Pollinators

The 2015 SGCN contains 128 pollinator species, comprised of 101 species of butterflies and moths, 26 species of bees, and the six-banded longhorn beetle. Some of these pollinator species were included in the 2005 SWAP as SGCN, but were not identified as such, nor were pollinators the subject of any unique conservation actions. However, in light of the importance of pollinators in natural communities and in activities of human interest, it is critical that conservation planners focus on the integral roles of pollinators in Maryland and across North America, and on the threats that affect these species today.



Pearly-banded bee (Sam Droege)

Pollination, the act of fertilizing a plant by transferring pollen from anther to stigma, forms a mutually beneficial relationship between plants and the pollinators who rely on plants for sustenance and shelter. Of the 240,000 flowering plant species around the world, roughly 75% rely on pollinators in order to reproduce (National Resource Council 2007). Included within this group are many types of plant species upon which large grazing animals rely for sustenance, as well as plant species that produce seeds and fruits which support birds and small mammals. Within the United States alone, 130 of the agricultural crops grown rely on animal and insect pollinators to enable plant reproduction and the production of fruits and seeds (The Heinz Center 2013).

The estimated commercial value of crops that rely on pollination to produce fruit was roughly \$15.1 billion in 2009, with nearly \$12 billion of that sum directly attributed to pollination from honey bees (Pollinator Health Task Force 2015). It is difficult to calculate the exact economic value of pollinators in the environment because there are a variety of ecosystem services provided by a multitude of pollinating species. In natural systems, pollinator species contributions to the maintenance of plants contributes to ecosystem services such as carbon sequestration, water filtration, soil erosion control, and genetic diversity enhancement (Pollinator Health Task Force 2015).

### Threats to Pollinators

_____

**Habitat Loss and Fragmentation:** Advancement of farming techniques has led to increasingly intensive use of agricultural land. Plowing, mowing, and other agriculture-related ground disturbances can destroy nesting and overwintering sites. Conversion of diverse grassland habitat to monocultured landscapes reduces foraging grounds (Hatfield et al. 2012). Social bees and wasps face the additional threat of colony isolation as a result of fragmentation. Isolated colonies may be more vulnerable to random severe weather events and disease outbreaks. The impacts of deer browse, predation, and parasitism might also be exacerbated in small, isolated colonies.

**Pesticide Use and Toxins:** Insecticides, designed to kill insects, and herbicides, which kill undesirable plants, are used widely in both agricultural and urban landscapes. Pesticides can have direct toxic and lethal effects on species, and may also reduce floral diversity, eliminating food sources and habitat area. These effects are exacerbated when combined with other stressors, such as climate change and the spread of invasive species (Pollinator Health Task Force 2015). Pesticides are often not adequately regulated and pose threats to

pollinators when users are not educated about the extensive effects of these chemicals (Hatfield et al. 2012).

**Pathogens and Pests:** Pollinators and their habitats may be harmed by invasive mites, larger predator animals, and pathogens including bacteria, viruses, and fungi. The effects of pest animals and pathogens can become more severe when combined with lack of forage material and exposure to pesticides (Pollinator Health Task Force 2015). Due to global distribution of bees for commercial means, introduced pathogens have become a major threat to both kept and wild bee populations. Recent bumble bee declines have been partly attributed to the transmission of *Nosema bombi*, a parasitic fungus that was introduced to American bees from imported European bumble bees. This fungus has been shown to reduce colony size by affecting survival rates and sperm counts of adult bees (Hatfield et al. 2012).

**Genetic Diversity:** A lack of genetic diversity, known as inbreeding depression, is a problem for many species of pollinators including butterflies and bees. Most affected are species with populations living in small patch habitats within a fragmented landscape that are incapable of long-distance dispersal. These limitations prevent gene flow between isolated populations, causing the expression of adverse characteristics in inbred offspring.

#### Conservation Actions for Pollinators

Because pollinating species are such integral players in their ecosystems and are significant to human well-being, immediate conservation action is crucial to restore the health of these species and their habitats. Conservation actions range from increasing public knowledge of pollinating species and their roles to creating more numerous and healthier habitats for these species. Roads and utility right-of-ways are ideal potential habitats for pollinators, especially when native plants are allowed to grow in these areas. To take advantage of this opportunity, conservation actions such as reworking mowing regimes along roadsides, medians, storm management facilities, and powerline right-of-ways and developing and providing seed mixes that utility companies can plant in their work areas can assist in expanding pollinator habitat. Croplands and personal yards can also become pollinator habitat with the planting of native flowering plants. MD DNR and other conservation advocates can assist by developing lists of pollinator-friendly plants, educating the public about the benefits of pollinator species and the harmful effects of pesticide sprays, and encouraging the planting of native species for bee refugia around croplands.

Social pollinators such as honeybees are threatened by colony collapse disorder (CCD), which is causing unprecedented death rates in bee populations across the United States. CCD is attributed primarily to parasitic mites and the diseases they transmit, in addition to overuse of pesticides, malnutrition, and stress. Limiting pesticide use and planting native plants supports colonial bees as well as solitary species of bees and other insects. As research continues on pollinator health, population declines, and CCD, citizen science is a major source of information on status of pollinator species. <u>Bumblebee Watch</u> and the <u>Great Sunflower Project</u> are two citizen science projects that encourage the public to submit pollinator sightings.



## **Other SGCN Invertebrates of Maryland**

MD DNR lists 78 other invertebrates as Species of Greatest Conservation Need (SGCN). This list (Table 3.18) includes 10 species of flatworm, 14 freshwater mussels, 14 land snails, 34 freshwater crustaceans, 1 marine arthropod, and 5 spiders. The list of other SGCN invertebrates includes 31 state-listed species, of which 23 are listed as Threatened or Endangered; 41 are of national or international concern; and 5 are of conservation concern in the Northeastern U.S. region (RSGCN). The dwarf wedgemussel is federally listed as an Endangered species. For additional regional, national, and international ranks, see Appendix 3a and 3b.

Even nationally, endangered species of invertebrates are disproportionately underrepresented in species conservation efforts. As a result, many scientists call for an ecosystem-level approach to provide conservation for endangered invertebrates, while collecting needed information about the diversity, abundance and distribution of these species. Eventually population data will allow species-based actions to be incorporated into management plans to protect specific endangered invertebrate species (Black et al. 2001).

#### SGCN Subterranean and Groundwater Species

This highly diverse group comprises 43 Species of Greatest Conservation Need (SGCN). All are obligate subterranean species that are restricted to cave habitats and/or shallow groundwater springs and seeps (hypotelminorheic habitats). It includes many of the state's rarest, most imperiled species, including at least eight Maryland endemics, five of which occur at just a single site. The group is represented by 27 crustaceans (19 amphipods, 8 isopods), 10 flatworms or planarians, 2 spiders, 2 aquatic snails, a beetle and a springtail. Of the 43 species, 25 are globally rare, 34 are state rare



Franz's cave amphipod (Dan Feller, MD DNR)

and 22 are state-listed: 19 as Endangered, 2 as In Need of Conservation, and 1 species as Endangered Extirpated. Most species are aquatic, occurring in cave drip pools, phreatic (groundwater) pools, subterranean streams, shallow groundwater aquifers and associated spring and seep emergences. Their greatest diversity lies in karst-dominated areas in western Maryland, followed by the Piedmont and near the Fall Line where the Piedmont abruptly transitions into the Upper Coastal Plain. Most are troglomorphic, exhibiting physical adaptations to subterranean life, such as reduced eyes and pigment. Caves and other subterranean habitats are extremely fragile and subject to numerous threats that could permanently alter or eliminate them.

### **SGCN Freshwater Mussels**

Freshwater mussels belong to the order Unionoida, a subgroup of bivalves (animals with a single pair of hinged shells or valves) comprising 840 species worldwide. They are distinguished from all other bivalves by a unique shell structure, pearly nacre on the inside of the shells, lack of byssal threads (fibrous strands used by some bivalves like the zebra mussel



to permanently attach themselves to substrates), and unique life histories that require host fish to reproduce successfully. The U.S. supports the greatest number of freshwater mussels with approximately 300 species. Most of this diversity lies in the southeastern U.S., a region with unrivaled mussel diversity and among the world's great centers of freshwater biodiversity. Maryland is positioned near the northeastern edge of this global mussel biodiversity hotspot with 16 species.

Freshwater mussels, distant cousins to the beleaguered eastern oyster, play a critical role in freshwater ecosystems, one that is intricately tied to the health of our watersheds. They have unique life cycles, behavioral adaptations, and evolutionary histories. In addition to having a major role in aquatic food webs and serving as prey for numerous aquatic and terrestrial species, they perform essential nutrient cycling and have enormous filtering capacity. Healthy mussel beds also provide habitat for many other aquatic species.



*Triangle floater* (James McCann, MD DNR)

Recent studies and status assessments have also revealed alarming declines in freshwater mussels. In the United States, over 70% of the approximately 300 species are declining, endangered, or extinct. A similar pattern holds in Maryland where 14 of the state's 16 native species have been identified as SGCN. These include seven globally rare species and six state-listed species. At both the state and national level, freshwater mussels represent one of the most endangered groups of species and their decline is an indication of the degraded condition of many of our streams and rivers.

Since 1990, MD DNR-NHP (and more recently in collaboration with MD DNR-MBSS) has conducted nearly 1,500 freshwater mussel surveys in streams, rivers, and impoundments throughout the state. These data, along with intensive population monitoring for some high priority species, have provided essential information for conducting species status assessments, identifying important mussel habitat, and prioritizing conservation actions. Presently, four species are state-listed as Endangered, including the dwarf wedgemussel, which is also federally listed as Endangered. Two other species, the Atlantic spike and creeper, are state-listed as In Need of Conservation. Among the most imperiled species are brook floater and green floater, both state-listed as Endangered. Although once fairly widespread in the Piedmont and Ridge and Valley physiographic regions, only a few small populations of each species remain and similar declines have occurred in surrounding states.



#### **SGCN Land Snails**

The 2015 SGCN list includes 14 land snail species. Seven are state rare, ten are globally rare, and three are state-listed: the Blue Ridge springsnail (Endangered), cherrystone drop (In Need of Conservation) and Maryland glyph (Endangered Extirpated). In Maryland, SGCN land snails occur statewide in a variety of terrestrial habitats and along the edges and drier portions of freshwater wetlands. Land snail communities tend to be especially rich in calcareous forests, woodlands, glades, and fens. In western Maryland, other important habitats include montane peatlands, algific (cold producing) talus slopes, and rich mature to old-growth



*Cherrystone drop* (Timothy Pierce, Carnegie Museum of Natural History)

forest. On the Coastal Plain, rich old forests and calcareous woodlands overlying ancient shell middens can support a diverse land snail fauna including several SGCN's. Land snails as a group have been identified as warranting conservation attention, primarily because of the high potential for other state and globally rare species occurring in the state; their habitat specificity and utility as indicators of unique, rare and/or pristine natural habitats; their limited mobility; and vulnerability to decline and extirpation from a wide range of threats. Also, the group as a whole is not well studied and better information is needed on their status, distribution, and conservation needs.

#### **Other SGCN Invertebrates, Including Other Insects**

In addition to the groups above, another 48 invertebrates have been identified as Species of Greatest Conservation Need. These include 37 insects and 11 crustaceans. Among the insects are 22 beetles, of which 11 are tiger beetles. This relatively well known beetle group includes seven globally rare and seven state-listed taxa, of which two (Puritan tiger beetle, northeastern tiger beetle) are also federally listed. Tiger beetles as a group occur in a variety of habitats, but each of the rare species is highly habitat specific, requiring highly dynamic habitats whose existence depends on natural disturbance regimes, such as naturally eroding earthen cliffs along the Chesapeake Bay, pristine coastal beaches, and fire dependent sandy pine-oak woodlands. Other beetles represented include the Seth Forest water scavenger beetle, an Endangered vernal pool obligate known from just two Eastern Shore sites, and two Endangered darkling beetles (family Tenebrionidae; *Helops cisteloides, Schoenicus puberulus*) that are restricted to inland sand ridge oak-pine woodlands on the lower Eastern Shore.





*Rock crawfish* (Casey Swecker, MD DNR)

Other SGCN invertebrates include 13 species that are found only on native ash (*Fraxinus* sp.) trees. These species along with their host trees are threatened by the emerald ash borer (*Agrilus planipennis*), an introduced Asian species that is decimating millions of ash trees throughout most of the state and in 24 other states in the Midwest and Northeastern U.S. The SGCN list also includes four crayfish that are being impacted by stream degradation and expanding populations of two highly invasive, nonnative crayfish, the virile crayfish (*Orconectes virilis*), and rusty crayfish (*Orconectes rusticus*). The horseshoe crab is also included because of historical severe declines from overharvesting. These

declines, in turn, have contributed to major declines in the red knot, a federally listed migratory shorebird dependant during migration on horseshoe crab eggs that are a rich food source.

# **Threats to SGCN Invertebrates**

Maryland's SGCN invertebrates are impacted by a wide range of threats that affect a variety of both aquatic and terrestrial microhabitats. Freshwater mussels, crustaceans, odonates, aquatic macroinvertebrates, and spring amphipods are especially sensitive to contamination of water sources through acid mine drainage and from sedimentation and water chemistry alteration resulting from development, agriculture, or forest cover removal. Pesticides used for mosquito and gypsy moth control can also negatively impact SGCN invertebrates. The dependence of some SGCN mussels on specific fish hosts to complete their life cycles exacerbates the threats to these species, as both mussels and their host fish are sensitive to the threats affecting aquatic environments. Introduction of non-native, invasive species can often displace native invertebrates. This threat is especially high for Maryland's SGCN crayfishes. Overfishing is an additional concern for horseshoe crabs.

The greatest threat to Maryland's freshwater mussels is the loss and degradation of their stream and river habitats due to suburban sprawl and poor farming practices. Non-native species can also impact mussels through competition, predation, and by altering aquatic communities. Of particular concern are recent invaders like the zebra mussel, Asiatic clam, and rusty crayfish. Dams, too, can have a profound impact on mussels and have led to the extinction of some species in southeastern states. Dams not only inundate stream and river habitat but also block host fish movement, particularly those of migratory host fish, such as shad, herring, and eels. Poorly designed or eroded out stream culverts can have a similar effect on fish movement. Climate change poses yet another threat. In particular, as the lower nontidal sections of coastal streams, some of which support rare and endangered mussel species, become increasingly saline due to sea level rise, freshwater mussel populations will decline and eventually disappear in these areas.

Vernal pools, the only habitat for several SGCN beetles including the recently described Seth Forest water scavenger beetle, may be drained or degraded through development, timber harvest activities, and pesticide contamination. The loss of beaver impoundments, threats



from overgrazing, and the ditching and draining of marshes and wetlands for agriculture, mosquito control, and development impact SGCN dragonflies and damselflies, as well as other invertebrates that depend on wetlands to complete their life cycle.

The cave and groundwater habitats of a number of SGCN are exceptionally fragile with very limited potential for restoration if disturbed. Many isopods and amphipods are affected by groundwater pollution and hydrologic disturbances that are often associated with agriculture and development. These and other cave organisms (spiders, springtails, and flatworms) are also affected by direct disturbance from spelunkers by trash, change in air pressure and temperature, and damage to the cave itself (National Speleological Society 2009).

Terrestrial insects, including moths, butterflies, bees, and forest beetles, may be impacted by the incompatible or excessive use of insecticides to control pest species, such as gypsy moths and crop pests. SGCN tiger beetles' dependence on open, sandy areas makes them vulnerable to a disruption of natural processes, such as shoreline cliff erosion, and to disturbance by recreational uses, development, and the use of heavy equipment and site preparation for logging. SGCN land snails have very limited mobility and dispersal capabilities along with, apparently, stringent soil chemistry, moisture, and habitat requirements. These attributes make them particularly vulnerable to habitat loss, degradation, and fragmentation. For similar reasons, they are sensitive to air pollution, acid rain, and climate change. Invasive plants, via allelopathy and perhaps through changes in microhabitat conditions, may also impact land snails. The dependence of SGCN butterfly and moth larvae on specific host plants makes them vulnerable to plant loss through extensive deer browsing, displacement of native species by exotic invasives, and incompatible mowing regimes along roadsides and powerlines. Overcollection is a particular concern for some butterfly species.

### **Conservation Actions and Information Needs for SGCN Invertebrates**

Of all the taxonomic groups that comprise Maryland's wildlife, the invertebrate group includes the most species for which basic biological information is needed. Information on host plant preferences and impacts of invasive plants on butterflies and moths, fish hosts for mussels, microhabitat preferences and tolerances, and the impacts of pest control on nontarget species are especially needed to determine effective conservation actions.

Recovery plans for several federally listed species, such as dwarf wedgemussel (USFWS 1993a) and northeastern beach and puritan tiger beetles (USFWS 1993b, 1994) (Table 3.17), and a <u>regional conservation strategy for horseshoe crab</u> can assist in determining conservation actions for these species in Maryland.

In the last ten years, several projects, some of which are ongoing, have assessed the status and distribution of under-represented or poorly understood invertebrates. The U.S. Geological Survey Bee Inventory and Monitoring Lab has been leading the effort to determine the status and distribution of bee species throughout Maryland. In addition to coordinating survey efforts in all regions of the state, the Bee Lab has been instrumental in creating and updating species keys, creating manuals to assist both professional and citizen scientists, and promoting bee conservation. Efforts such as this one help to gather information on groups that have historically been under-represented on the MD NHP list of



Rare, Threatened, and Endangered Animals. SWG-funded projects have complimented this statewide bee survey effort by targeting bees and other Hymenopterans (i.e., ants) in rare key wildlife habitats, specifically inland sand and dune ridge woodlands. A more recent effort to determine the status and distribution of moths in rare ecological communities across the state is also underway. This is a joint effort involving NHP, the Smithsonian National Museum of Natural History's Entomology Department and private citizens. The moth project will primarily target moth species inhabiting rare ecological communities, including cypress swamps, barrens and glades, and inland sand dunes. Projects such as these will identify which species occur in Maryland and will also help determine which species may be rare or restricted to specific habitats.

Aquatic habitats for SGCN invertebrates require protection through a reduction or mitigation of acid mine drainage, impervious surfaces, deforestation, and inputs of nutrients, pesticides, and herbicides near water bodies. Introductions of non-native species should be prevented. When prevention efforts fail, efforts should be focused on controlling invasive species populations and limiting their dispersal when possible. Pest control strategies that are incompatible with SGCN species should be avoided. Human disturbance of open sand habitats, vernal pools, and cave environments, as well as overcollection, can be limited by education and exclusion from certain sensitive areas. Restoration of open and early successional habitats and of natural processes, such as fire frequency and cliff erosion, is needed to maintain and recover SGCN invertebrates that are limited to such habitats. Degradation of forested habitats can be minimized by limiting forest fragmentation, buffering vernal pools, controlling deer populations and invasive plants, and maintaining critical microhabitats. State and local wetland laws should be appended as needed for greater protection, and the restoration of wetland habitats through beaver impoundments and plugging ditches can help to address wetland losses.

Nationally and regionally, many freshwater mussel species are in danger of extinction (Williams et. al. 1993). Five of Maryland's 16 native freshwater mussel species are statelisted due to their rarity. Additional surveys for and long-term monitoring of freshwater mussels are needed to fully determine the distribution and abundance of these species. Baseline population status and life history information is needed to establish effective conservation actions.

Invertebrate Species	Federal Recovery Plan	Recent Action							
Insect Species									
Northeastern beach tiger	<b>USFWS 1994</b>	2009: 5-year review, summary, &							
beetle	001 (10 1)) 1	evaluation							
Puritan tiger beetle	<u>USFWS 1993b</u>	2011: Initiation of 5-year review							
	Other Invertebrate Species								

### Table 3.17 Existing federal recovery plans for SGCN invertebrates.



Dwarf wedgemussel USFWS 1993a 2011: Initiation of 5-year review

	INSECTS								
Common Name	Scientific Name	S-Rank ¹	G-Rank ¹	State-listed ²	Federally listed ²	Conservation status group ³			
Beetles									
Appalachian tiger beetle	Cicindela ancocisconensis	G3	S1	Е		А			
Ash seed weevil	Thysanocnemis bischoffi	GNR	SNR			D			
Bethany Beach firefly	Photuris bethaniensis	G1Q	SP			D			
Cow path tiger beetle	Cicindela purpurea	G5	S3			С			
Eastern ash bark beetle	Hylesinus aculeatus	GNR	SNR			D			
Eastern pinebarrens tiger beetle	Cicindela abdominalis	G3G4	<b>S</b> 1	Е		А			
Festive tiger beetle	Cicindela scutellaris	G5	<b>S</b> 3			С			
Ghost tiger beetle	Cicindela lepida	G3G4	S1	Е		А			
Northeastern beach tiger beetle	Cicindela dorsalis dorsalis	G3G4T 2	S1	Е	Т	А			
Northern ash bark beetle	Hylesinus criddlei	GNR	SNR			D			
Northern barrens tiger beetle	Cicindela patruela	G3	S1	Е		А			
One-spotted tiger beetle	Cicindela unipunctata	G4G5	<b>S</b> 3			С			
Puritan tiger beetle	Cicindela puritana	G1G2	S1S2	Е	Т	А			
Seth forest water scavenger beetle	Hydrochus spangleri	G1	S1	Е		А			
Six-banded longhorn beetle	Dryobius sexnotatus	GNR	S1	Е		А			
Splendid tiger beetle	Cicindela splendida	G5	S1			А			
White tiger beetle	Cicindela dorsalis media	G3G4T 3T4	S1	Е		А			
White-banded ash bark beetle	Hylesinus fasciatus	GNR	SNR			D			
A cave beetle	Pseudanophthal mus sp 15	G1	<b>S</b> 1			А			

#### Table 3.18 Invertebrate Species of Greatest Conservation Need in Maryland.



			INSECTS			
Common Name	Scientific Name	S-Rank ¹	G-Rank ¹	State-listed ²	Federally listed ²	Conservation status group ³
A tenebrionid beetle	Helops cisteloides	GNR	S1			A
A tenebrionid beetle	Schoenicus puberulus	GNR	S1			А
A weevil	Apion porosicolle	GNR	SNR			D
		Bees,	Wasps, and	Ants		
American bumble bee	Bombus pensylvanicus	G3G4	SNR			D
Ashton's cuckoo bumble bee	Bombus ashtoni	GH	SNR			D
Black and gold bumble bee	Bombus auricomus	G4G5	SU			D
Blackheaded ash sawfly	Tethida barda	GNR	SNR			D
Brownheaded ash sawfly	Tomostethus multicinctus	GNR	SNR			D
Half-black bumble bee	Bombus vagans	G4	<b>S</b> 3			С
Lemon cuckoo bumble bee	Bombus citrinus	G4G5	SNR			D
Macropis cuckoo bee	Epeoloides pilosula	G1	SH			Е
Oak-grove ant	Formica querquetulana	GNR	SNR			D
Pearly-banded bee	Nomia maneii	GNR	S1S3			В
Rusty-patch bumble bee	Bombus affinis	G1	SNR			D
Sanderson's bumble bee	Bombus sandersoni	G4G5	<b>S</b> 3			С
Texas temnothorax ant	Temnothorax texanus	GNR	SNR			D
Variable cuckoo bumble bee	Bombus variabilis	GU	SH			Е
Yellowbanded bumble bee	Bombus terricola	G2G4	SNR			D
A cellophane bee	Colletes aestivalis	GNR	SH			Е
A cuckoo bee	Nomada rubicunda	GNR	S1S3			В
A dolichoderine ant	Dolichoderus taschenbergi	GNR	SNR			D

77 Maryland's Wildlife and Species of Greatest Conservation Need



	INSECTS								
Common Name	Scientific Name	S-Rank ¹	G-Rank ¹	State-listed ²	Federally listed ²	Conservation status group ³			
A formicine ant	Camponotus impressus	GNR	SNR			D			
A fungus- growing ant	Trachymyrmex septentrionalis	GNR	SNR			D			
A hairy-tongue bee	Lasioglossum arantium	GNR	S2S3			В			
A hairy-tongue bee	Lasioglossum georgeickworti	GNR	SNR			D			
A hairy-tongue bee	Lasioglossum marinum	GNR	SU			D			
A hairy-tongue bee	Lasioglossum nymphale	GNR	S2S3			В			
A hairy-tongue bee	Lasioglossum rahleighense	GNR	SU			D			
A mason bee	Osmia chalybea	G4	S1S3			В			
A mining bee	Andrena braccata	GNR	SU			D			
A mining bee	Andrena fulvipennis	GNR	SU			D			
A mining bee	Protandrena abdominalis	GNR	SU			D			
A myrmicine ant	Leptothorax muscorum	GNR	SNR			D			
A myrmicine ant	Myrmica pinetorum	GNR	SNR			D			
A sweat bee	Dieunomia heteropoda	GNR	SU			D			
A sweat bee	Dieunomia nevadensis	GNR	SU			D			
A temnothorax ant	Temnothorax pergandei	GNR	SNR			D			
An oil-collecting bee	Macropis ciliata	GNR	S1			А			
An oil-collecting bee	Macropis patellata	GNR	SH			Е			
		Butte	rflies and N	Ioths					
American chestnut nepticulid moth	Ectoedemia castaneae	GH	SH			Е			
Angle winged emerald moth	Chloropteryx tepperaria	G4	SNR			D			
Appalachian blue	Celastrina neglectamajor	G4	S3S4			С			



			INSECTS			
Common Name	Scientific Name	S-Rank ¹	G-Rank ¹	State-listed ²	Federally listed ²	Conservation status group ³
Aralia shoot borer moth	Papaipema araliae	G3G4	SNR			D
Ash pyralid	Palpita magniferalis	GNR	SNR			D
Atlantis fritillary	Speyeria atlantis	G5	S1	Т		А
Aureolaria seed borer moth	Pyrrhia aurantiago	G3G4	SNR			D
Baltimore checkerspot	Euphydryas phaeton	G4	S2			В
Black dash	Euphyes conspicua	G4	S4			С
Bog copper	Lycaena epixanthe	G4G5	S1	Е		А
Bold-based zale moth	Zale lunifera	G3G4	SNR			D
Broad-lined catopyrrha	Erastria coloraria	G3G4	SH			Е
Bronze copper	Lycaena hyllus	G5	S4			С
Buffalo moth	Parapamea buffaloensis	G4	SNR			D
Carolina satyr	Hermeuptychia sosybius	G5	S1S3			В
Chain fern borer moth	Papaipema stenocelis	G4	SNR			D
Chermock's mulberry wing	Poanes massasoit chermocki	G4T1	S1	Е		А
Cobweb skipper	Hesperia metea	G4	S3			С
Compton tortoiseshell	Nymphalis vaualbum	G5	S1B	Е		А
Curved halter moth	Capis curvata	G4	S1S2			А
Cypress sphinx moth	Isoparce cupressi	G4	S1S2			А
Delaware skipper	Atrytone logan	G5	S3			С
Dion skipper	Euphyes dion	G4	S3			С
Doris' tiger moth	Grammia doris	G4	SNR			D
Dusted Skipper	Atrytonopsis hianna	G4G5	S4**			С
Early hairstreak	Erora laeta	GU	S1	E		А
Edwards' hairstreak	Satyrium edwardsii	G4	S1	Е		А



	INSECTS								
Common Name	Scientific Name	S-Rank ¹	G-Rank ¹	State-listed ²	Federally listed ²	Conservation status group ³			
Fingered lemmeria	Lemmeria digitalis	G4	SNR			D			
Franck's sphinx	Sphinx franckii	G4	S1S2			А			
Fringed dart	Eucoptocnemis fimbriaris	G4	SNR			D			
Frosted elfin	Callophryss irus	G3	S1	Е		А			
George's midget moth	Elaphria georgei	G4	SU			D			
Giant swallowtail	Papilio cresphontes	G5	S2	Ι		В			
Graceful clearwing	Hemaris gracilis	G3G4	SNR			D			
Gray comma	Polygonia progne	G4G5	<b>S</b> 3			С			
Great purple hairstreak	Atlides halesus	G4G5	S1S2	Т		А			
Grote's sallow	Copivaleria grotei	G5	SNR			D			
Harris's checkerspot	Chlosyne harrisii	G4	S2	Т		В			
Hessel's hairstreak	Mitoura hesseli	G3G4	SH	Х		Е			
Hickory hairstreak	Satyrium caryaevorum	G4	S1	Е		А			
Hoary elfin	Callophrys polios	G5	S1	Е		А			
Hydrangea sphinx	Darapsa versicolor	G4	SNR			D			
Indian skipper	Hesperia sassacus	G4G5	<b>S</b> 3			С			
King's hairstreak	Satyrium kingi	G3G4	<b>S</b> 1	Е		А			
Lemmer's noctuid moth	Lithophane lemmeri	G3G4	SNR			D			
Leonard's skipper	Hesperia leonardus	G4	S2			В			
Little beggar	Eubaphe meridiana	G4	SNR			D			
Long dash	Polites mystic	G5	S3			С			
Louisiana owlet moth	Macrochilo louisiana	G4	SNR			D			
Marbled underwing	Catocala marmorata	G3G4	SH			Е			



	INSECTS								
Common Name	Scientific Name	S-Rank ¹	G-Rank ¹	State-listed ²	Federally listed ²	Conservation status group ³			
Melsheimer's sack-bearer	Cicinnus melsheimeri	G4	SNR			D			
Mixed dart	Euxoa immixta	G4	SNR			D			
Monarch	Danaus plexippus	G4	S5			С			
Mottled duskywing	Erynnis martialis	G3	<b>S</b> 1	Е		А			
Mulberry wing	Poanes massasoit massasoit	G4	S4			С			
Newman's brocade	Meropleon ambifusca	G3G4	SNR			D			
Northern crescent	Phyciodes cocyta	G5	SP			D			
Northern hairstreak	Satyrium favonius ontario	G4T4	S1S2	Е		А			
Northern metalmark	Calephelis borealis	G3G4	S2	Т		А			
Olympia marble	Euchloe olympia	G4G5	S2	Ι		В			
Palamedes swallowtail	Papilio palamedes	G4	S1	Е		А			
Pepper and salt skipper	Amblyscirtes hegon	G5	S2	Ι		В			
Phleophagan chestnut nepticulid moth	Ectoedemia phleophaga	GH	SH			E			
Phyllira tiger moth	Grammia phyllira	G4	SNR			D			
Pine barrens zanclognatha	Zanclognatha martha	G4	S1S3			В			
Pink sallow	Psectraglaea carnosa	G3	SNR			D			
Pink-edged sulphur	Colias interior	G5	S1			А			
Pitcher plant borer moth	Papaipema appassionata	G4	SNR			D			
Plain schizura	Schizura apicalis	G3G4	SNR			D			
Polymnia stalk borer moth	Papaipema polymniae	G4	SH			E			



			INSECTS			
Common Name	Scientific Name	S-Rank ¹	G-Rank ¹	State-listed ²	Federally listed ²	Conservation status group ³
Precious underwing	Catocala pretiosa pretiosa	G4T2	SH			E
Purple plagodis	Plagodis kuetzingi	G5	SNR			D
Rare skipper	Problema bulenta	G2G3	S1	Т		А
Seaside goldenrod stem borer	Papaipema duovata	G4	SU			D
Silver-bordered fritillary	Boloria selene	G5	<b>S</b> 3			С
Silvery blue	Glaucopsyche lygdamus	G5	S2	Ι		В
Sinuous lytrosis	Lytrosis sinuosa	G4	S1S3			В
Southern grizzled skipper	Pyrgus wyandot	G1G2Q	<b>S</b> 1	Е		А
Southern ptichodis moth	Ptichodis bistrigata	G3	SNR			D
Speyer's hooded owlet	Cucullia speyeri	G4	SNR			D
Three-horned moth	Pachypolia atricornis	G3G4	SH			Е
Tuscarora emerald	Nemoria tuscarora	GU	SNR			D
Two-spotted skipper	Euphyes bimacula	G4	<b>S</b> 1	Е		А
Unexpected cycnia	Cycnia inopinatus	G4	SNR			D
Venus flytrap cutworm	Hemipachnobia subporphyrea	G1	SNR			D
Violet dart	Euxoa violaris	G4	SNR			D
West Virginia white	Pieris virginiensis	G3	S1S2			А
White-tailed diver moth	Bellura gortynoides	G4	SNR			D
A crambid snout moth	Undulambia striatalis	GNR	SNR			D
A geometrid moth	Apodrepanulatr ix liberaria	G3	SU			D
A geometrid moth	Cyclophora nanaria	G5	SU			D



INSECTS							
Common Name	Scientific Name	S-Rank ¹	G-Rank ¹	State-listed ²	Federally listed ²	Conservation status group ³	
A geometrid moth	Euchlaena milnei	G2G4	SNR			D	
A lymantriid moth	Dasychira atrivenosa	G4	SU			D	
A noctuid moth	Amolita roseola	G5	SNR			D	
A noctuid moth	Chytonix sensilis	G4	SNR			D	
A noctuid moth	Hadena ectypa	G3G4	SU			D	
A noctuid moth	Melanapamea mixta	GU	S1			А	
A noctuid moth	Meropleon cosmion	G4	SNR			D	
A noctuid moth	Meropleon titan	G2G4	S2S4			В	
A noctuid moth	Zale curema	G4	S1			А	
A noctuid moth	Zale submediana	G4	S1S3			В	
		Dragonfl	ies and Daı	nselflies			
Allegheny river cruiser	Macromia alleghaniensis	G4	S2			В	
Amber-winged spreadwing	Lestes eurinus	G4	<b>S</b> 3			С	
American emerald	Cordulia shurtleffii	G5	S3			С	
Appalachian jewelwing	Calopteryx angustipennis	G4	S1S2			А	
Appalachian snaketail	Ophiogomphus incurvatus incurvatus	G3T2T3	<b>S</b> 1			А	
Arrowhead spiketail	Cordulegaster obliqua	G4	S2			В	
Atlantic bluet	Enallagma doubledayi	G5	S1			А	
Attenuated bluet	Enallagma daeckii	G4	<b>S</b> 3			С	
Banded pennant	Celithemis fasciata	G5	S3			С	
Banded spiketail	Cordulegaster obliqua fasciata	G4T3Q	S1			А	
Bar-winged skimmer	Libellula axilena	G5	<b>S</b> 3			С	



			INSECTS			
Common Name	Scientific Name	S-Rank ¹	G-Rank ¹	State-listed ²	Federally listed ²	Conservation status group ³
Beaverpond baskettail	Epitheca canis	G5	<b>S</b> 3			С
Black-tipped darner	Aeshna tuberculifera	G4	S2			В
Blackwater bluet	Enallagma weewa	G5	S2			В
Brown spiketail	Cordulegaster bilineata	G5	<b>S</b> 3			С
Brush-tipped emerald	Somatochlora walshii	G5	S1			А
Burgundy bluet	Enallagma dubium	G5	S1			А
Canada darner	Aeshna canadensis	G5	S2			В
Chalk-fronted skimmer	Ladona julia	G5	<b>S</b> 3			С
Chesapeake snaketail	Ophiogomphus sp 1	G1	<b>S</b> 1			А
Comet darner	Anax longipes	G5	<b>S</b> 3			С
Common sanddragon	Progomphus obscurus	G5	<b>S</b> 3			С
Coppery emerald	Somatochlora georgiana	G3G4	S1			А
Crimson-ringed whiteface	Leucorrhinia glacialis	G5	S1			А
Delta-spotted spiketail	Cordulegaster diastatops	G5	S3S4			С
Dot-tailed whiteface	Leucorrhinia intacta	G5	<b>S</b> 3			С
Double-ringed pennant	Celithemis verna	G5	S2			В
Duckweed firetail	Telebasis byersi	G5	S1			А
Dusky clubtail	Gomphus spicatus	G5	S1			А
Eastern ringtail	Erpetogomphus designatus	G5	S2			В
Elfin skimmer	Nannothemis bella	G4	S1	Е		А
Faded pennant	Celithemis ornata	G5	SH			Е



			INSECTS			
Common Name	Scientific Name	S-Rank ¹	G-Rank ¹	State-listed ²	Federally listed ²	Conservation status group ³
Fine-lined emerald	Somatochlora filosa	G5	S2			В
Four-spotted pennant	Brachymesia gravida	G5	S3S4			С
Frosted whiteface	Leucorrhinia frigida	G5	S1			А
Furtive forktail	Ischnura prognata	G4	S1			А
Golden-winged skimmer	Libellula auripennis	G5	<b>S</b> 3			С
Gray petaltail	Tachopteryx thoreyi	G4	<b>S</b> 3			С
Green-faced clubtail	Gomphus viridifrons	G3G4	S1			А
Green-striped darner	Aeshna verticalis	G5	S2			В
Harlequin darner	Gomphaeschna furcillata	G5	S3S4			С
Harpoon clubtail	Gomphus descriptus	G4	S1S2			А
Hudsonian whiteface	Leucorrhinia hudsonica	G5	<b>S</b> 1			А
Laura's clubtail	Stylurus laurae	G4	S2S3			В
Little blue dragonlet	Erythrodiplax minuscula	G5	<b>S</b> 1			А
Maine snaketail	Ophiogomphus mainensis fastigiatus	G4TU	S1			А
Mantled baskettail	Epitheca semiaquea	G5	SH			Е
Martha's pennant	Celithemis martha	G4	S1			А
Midland clubtail	Gomphus fraternus	G5	S2			В
Mocha emerald	Somatochlora linearis	G5	S3S4			С
Mustached clubtail	Gomphus adelphus	G4	S1			А
Northern bluet	Enallagma annexum	G5	S1			А
Northern pygmy clubtail	Lanthus parvulus	G4	<b>S</b> 2			В



			INSECTS			
Common Name	Scientific Name	S-Rank ¹	G-Rank ¹	State-listed ²	Federally listed ²	Conservation status group ³
Ocellated darner	Boyeria grafiana	G5	S1			A
Pale bluet	Enallagma pallidum	G4	S1			А
Petite emerald	Dorocordulia lepida	G5	SH			Е
Piedmont clubtail	Gomphus parvidens	G4	SH	Х		Е
Pygmy snaketail	Ophiogomphus howei	G3	<b>S</b> 1			А
Rainbow bluet	Enallagma antennatum	G5	S1			А
Rapids clubtail	Gomphus quadricolor	G3G4	S2	Ι		А
Red-veined pennant	Celithemis bertha	G5	<b>S</b> 1			А
River jewelwing	Calopteryx aequabilis	G5	SR			D
Riverine clubtail	Stylurus amnicola	G4	SH	Х		Е
Robust baskettail	Epitheca spinosa	G4	S1S2			А
Royal river cruiser	Macromia taeniolata	G5	<b>S</b> 3			С
Rusty snaketail	Ophiogomphus rupinsulensis	G5	S2			В
Sable clubtail	Gomphus rogersi	G4	S2	Ι		В
Seepage dancer	Argia bipunctulata	G4	<b>S</b> 3			С
Selys' sundragon	Helocordulia selysii	G4	S2	Т		В
Skillet clubtail	Gomphus ventricosus	G3	SH	Х		Е
Ski-tailed emerald	Somatochlora elongata	G5	S2			В
Smoky rubyspot	Hetaerina titia	G5	SH			E
Southern pygmy clubtail	Lanthus vernalis	G4	S2			В
Southern sprite	Nehalennia integricollis	G5	S1S2			А
Sparkling jewelwing	Calopteryx dimidiata	G5	S2			В



			INSECTS			
Common Name	Scientific Name	S-Rank ¹	G-Rank ¹	State-listed ²	Federally listed ²	Conservation status group ³
Sphagnum sprite	Nehalennia gracilis	G5	S2			В
Spine-crowned clubtail	Gomphus abbreviatus	G4	S1			А
Splendid clubtail	Gomphus lineatifrons	G4	S1			А
Spotted spreadwing	Lestes congener	G5	<b>S</b> 3			С
Spring blue darner	Rhionaeschna mutata	G4	<b>S</b> 1	Е		А
Stripe-winged baskettail	Epitheca costalis	G5	S1			А
Stygian shadowdragon	Neurocordulia yamaskanensis	G5	<b>S</b> 3			С
Superb jewelwing	Calopteryx amata	G4	S1S2	Т		А
Sweetflag spreadwing	Lestes forcipatus	G5	<b>S</b> 3			С
Taper-tailed darner	Gomphaeschna antilope	G4	S2			В
Tiger spiketail	Cordulegaster erronea	G4	<b>S</b> 3			С
Treetop emerald	Somatochlora provocans	G4	S1	Е		А
Tule bluet	Enallagma carunculatum	G5	S1			А
Uhler's sundragon	Helocordulia uhleri	G5	S3			С
White corporal	Ladona exusta	G4	S1	Е		А
White-faced meadowhawk	Sympetrum obtrusum	G5	<b>S</b> 3			С
Yellow-sided skimmer	Libellula flavida	G5	S2S3			В
Zebra clubtail	Stylurus scudderi	G4	S1			А
	St	oneflies, M	ayflies, and	Caddisflies		•
Aracoma sallfly	Alloperla aracoma	G3	SNR			D
Dusky sallfly	Alloperla biserrata	G3	SNR			D



			INSECTS			
Common Name	Scientific Name	S-Rank ¹	G-Rank ¹	State-listed ²	Federally listed ²	Conservation status group ³
Gaspe sallfly	Utaperla gaspesiana	G3	SNR			D
Pocahontas sallfly	Sweltsa pocahontas	G2	SNR			D
Shenandoah needlefly	Megaleuctra flinti	G2	SNR			D
Shenandoah sallfly	Sweltsa palearata	G2G3	SNR			D
Speith's great speckled olive mayfly	Siphloplecton costalense	G2G4	SNR			В
A caddisfly	Ceraclea spongillovorax	G3G4	SNR			D
A caddisfly	Ceraclea uvalo	G2G4	SNR			D
A caddisfly	Cernotina pallida	G3G4	SNR			D
A caddisfly	Cheumatopsych e parentum	G3	SNR			D
A caddisfly	Hydropsyche hoffmani	G3G4	SNR			D
A caddisfly	Protoptila georgiana	G3G4	SNR			D
A Scalaris trichopteran	Hydropsyche brunneipennis	G3G4	<b>S</b> 3			D
		0	ther Insect	s		
Ash bullet gall midge	Dasineura pellex	GNR	SNR			D
Ash midrib gall midge	Continaria canadensis	GNR	SNR			D
Ash plant bug	Tropidosteptes amoenus	GNR	SNR			D
Crabtree cave springtail	Arrhopalites sp 1	GNR	SU			D
Eastern sedge barrens leafhopper	Limotettix minuendus	G1	S1	E		А
Pitcher-plant mosquito	Wyeomyia smithii	G5	S2			В

OTHER INVERTEBRATES							
Common Name	Common Name         Scientific Name         S-Rank         G-Rank         State-listed         Federally         Conservation           status group						
Crustaceans and Allies							

88 Maryland's Wildlife and Species of Greatest Conservation Need



	(	THER IN	VERTEBR	ATES		
Common Name	Scientific Name	S-Rank	G-Rank	State-listed	Federally listed	Conservation status group
Acuminate crayfish	Cambarus acuminatus	G4Q	S2	Ι		В
Allegheny cave amphipod	Stygobromus allegheniensis	G5	S2S3	Ι		В
Allegheny crayfish	Orconectes obscurus	G5	<b>S</b> 3			С
Allegheny spring isopod	Caecidotea alleghenyensis	GNR	S1	Е		А
Appalachian cave spider	Porhomma cavernicola	G5	S2			В
Ash flowergall mite	Aceria fraxiniflora	GNR	SNR			D
Ash key gall mite	Aceria fraxinivorus	GNR	SNR			D
Ashleaf gall mite	Aceria chrondriphora	GNR	SNR			D
Barrelville amphipod	Stygobromus sp 5	GNR	<b>S</b> 1			А
Biggers' cave amphipod	Stygobromus biggersi	G2G4	<b>S</b> 1	Е		А
Capital Area groundwater amphipod	Stygobromus sextarius	G1	S1	E		А
Cecil groundwater amphipod	Stygobromus caecilius	G1	S1	Е		А
Devils Hole cave amphipod	Stygobromus sp 6	GNR	<b>S</b> 1			А
Digger crayfish	Fallicambarus fodiens	G5	SNR			D
Feller's groundwater amphipod	Stygobromus felleri	G1	S1	Е		А
Franz's cave amphipod	Stygobromus franzi	G3G4	S2S3	Ι		А
Franz's cave isopod	Caecidotea franzi	G2G4	S1	Е		А
Friendly cave amphipod	Stygobromus amicus	G1	S1	Е		А
Greenbrier cave amphipod	Stygobromus emarginatus	G3	S1	Е		А
Holsinger's cave isopod	Caecidotea holsingeri	G5	S1	Е		А
Horseshoe crab	Limulus polyphemus	G5	SNR			D



	(	<b>OTHER IN</b>	VERTEBR	ATES		
Common Name	Scientific Name	S-Rank	G-Rank	State-listed	Federally listed	Conservation status group
Maus' cave isopod	Caecidotea mausi	G2	S1	Е		А
Norden's groundwater isopod	Caecidotea nordeni	GH	SH	X		E
Pennsylvania cave crangonyctid	Crangonyx dearolfi	G2	<b>S</b> 1	Е		А
Pizzini's amphipod	Stygobromus pizzinii	G3G4	<b>S</b> 1			А
Potomac amphipod	Stygobromus tenuis potomacus	G4T4	<b>S</b> 3			С
Prettyboy groundwater amphipod	Stygobromus paxillus	G1	S1	Е		А
Price's cave isopod	Caecidotea pricei	G5	<b>S</b> 3			С
Rappahannock spring amphipod	Stygobromus foliatus	G2	S1	Е		А
Rock crawfish	Cambarus carinirostris	G5	SNR			D
Rock Creek groundwater amphipod	Stygobromus kenki	G2	S1	Е		А
Roundtop amphipod	Stygobromus sp 14	GNR	<b>S</b> 1			А
Shenandoah Valley cave amphipod	Stygobromus gracilipes	G3G4	S1	Е		А
Snivelys cave spider	Oreonetides sp 1	GNR	SU			D
Tenuis amphipod	Stygobromus tenuis tenuis	G4T4	SU			D
Tidewater amphipod	Stygobromus indentatus	G3	<b>S</b> 1			А
Vandel's cave isopod	Caecidotea vandeli	G3G4	<b>S</b> 1	Е		А
A copepod	Acanthocyclops columbiensis	G1	SNR			D
An amphipod	Crangonyx stagnicolous	G2	SNR			D
An ostracod	Donnaldsoncythere donnaldsonensis	G3	SNR			D



	(	OTHER IN	VERTEBR	ATES		
Common Name	Scientific Name	S-Rank	G-Rank	State-listed	Federally listed	Conservation status group
		Ĩ	Snails			
Angular disc	Discus catskillensis	G5	S1			А
Appalachian springsnail	Fontigens bottimeri	G2G3	S2			А
Bear creek slitmouth	Stenotrema simile	G2	SU			D
Blue Ridge springsnail	Fontigens orolibas	G3	S1	Е		А
Cherrystone drop	Hendersonia occulta	G4	S2	Ι		В
Chesapeake ambersnail	Oxyloma subeffusum	G3	SNR			D
Coastal-plain ambersnail	Oxyloma effusum	G3	SNR			D
Cylindrically- ornate wood snail	Vertigo ventricosa	G5	SU			D
Maryland glyph	Glyphyalinia raderi	G2	SH	Х		Е
Natural Bridge supercoil	Paravitrea pontis	G3	SNR			D
Rust glyph	Glyphyalinia picea	G3	SNR			D
Snowhill ambersnail	Catinella hubrichti	G3	SNR			D
Spruce knob threetooth	Triodopsis picea	G3	S1			А
Striped whitelip	Webbhelix multilineata	G5	S1			А
		Freshw	ater Musse	els		
Alewife floater	Anodonta implicata	G5	<b>S</b> 3			С
Atlantic spike	Elliptio producta	G3Q	S2	Ι		А
Brook floater	Alasmidonta varicosa	G3	S1	Е		А
Creeper	Strophitus undulatus	G5	S2	Ι		В
Dwarf wedgemussel	Alasmidonta heterodon	G1G2	S1	Е	Е	А
Eastern lampmussel	Lampsilis radiata	G5	SU			D
Eastern pondmussel	Ligumia nasuta	G4	S1S2			А



	(	THER IN	VERTEBR	ATES		
Common Name	Scientific Name	S-Rank	G-Rank	State-listed	Federally listed	Conservation status group
Green floater	Lasmigona subviridis	G3	<b>S</b> 1	Е		А
Northern lance	Elliptio fisheriana	G4	<b>S</b> 3			С
Paper pondshell	Utterbackia imbecillis	G5	<b>S</b> 3			С
Tidewater mucket	Leptodea ochracea	G3G4	S1S2			А
Triangle floater	Alasmidonta undulata	G4	<b>S</b> 1	Е		А
Yellow lampmussel	Lampsilis cariosa	G3G4	SU			D
Yellow lance	Elliptio lanceolata	G2G3	SU			D
		Fla	tworms			
Hoffmaster's cave planarian	Sphalloplana hoffmasteri	G3G4	<b>S</b> 1	Е		А
A planarian	Paraplanaria dactyligera	GNR	S2			В
A planarian	Phagocata dissimilis sp. nov.	GNR	SNR			D
A planarian	Phagocata projecta sp. nov.	GNR	SNR			D
A planarian	Phagocata virilis	GNR	<b>S</b> 1			А
A planarian	Procotyla typhlops	G1G2	<b>S</b> 1	Е		А
A planarian	Sphalloplana buchanani	G1G2	SNR			D
A planarian	Sphalloplana cava sp. nov.	GNR	SNR			D
A planarian	Sphalloplana pricei	G2G3	SNR			D
A planarian	Sphalloplana sp 1	GNR	S1S2			А

 1  = See Table 3.5 for S-rank and G-rank definitions

² = T (Threatened); E (Endangered); I (In Need of Conservation); X (Endangered Extirpated)

 3  = See Table 3.7 for Conservation Status definitions

* = a qualifier denoting the species is listed in a limited geographic area only

** = Proposed State Rank changes

# **Maryland's Plants**

While the development of Maryland's SWAP is focused primarily around SGCN wildlife and their key wildlife habitats, plants form the basis of nearly every ecosystem, provide essential habitat and/or food for most faunal life forms and, of course, are intricately linked, whether directly or indirectly, to the survival of all SGCN. Plants are useful to conservationists in that they are faithful indicators of specific site conditions and typically reflect biological and ecological patterns across a landscape. Plants are more readily

XX 🕻 🦒 🌤 X

measureable than other biota or environmental conditions. Most U.S. states did not include plants in their discussion of Species of Greatest Conservation Need in 2005; however, the development and revision of SWAPs provides an excellent opportunity for states to advance the conservation of declining, rare, threatened, and endangered plant species (NatureServe 2010). Recognizing this opportunity, MD DNR's Wildlife and Heritage Service has developed a list of SGCN plants (Table 3.19) for inclusion in the 2015 SWAP revision. Plant species have also been incorporated in Chapter 4 and throughout the Plan to characterize key wildlife habitats, which are based on the Northeast Terrestrial Habitat Classification System (NETHCS) (see Appendix 4d).

The state's diverse hydrology and geology support plant life from western Maryland's mountaintops to low-lying beaches on the Coastal Plain (Maryland Botanical Heritage Workgroup 2014). The Maryland Flora includes about 3,085 plant species, of which 2,239 are native (Knapp & Naczi in prep.). Efforts to understand the specific distribution of all of these plant species are in their infancy, but this is the goal of the <u>Maryland Plant</u> <u>Atlas</u> (Maryland Plant Atlas Work Group 2015). The Maryland Plant Atlas aims to provide distribution maps for all native and naturalized plants in Maryland based on the most recent and



Canby's dropwort (Dave Suiter, USFWS)

accurate data, to provide information about Maryland's plants to the public, and to promote conservation by increasing public awareness of plants. Because plants support other organisms through photosynthesis, the remarkable process by which plants convert sunlight to carbon-based fuel, plants are the foundation sustaining other life forms in systems that providing habitat for rare animals and that maintain healthy water quality in Maryland's streams. Native plants are also important economically, as they support pollinators necessary for agricultural production. Furthermore, plant diversity is becoming especially important as ecosystems are pressured by the effects of climate change (Boesch 2008).

Threats to plants are similar to threats faced by Maryland's animal species with one added source of risk: plants cannot physically move away from danger. Limitations in the colonizing ability of many plant species pose major obstacles to restoration of plant communities. The alteration of historical ecosystem processes, such as fire, and changes in the spatial arrangement of habitats via habitat destruction and fragmentation are key threats largely because plants are non-motile. Further, even if ecosystem processes are reinstituted, many previously occupied habitat patches may remain unoccupied and the linkages between habitat patches will remain fragmented due to insurmountable barriers to gene flow via seed and pollen. Loss of historical disturbance regimes, intensive urbanization, and human-mediated manipulation of species composition have led to homogenization of plant species and loss of diversity over large areas. This, coupled with a limited capacity of the seed bank to aid recovery, have led to a rapid decline in both species diversity and composition of plant communities. Finally, the overabundance of White-tailed deer has been directly implicated in the altered species richness and abundance of Maryland orchids (Knapp & Wiegand 2014)



and other rare species (Maryland Botanical Heritage Workgroup 2014). Other major threats include the widespread infestation of invasive species, the emergence of new diseases, and pervasive shifts in climactic patterns (Stein et al. 2000).

Conservation efforts for plants are a relatively recent trend in conservation planning. While the U.S. Endangered Species Act was established in 1970, it was not until 1977 that the first plant species were federally listed. Inequality still exists between federally listed animals and plants: under law, Threatened and Endangered animals cannot be captured or killed anywhere in the U.S., but the taking of Threatened and Endangered plants is prohibited only on federal or state-owned lands (USFWS 1973). The U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service lists five Maryland plants as Endangered and four as Threatened (Table 3.19). In Maryland regulations, 263 plants are listed as Endangered and 70 as Threatened. Outreach and conservation remain as important steps in advancing the conservation of rare plants. To this end, MD DNR provides information to assist with identifying and maintaining habitat for Maryland's <u>Endangered plant species</u>. In addition to this formal listing, MD DNR maintains a list of 750 plant species that are either rare, Threatened, Endangered, or Endangered Extirpated in the state (see Appendix 3j), the result of a thorough review process by state botanists and other plant experts.

Rare plants take on the role of "canary in the coal mine" for Maryland's habitats, signaling through their decline that the system is unhealthy. Plants factor into many conservation decisions in Maryland, such as invasive plant management and prescribed burn programs. By working plants into the SWAP through habitat classifications and SGCN plant list, the Plan highlights the importance of conserving plant life along with wildlife.

Common Name	Scientific Name	G-Rank ¹	S-Rank ¹	State- listed ²	Federally listed ²
Balsam Fir	Abies balsamea	G5	<b>S</b> 1		
Blue Monkshood	Aconitum unciniatum	G4	<b>S</b> 1	Ε	
American Bugbane	Actaea podocarpa	G4	S2		
Climbing Fumitory	Adlumia fungosa	G4	S2	Т	
Sensitive Joint- vetch	Aeschynomene virginica	G2	<b>S</b> 1	Ε	LT
Sandplain Gerardia	Agalinis acuta	G1	<b>S</b> 1	Е	LE
Earleaf False Foxglove	Agalinis auriculata	G3	<b>S</b> 1	Е	
Ten-lobe False Foxglove	Agalinis obtusifolia	G4G5Q	SH	Х	

 Table 3.19 Plant Species of Greatest Conservation Need in Maryland.



Common	Scientific Name	G-Rank ¹	S-Rank ¹	State-	Federally
Name	Scientifie Tume	<b>G</b> Runn		listed ²	listed ²
Threadleaf Gerardia	Agalinis setacea	G5?	S2	Е	
Pale False	Agalinis				
Foxglove	skinneriana	G3G4	<b>S</b> 1	Е	
Purple Giant-	Agastache				
hyssop	scrophulariifolia	G4	S1S2	Т	
Small-fruited	Agrimonia				
Agrimony	microcarpa	G5	<b>S</b> 1?		
Woodland	тистосигри				
Agrimony	Agrimonia striata	G5	<b>S</b> 1	Е	
Golden					
Colicroot	Aletris aurea	G5	SH	Х	
Seaside Alder	Alnus maritima	G3	\$3.1		
Seabeach		65	55.1		
	Amaranthus	G2	<b>S</b> 1	E	LT
Amaranth	pumilus				
Running	Amelanchier	G5	<b>S</b> 1	Т	
Shadbush	humilis				
Nantucket	Amelanchier	G3Q	S1	Т	
Shadbush	nantucketensis	-			
Roundleaf	Amelanchier	G5	<b>S</b> 1	Е	
Serviceberry	sanguinea				
Running	Amelanchier	G5	<b>S</b> 2		
Serviceberry	spicata				
Fly-poison	Amianthium muscitoxicum	G4G5	S2		
Koehne	Ammannia				
Ammannia	latifolia	G5	S2		
Blue	Amphicarpum				
Maidencane	amphicarpon	G4	<b>S</b> 3		
Chaffweed	Anagallis minima	G5	SU	Х	
Pearly	Anaphalis				
Everlasting	margaritacea	G5	<b>S</b> 3		
Canada	Anemone				
Anemone	canadensis	G5	SH	Х	
Mountain	Anemone				
Thimbleweed	lancifolia	G5	<b>S</b> 1?		
	Angelica				
Great Angelica	atropurpurea	G5	SH	Х	
	Angelica				
Filmy Angelica	triquinata	G4	S1	Е	
Single hand	Antennaria				
Single-head Pussytoes	solitaria	G5	S2	Т	
	Anthoxanthum				
Vanilla Grass, Holy Grass	hirtum	G4G5	<b>S</b> 1	Е	
Thory Orass	πιπιμπι				



Common	Scientific Name	G-Rank ¹	S-Rank ¹	State-	Federally
Name	Scientific Maine	0-Kalik	5-Kalik	listed ²	listed ²
Spreading Rockcress	Arabis patens	G3	<b>S</b> 3		
Hairy Rockcress	Arabis pycnocarpa var. adpressipilis	G5T4Q	S1S2		
Western Hairy Rockcress	Arabis pycnocarpa var. pycnocarpa	G5T5	<b>S</b> 1		
Bristly Sarsaparilla	Aralia hispida	G5	S1	Е	
American Spikenard	Aralia racemosa	G4G5	S2S4		
Bearberry	Arctostaphylos uva-ursi	G5	<b>S</b> 1	E	
Dragon's Mouth Orchid	Arethusa bulbosa	G4	SH	Х	
Wooly Three- awn	Aristida lanosa	G5	<b>S</b> 1	Е	
Seabeach Needlegrass	Aristida tuberculosa	G5	<b>S</b> 3		
Wand-like Three-awn Grass	Aristida virgata	G5	S1S2	Е	
Lake-cress	Armoracia lacustris	G4?	S1	Е	
Leopard's-bane	Arnica acaulis	G4	S1	Е	
Great Indian- plantain	Arnoglossum reniforme	G4	SH	Х	
Purple Chokeberry	Aronia prunifolia	G4G5Q	<b>S</b> 3		
Switch Cane	Arundinaria tecta	G5	S2		
Smooth Orange Milkweed	Asclepias lanceolata	G5	<b>S</b> 1		
Purple Milkweed	Asclepias purpurascens	G5	S2		
Red Milkweed	Asclepias rubra	G4G5	S1	E	
Whorled Milkweed	Asclepias verticillata	G5	<b>S</b> 3		
Bradley's Spleenwort	Asplenium bradleyi	G4	SH	X	
Lobed Spleenwort	Asplenium pinnatifidum	G4	<b>S</b> 1	E	
Black-stem Spleenwort	Asplenium resilens	G5	<b>S</b> 1	Е	
Wallrue Spleenwort	Asplenium ruta- muraria	G5	<b>S</b> 3		



Common	Scientific Name	G-Rank ¹	S-Rank ¹	State-	Federally
Name	Sciencific Maine	0-Mailk	5-Kalik	listed ²	listed ²
Canadian	Astragalus	G5T5	S1	Е	
Milkvetch	canadensis		~1	-	
Ozark Milkvetch	Astragalus distortus	G5	S2	Т	
Seabeach Orach/Crested Saltbush	Atriplex mucronata	G5	S1S2		
Smooth Yellow False Foxglove	Aureolaria flava	G5	<b>S</b> 3		
Downy Yellow Foxglove	Aureolaria laevigata	G5	SU		
Big Carpetgrass	Axonopus furcatus	G5	S2?		
Tropical Water- hyssop	Bacopa innominata	G3G5	SH	Х	
Blue Wild Indigo	Baptisia australis	G5	S2	Т	
Twining Screwstem	Bartonia paniculata	G5T5	<b>S</b> 3		
Gray Birch	Betula populifolia	G5	S1?		
Maryland Bur- marigold	Bidens bidentoides	G3G4	S3.1		
Small-fruit Beggarsticks	Bidens mitis	G4?	S1	Е	
Tickseed Sunflower	Bidens trichosperma	G5	S2S3		
Downy Woodmint	Blephilia ciliata	G5	S3S4		
Hairy Woodmint	Blephilia hirsuta	G5	SH		
Susquehanna Doll's-daisy	Boltonia asteroides var. asteroides	GNRTNR	SH		
White Doll's- daisy	Boltonia asteroides var. glastifolia	G5TNR	S1	Е	
Short's Rockcress	Borodinia dentata	G5	<b>S</b> 3		
Missouri Rockcress	Borodinia missouriensis	G5	<b>S</b> 1	Е	
Sea Oxeye	Borrichia frutescens	G5	SH	Х	
Lanceleaf Grapefern	Botrychium lanceolatum var. angustisegmentum	G5T4	<b>S</b> 1	Х	
Chamomile Grapefern	Botrychium matricariifolium	G5	S1?		



Common				State-	Federally
Name	Scientific Name	G-Rank ¹	S-Rank ¹	listed ²	listed ²
Least Grapefern	Botrychium simplex	G5	SH	Х	
Side-oats Grama	Bouteloua curtipendula	G5	S2		
Fringed Brome	Bromus ciliatus	G5	SH		
Wild Chess	Bromus kalmii	G5	SH	Х	
Broad-glumed Brome	Bromus latiglumis	G5	S2	Е	
Nottoway Brome	Bromus nottowayanus	G3G5	S3S4		
Bluehearts	Buchnera americana	G5?	SH	Х	
Porter's Reedgrass	Calamagrostis porteri	G4T4	<b>S</b> 3		
Wild Calla	Calla palustris	G5	S1	Е	
French Mulberry	Callicarpa americana	G5	SH	X	
Tuberous Grass- pink	Calopogon tuberosus	G5	<b>S</b> 1	E	
Low Bindweed	Calystegia spithamaea ssp. spithamaea	G5T4T5	S2		
Southern Harebell	Campanula divaricata	G4	SH	Х	
American Harebell	Campanula rotundifolia	G5	S2		
Pale Corydalis	Capnoides sempervirens	G5	<b>S</b> 3		
Purple Cress	Cardamine douglassii	G5	<b>S</b> 3		
Long's Bittercress	Cardamine longii	G3?	S2	Е	
American Bittercress	Cardamine rotundifolia	G4	<b>S</b> 3		
Summer Sedge	Carex aestivalis	G4	S1	E	
White Bear Sedge	Carex albursina	G5	<b>S</b> 3		
Appalachian Sedge	Carex appalachica	G4	<b>S</b> 1?		
Water Sedge	Carex aquatilis	G5	S1		
Hay Sedge	Carex argyrantha	G5	S3		
Barratt's Sedge	Carex barrattii	G4	S3		
Brownish Sedge	Carex brunnescens	G5T5	<b>S</b> 3		
Button Sedge	Carex bullata	G5	<b>S</b> 3		



Common Name	Scientific Name	G-Rank ¹	S-Rank ¹	State- listed ²	Federally listed ²
Buxbaum's Sedge	Carex buxbaumii	G5	S2	Т	
Carey's Sedge	Carex careyana	G4G5	S1	E	
Thin-leaved Sedge	Carex cephaloidea	G5	SH		
Field Sedge	Carex conoidea	G5	S1	Е	
Crested Sedge	Carex cristatella	G5	S1?		
Davis' Sedge	Carex davisii	G4	S1	E	
Cypress-knee Sedge	Carex decomposita	G3	S1	Е	
Lesser Panicled Sedge	Carex diandra	G5	<b>S</b> 1	Е	
Southern Slender Woodland Sedge	Carex digitalis var. macropoda	G5	S1?		
Ebony Sedge	Carex eburnea	G5	S1	Е	
Prickly Sedge	Carex echinata	G5	S3		
Emory's Sedge	Carex emoryi	G5	S3		
Coast Sedge	Carex exilis	G5	S1	Е	
Fraser's Sedge	Carex fraseriana	G4	S1	Е	
Giant Sedge	Carex gigantea	G4	S3		
Southern Waxy Sedge	Carex glaucescens	G4	S1	Е	
Cloud Sedge	Carex haydenii	G5	S1	Е	
Pubescent Sedge	Carex hirtafolia	G5	S3		
Hitchcock's Sedge	Carex hitchcockiana	G5	<b>S</b> 1	E	
Shoreline Sedge	Carex hyalinolepis	G4G5	S2S3		
Porcupine Sedge	Carex hystericina	G5	S1	E	
Inland Sedge	Carex interior	G5	S1		
Joor's Sedge	Carex joorii	G4G5	<b>S</b> 3		
Lake-bank Sedge	Carex lacustris	G5	<b>S</b> 2		
Slender Sedge	Carex lasiocarpa	G5	S1	E	
Coupled Sedge	Carex laxiculmis var. copulata	G5T3T5	S1?		
Louisiana Sedge	Carex louisianica	G5	<b>S</b> 3		
Blue Ridge Sedge	Carex lucorum	G4T4	<b>S</b> 1		
False Hop Sedge	Carex lupuliformis	G4	S2		
Mead's Sedge	Carex meadii	G4	<b>S</b> 1	Е	
Andre Michaux's Sedge	Carex michauxiana	G5	SH		



Common	Scientific Name	G-Rank ¹	S-Rank ¹	State-	Federally
Name		0-Mank	D-Mains	listed ²	listed ²
Mitchell's Sedge	Carex mitchelliana	G4	S2		
Sharpscale Sedge	Carex oxylepis	G5?TNR	S1?		
Long-stalked	Carex	G5	S1	Е	
sedge	pedunculata			L	
Wooly Sedge	Carex pellita	G5	S2?		
Flat-spiked Sedge	Carex planispicata	G4	S1S2		
Plantain-leaved Sedge	Carex plantaginea	G5	<b>S</b> 1?		
Variable Sedge	Carex polymorpha	G3	SH	Х	
Necklace Sedge	Carex projecta	G5	S2		
Richardson's Sedge	Carex richardsonii	G4	<b>S</b> 1	Е	
Sartwell's Sedge	Carex sartwellii	G4G5	SH		
Short's Sedge	Carex shortiana	G5	S2	Т	
Seabeach Sedge	Carex silicea	G5	S1	Е	
Bur-reed Sedge	Carex sparganioides	G5	S1S2		
Eastern Straw Sedge	Carex straminea	G5	S1S2		
Lined Sedge	Carex striatula	G5	S3		
Slender Sedge	Carex tenera	G5	SH	Х	
Rigid Sedge	Carex tetanica	G4G5	S1	Е	
Hairy-fruited Sedge	Carex trichocarpa	G4	S2		
Tuckerman's Sedge	Carex tuckermanii	G4	S1	Е	
Dark Green Sedge	Carex venusta	G4T4	<b>S</b> 3		
Inflated Sedge	Carex vesicaria	G5	S1	Т	
Velvety Sedge	Carex vestita	G5	S2	Т	
Big Shellbark Hickory	Carya laciniosa	G5	<b>S</b> 1	Е	
American Chestnut	Castanea dentata	G4	S2S3		
Scarlet Indian- paintbrush	Castilleja coccinea	G5	S1	E	
Erect Coinleaf	Centella erecta	G5	S3		
Coastal Butterfly Pea	Centrosema virginianum	G5	S3		
Octoraro Creek Chickweed	Cerastium velutinum var. villosissimum	G5T1	S1		



Common				State-	Federally
Name	Scientific Name	G-Rank ¹	S-Rank ¹	listed ²	listed ²
Prickly	Ceratophyllum	<b>G</b> 10			
Hornwort	echinatum	G4?	<b>S</b> 1	E	
Partridge Pea	Chamaecrista	G5T3			
	fasciculata var.		<b>S</b> 1	Е	
_	macrosperma				
Leatherleaf	Chamaedapne	G5	<b>S</b> 1	Е	
Leatheriteat	calyculata	05	51	Ľ	
Devil's-bit	Chamaelirium	G5	S2		
	luteum				
Red Turtlehead	Chelone obliqua	G5	S2	Т	
Giant-seed	Chenopodium	G5	S2	Е	
Goosefoot	simplex			L	
Standley's	Chenopodium	G5	S2	Е	
Goosefoot	standleyanum			L	
Common	Chimaphila	G5T5	<b>S</b> 3		
Wintergreen	umbellata				
Green-and-gold	Chrysogonum virginianum	G5T5?	<b>S</b> 3		
Bulb-bearing Water-hemlock	Cicuta bulbifera	G5	<b>S</b> 1	Е	
Slender Wood Reedgrass	Cinna latifolia	G5	S3		
Yellow Thistle	Cirsium horridulum	G5	<b>S</b> 3		
Swamp Thistle	Cirsium muticum	G5	S3		
Carolina Springbeauty	Claytonia caroliniana	G5	<b>S</b> 3		
Spreading Pogonia	Cleistesiopsis divaricata	G4	<b>S</b> 1	E	
Purple Clematis	Clematis occidentalis	G5T5	<b>S</b> 1	Е	
Curly-heads	Clematis ochroleuca	G4	SH	Х	
Vase-vine Leatherflower	Clematis viorna	G5	<b>S</b> 3		
Harned's Clintonia	Clintonia allegheniensis	G1Q	<b>S</b> 1		
Clinton Lily	<i>Clintonia borealis</i>	G5	S2	Т	
Long-bract	Coeloglossum			Г	
Green Orchis	viride	G5T5	<b>S</b> 1	E	
Wrinkled	Coelorachis	C5	C 1	F	
Jointgrass	rugosa	G5	S1	E	
Erect Dayflower	Commelina erecta	G5	S3		
Goldthread	Coptis trifolia	G5T5	S1	Е	



Common		a <b>b</b> 1	an 11	State-	Federally
Name	Scientific Name	G-Rank ¹	S-Rank ¹	listed ²	listed ²
Early Coralroot	Corallorhiza trifida	G5	<b>S</b> 1	Е	
Spring Coralroot	Corallorhiza wisteriana	G5	<b>S</b> 1	Е	
Rose Coreopsis	Coreopsis rosea	G3	S1	E	
Tall Tickseed	Coreopsis tripteris	G5	S1	E	
Whorled	Coreopsis	G5	<b>S</b> 3		
Coreopsis	verticillata	65	30		
Bunchberry	Cornus canadensis	G5	<b>S</b> 1	Е	
Roundleaf Dogwood	Cornus rugosa	G5	<b>S</b> 1	Е	
Beaked Hazelnut	Corylus cornuta	G5	<b>S</b> 3		
Water Pygmyweed	Crassula aquatica	G5	SH	Х	
Plains Frostweed	Crocanthemum bicknellii	G5	<b>S</b> 1	E	
Hazel Dodder	Cuscuta coryli	G5?	SH	Х	
Bigseed Dodder	Cuscuta indecora	G5T5	S2?		
Smartweed Dodder	Cuscuta polygonorum	G5	<b>S</b> 1	Е	
Beaked Dodder	Cuscuta rostrata	G4	S1	Е	
Toothed Sedge	Cyperus dentatus	G4	SH	Х	
Umbrella Flatsedge	Cyperus diandrus	G5	SU		
Sheathed Flatsedge	Cyperus haspan	G5	S1?		
Houghton's Umbrella-sedge	Cyperus houghtonii	G4?	<b>S</b> 1		
Flatsedge	Cyperus hystricinus	G4	S2		
Many-flowered Umbrella-sedge	Cyperus lancastriensis	G5	SU		
Plukenet's Flatsedge	Cyperus plukenetii	G5	SH	Х	
Reflexed Flatsedge	Cyperus refractus	G5	S2?		
Rough Flatsedge	Cyperus retrofractus	G5	S2		
Small White Lady's-slipper	Cypripedium candidum	G4	<b>S</b> 1	Е	
Large Yellow Lady's-slipper	Cypripedium parviflorum var. pubescens	G5T5	S3		



Common	Scientific Name	G-Rank ¹	S-Rank ¹	State-	Federally
Name		<b>G</b> Humin		listed ²	listed ²
Showy Lady's-	Cypripedium	G4	SH	Х	
slipper	reginae				
Bulblet Fern	Cystopteris bulbifera	G5	<b>S</b> 3		
Tennessee	Cystopteris	G5	<b>S</b> 1		
Bladderfern	tennesseensis	05	51		
Tall Larkspur	Delphinium exaltatum	G3	<b>S</b> 1	Е	
Dwarf Larkspur	Delphium tricorne	G5	S3		
Tufted Hairgrass	Deschampsia cespitosa	G5	<b>S</b> 1	Е	
Showy Tick- trefoil	Desmodium canadense	G5	SH		
Toothed Tick- trefoil	Desmodium cuspidatum	G5T5?	S1		
Fernald's Tick- trefoil	Desmodium fernaldii	G4	S1		
Trailing Tick- trefoil	Desmodium humifusum	G1G2Q	SH	Х	
Smooth Tick- trefoil	Desmodium laevigatum	G5	S3		
Sand Tick-trefoil	Desmodium lineatum	G5	SH	Х	
Nuttall's Tick- trefoil	Desmodium nuttallii	G5	S1?		
Stiff Tick-trefoil	Desmodium obtusum	G4G5	S1	E	
Cream Tick- trefoil	Desmodium ochroleucum	G1G2	<b>S</b> 1	Е	
Sessile-leaf Tick-trefoil	Desmodium sessilifolium	G5	SH	Х	
Pineland Tick- trefoil	Desmodium strictum	G4	<b>S</b> 1	Е	
Wild Bleedinghearts	Dicentra eximia	G4	S2	Т	
Needle Witchgrass	Dichanthelium aciculare	G5	S2?		
Ringed Witchgrass	Dichanthelium annulum	GNR	SH		
Northern Witchgrass	Dichanthelium boreale	G5	SU	Х	
Open-flower Witchgrass	Dichanthelium laxiflorum	G5	S1?		
Roughish Witchgrass	Dichanthelium leucothrix	G4?Q	SU		


Common	Scientific Name	G-Rank ¹	S-Rank ¹	State-	Federally
Name			~	listed ²	listed ²
Few-flowered	Dichanthelium				
Witchgrass	oligosanthes var.	G5T5?	S2S3?		
	oligosanthes				
Scribner's	Dichanthelium	CI STRE	62		
Witchgrass	oligosanthes var.	G5T5	S2		
Ravenel's	scribnerianum Dichanthelium				
	ravenelii	G5	SH		
Witchgrass	Dichanthelium				
Tall Swamp		G4	S1	Е	
Witchgrass	scabriusculum				
Wright's	Dichanthelium	G4	S1	Е	
Witchgrass	wrightianum				
Shaggy	Digitaria villosa	G5	SU	Х	
Crabgrass	D'ultration (				
Deep-root	Diphasiastrum	G5	<b>S</b> 3		
Clubmoss	tristachyum				
Eastern	Dirca palustris	G4	S2	Т	
Leatherwood					
Cornel-leaf	Doellingeria	G5	<b>S</b> 3		
Aster	infirma	05	01	<b></b>	
Pink Sundew	Drosera capillaris	G5	S1	Е	
Roundleaf	Drosera	G5	<b>S</b> 3		
Sundew	rotundifolia				
Mountain	Dryopteris	G5	<b>S</b> 1	Е	
Woodfern	campyloptera	<u> </u>			
Log Fern	Dryopteris celsa	G4	S3		
Clinton's	Dryopteris	G5	<b>S</b> 1	Е	
Woodfern	clintoniana				
Goldie's Fern	Dryopteris	G4G5	S2		
	goldiana				
Creeping	Echinodorus	G5	<b>S</b> 1	Е	
Burhead	cordifolius				
American	Elatine americana	G4	<b>S</b> 3		
Waterwort					
Small Waterwort	Elatine minima	G5	S1	Е	
White Spikerush	Eleocharis albida	G4G5	S2S3		
Flat-stem	Eleocharis	G4	S1	Е	
Spikerush	compressa			_	
Engelmann's	Eleocharis	G4G5	<b>S</b> 3		
Spikerush	engelmannii	0.00	~~		
Horsetail	Eleocharis	G4	S1	Е	
Spikerush	equisetoides		~ 1	-	
Bald Spikerush	Eleocharis	G5	SU		
Spinoraon	erythropoda		~~		



Common	Scientific Name	G-Rank ¹	S-Rank ¹	State-	<b>Federally</b>
Name				listed ²	listed ²
Saltmarsh	Eleocharis	G4	<b>S</b> 1	Е	
Spikerush	halophila				
Matted Spilzerush	Eleocharis intermedia	G5	<b>S</b> 1	Е	
Spikerush Black-fruit	Eleocharis				
Spikerush	melanocarpa	G4	S1	E	
Robbins'	Eleocharis				
Spikerush	robbinsii	G4G5	<b>S</b> 1	E	
Beaked	Eleocharis				
Spikerush	rostellata	G5	S2?		
Twised		~ ~	~ ~		
Spikerush	Eleocharis tortilis	G5	<b>S</b> 3		
Three-angle	Eleocharis	<u>C1</u>	G 1	F	
Spikerush	tricostata	G4	<b>S</b> 1	E	
Tabaaaaaaa	Elephantopus	05	S1	Б	
Tobaccoweed	tomentosus	G5	51	E	
Hairy	Epilobium	G5	S1	Е	
Willowherb	ciliatum	03	51	L	
Linear-leaf	Epilobium	G5	S2S3		
Willowherb	leptophyllum	05	5255		
Downy	Epilobium	G5?	S1	Е	
Willowherb	strictum		~ 1	-	
Water Horsetail	Equisetum fluviatile	G5	<b>S</b> 1	Ε	
Woodland	Equisetum	G5	S1	Е	
Horsetail	sylvaticum	65	51	E	
Meadow	Eragrostis	G5	S3S4		
Lovegrass	refracta	05	-0504		
Harbinger-of- Spring	Erigenia bulbosa	G5	<b>S</b> 3		
Braun's Robin's- plantain	Erigeron pulchellus var. brauniae	G5T4	<b>S</b> 1		
Seven-angle Pipewort	Eriocaulon aquaticum	G5	<b>S</b> 1	Е	
Flattened	Eriocaulon	C5	52		
Pipewort	compressum	G5	S2		
Ten-angle	Eriocaulon	G5T5?	S1		
Pipewort	decangulare	0313:	51		
Parker's	Eriocaulon	G3	<b>S</b> 2	Т	
Pipewort	parkeri	0.5	52	I	
Slender	Eriophorum	G5	S1	Е	
Cottongrass	gracile		~ 1	-	
Tawny	Eriophorum	G5	<b>S</b> 3		
Cottongrass	virginicum				



Common	Scientific Name	G-Rank ¹	S-Rank ¹	State-	Federally
Name Rattlesnake-	Emmoium			listed ²	listed ²
master	Eryngium yuccifolium	G5	SH	Х	
White Trout Lily	Erythronium albidum	G5	S2	Т	
Tall Boneset	Eupatorium altissimum	G5	<b>S</b> 3		
White-bracted Boneset	Eupatorium leucolepis	G5	S2S3	Т	
Southeastern Flowering Spurge	Euphorbia pubentissima	G5	SU		
Glade Spurge/Darlingt on's Spurge	Euphorbia purpurea	G3	<b>S</b> 1	E	
Warty Spurge	Euphorbia spathulata	G5	<b>S</b> 1	Е	
Low Rough Aster	Eurybia radula	G5	<b>S</b> 1	Ε	
Low Showy Aster	Eurybia spectabilis	G5	S1	Е	
Spotted Joe-pye Weed	Eutrochium maculatum	G5	SU	Х	
Fringed Black- bindweed	Fallopia cilinodis	G5	S3		
Cluster Fescue	Festuca paradoxa	G5	S1?		
Queen-of-the- Prairie	Filipendula rubra	G4G5	<b>S</b> 1	Е	
Annual Fimbry	Fimbristylis annua	G5	<b>S</b> 3		
Carolina Fimbry	Fimbristylis caroliniana	G4	S1S2		
Harper's Fimbristylis	Fimbristylis perpusilla	G2	S2	E	
Hairy Fimbristylis	Fimbristylis puberula	G5	SU		
Carolina Ash	Fraxinus caroliniana	G4G5	S2		
Black Ash	Fraxinus nigra	G5	S3		
Dwarf Umbrella- sedge	Fuirena pumila	G4	S2S3		
Downy Milkpea	Galactia volubilis	G5	S3		
Northern Bedstraw	Galium boreale	G5	<b>S</b> 1	Е	
Coast Bedstraw	Galium hispidulum	G5	<b>S</b> 1	E	



Common	Scientific Name	G-Rank ¹	S-Rank ¹	State-	Federally
Name		C5	62	listed ²	listed ²
Purple Bedstraw	Galium latifolium Gaultheria	G5	S3		
Creeping Snowberry	hispidula	G5	<b>S</b> 1	Е	
	Gaylussacia				
Box Huckleberry	brachycera	G3	S1	Е	
Dwarf	Gaylussacia	C5	C 1	Б	
Huckleberry	dumosa	G5	S1	E	
Fringe-top Bottle	Gentiana	G5?	S2	Т	
Gentian	andrewsii	05:	52	1	
Narrowleaf	Gentiana linearis	G4G5	<b>S</b> 3		
Gentian		0405	55		
Downy Gentian	Gentiana	G4G5	SH	Х	
	puberulenta				
Striped Gentian	Gentiana villosa	G4	S1	Е	
Stiff Gentian	Gentianella	G5	<b>S</b> 1	Е	
	quinquefolia				
Fringed Gentian	Gentianopsis	G5	S1	Е	
	<i>crinita</i>				
Herb-Robert	Geranium	G5	S1		
Yellow Avens	robertianum	G5	S1	Е	
Rough Avens	Geum aleppicum Geum laciniatum	G5	S1 S3	E	
Sharp-scaled	Glyceria	05	35		
Mannagrass	acutiflora	G5	S1	Е	
American	· · · ·				
Mannagrass	Glyceria grandis	G5	<b>S</b> 1	Е	
	Gonolobus				
Angular-fruit	suberosus var.	G5	<b>S</b> 2		
Milkvine	suberosus				
Dwarf					
Rattlesnake-	Goodyera repens	G5	SH	Х	
plantain	· –				
Checkered	Goodyera				
Rattlesnake-	tesselata	G5	SH	Х	
plantain	105501010				
Branched	Gratiola ramosa	G4G5	SH	Х	
Hedge-hyssop	C. 411014 14111054	0.00	~**		
Short's Hedge-	Gratiola viscidula	G4G5	<b>S</b> 1	Е	
hyssop				_	
Northern Oak	Gymnocarpium	G5	<b>S</b> 1	Е	
Fern	dryopteris Guarda da d				
Kentucky	Gymnocladus dioicus	G5	<b>S</b> 1		
Coffeetree	dioicus Comunente a com				
Shortleaf	Gymnopogon bravifalius	G5	<b>S</b> 1	Е	
Beardgrass	brevifolius				



Common Name	Scientific Name	G-Rank ¹	S-Rank ¹	State- listed ²	Federally listed ²
Harperella	Ptilimnium nodosum[1]	G2	<b>S</b> 1	Е	LE
Stiff-hair Sunflower	Helianthus hirsutus	G5	<b>S</b> 1		
Smooth Sunflower/Shale Barren Sunflower	Helianthus laevigatus	G4	S1	E	
McDowell's Sunflower	Helianthus occidentalis	G5T5	<b>S</b> 1	Т	
Swamp Pink	Helonias bullata	G3	S2	Е	LT
Nuttall's Micranthemum	Hemianthus micranthemoides	GH	SH	Х	
Cow-parsnip	Heracleum maximum	G5	<b>S</b> 3		
Downy Alumroot	Heuchera pubescens	G4?	<b>S</b> 3		
Hairy Alumroot	Heuchera villosa	G5	SH	Х	
Crested Coralroot	Hexalectris spicata	G5	SH	Х	
Virginia Heartleaf	Hexastylis virginica	G4	S1	Е	
Halberd-leaf Rosemallow	Hibiscus laevis	G5	<b>S</b> 3		
Glade Fern	Homalosorus pycnocarpos	G5	S2	Т	
Sea Chickweed	Honckenya peploides	G5T4	<b>S</b> 1	Е	
Featherfoil	Hottonia inflata	G4	S1	E	
Michaux's Bluet	Houstonia serpyllifolia	G4?	<b>S</b> 3		
Slender-leaved Bluets	Houstonia tenuifolia	GNR	<b>S</b> 1		
Golden Heather	Hudsonia ericoides	G4	<b>S</b> 1	Е	
Rock Clubmoss	Huperzia porophila	G4	SX		
Green Violet	Hybanthus concolor	G5	S3		
Golden-seal	Hydrastis canadensis	G4	S2	Т	
Large-leaf Waterleaf	Hydrophyllum macrophyllum	G5	S2	Т	



Common	Scientific Name	G-Rank ¹	S-Rank ¹	State-	Federally
Name		<b>G</b> Runn		listed ²	listed ²
Few-flower	Hylodesmum	G5	<b>S</b> 2	Е	
Tick-trefoil	pauciflorum		~ -		
Creeping St.	Hypericum	G3	<b>S</b> 1	Е	
John's-wort	adpressum				
Coppery St.	Hypericum	G5	<b>S</b> 2	Т	
John's-wort	denticulatum				
Drummond's St.	Hypericum	G5	SH	Х	
John's-wort	drummondii				
Pale St. John's-	Hypericum	G5	SU		
wort	ellipticum				
Clasping-leaf St.	Hypericum	G5	<b>S</b> 3		
John's-wort	gymnanthum				
Sharpleaf St. John's-wort	Hypericum	G4?	SH		
	virgatum Ilex decidua	G5	S2		
Deciduous Holly Mountain Holly			<u>S2</u> S3		
Eastern	Ilex mucronata Iresine	G5	33		
Bloodleaf		G5	<b>S</b> 1	E	
Dwarf Crested	rhizomatosa				
Iris	Iris cristata	G5	S1	E	
Slender Blueflag	Iris prismatica	G4G5	S2	Е	
Dwarf Iris	Iris verna	G5T3T5	S1	E	
Virginia		031313	51	Ľ	
Blueflag	Iris virginica	G5	<b>S</b> 3		
Engelmann's	Isoëtes				
Quillwort	engelmannii	G5	<b>S</b> 3		
Riverbank					
Quillwort	Isoëtes riparia	G5?	SU		
Pipevine,	Isotrema				
Dutchman's Pipe	macrophyllum	G5	S2	Т	
Small Whorled	Isotria	~~~	~~~		
Pogonia	medeoloides	G2	SH	Х	LT
Butternut	Juglans cinerea	G4	S2S3		
Jointed Rush	Juncus articulatus	G5	S1		
Baltic Rush	Juncus balticus	G5T5	SH	Х	
	Juncus				
Small-head Rush	brachycephalus	G5	SH	Х	
Narrow-panicle	Juncus	C5	52		
Rush	brevicaudatus	G5	S2		
New Jersey	Juncus	C)C2	C1	Б	
Rush	caesariensis	G2G3	S1	E	
Elliott's Rush	Juncus elliottii	G4G5	S1		
Long's Rush	Juncus longii	G3Q	S1	Е	
Big-head Rush	Juncus	G4G5	S1		
Dig-ilcau Kusii	megacephalus	0+05	10		



Common				State-	Federally
Name	Scientific Name	G-Rank ¹	S-Rank ¹	listed ²	listed ²
Bayonet Rush	Juncus militaris	G5	SH	Х	
Brown-fruit rush	Juncus pelocarpus	G5	S1	E	
Torrey's Rush	Juncus torreyi	G5	S1	E	
Highland Rush	Juncus trifidus	G5	S1	Е	
Dwarf Juniper	Juniperus communis	G5	SH	Х	
Sheep Laurel	Kalmia angustifolia	G5	S3S4		
Potato Dwarf- dandelion	Krigia dandelion	G5	S2S3		
Red Root	Lachnanthes caroliniana	G4	<b>S</b> 1	E	
Hairy Lettuce	Lactuca hirsuta	G5	SH	Х	
American Larch	Larix laricina	G5	S1	E	
Vetchling Peavine	Lathyrus palustris	G5	S1	Е	
Virginian Beach Pinweed	Lechea maritima	G5T3Q	<b>S</b> 3		
Slender Pinweed	Lechea tenuifolia	G5	SH	Х	
Club-head Cutgrass	Leersia hexandra	G5	<b>S</b> 1	Ε	
Catchfly Cutgrass	Leersia lenticularis	G5	S1	Е	
Star Duckweed	Lemna trisulca	G5	S1	Е	
Violet Lespedeza	Lespedeza frutescens	G5	<b>S</b> 3		
Silky Lespedeza	Lespedeza stuevei	G5	<b>S</b> 3		
Heller's Blazing Star/Shale- barren Blazing Star	Liatris helleri	G3	SH	Х	
Prairie Blazing Star	Liatris spicata	G5	<b>S</b> 1		
Scaly Gayfeather	Liatris squarrosa	G5T5	S1	Е	
American Lovage	Ligusticum canadense	G4	SH	Х	
Wood Lily	Lilium philadelphicum	G5	SH	Х	
American Frog's-bit	Limnobium spongia	G4	<b>S</b> 1	Е	
Mudwort	Limosella australis	G4G5	S2	Е	
Sandplain Flax	Linum intercursum	G4	S2	Т	



Common	Scientific Name	G-Rank ¹	S-Rank ¹	State-	Federally
Name	Scientific Manie	U-Kalik	5-Kalik	listed ²	listed ²
Grooved Yellow Flax	Linum sulcatum	G5	<b>S</b> 1	Е	
Large Twayblade	Liparis liliifolia	G5	S2S3		
Loesel's Twayblade	Liparis loeselii	G5	S1S2		
Dwarf Bulrush	Lipocarpha micrantha	G5	S1	Е	
Southern Twayblade	Listera australis	G4	<b>S</b> 3		
Heartleaf Twayblade	Listera cordata	G5T5	SH	Х	
Kidneyleaf Twayblade	Listera smallii	G4	<b>S</b> 1	Е	
American Gromwell	Lithospermum latifolium	G4	S1	E	
Hairy False Gromwell	Lithospermum parviflorum	G4G5	<b>S</b> 1	Е	
Virginia False Gromwell	Lithospermum virginianum	G4	<b>S</b> 1	Ε	
Pondspice	Litsea aestivalis	G3	S1	E	
Canby's Lobelia	Lobelia canbyi	G4	S2	Е	
Elongated Lobelia	Lobelia elongata	G4G5	S3		
American Fly Honeysuckle	Lonicera canadensis	G5	<b>S</b> 1	Е	
Primrose-willow	Ludwigia decurrens	G5	S2S3		
Cylindric-fruit Seedbox	Ludwigia glandulosa	G5T5	<b>S</b> 1	Ε	
Hairy Ludwigia	Ludwigia hirtella	G5	S1	E	
Sundial Lupine	Lupinus perennis	G5	S2	Т	
Bog Clubmoss	Lycopodiella inundata	G5	S2		
Sessile-leaf Bugleweed	Lycopus amplectens	G5	<b>S</b> 1	Е	
Climbing Fern	Lygodium palmatum	G4	S2	Т	
Lowland Loosestrife	Lysimachia hybrida	G5	S2	Т	
Lanceleaf Loosestrife	Lysimachia lanceolata	G5	<b>S</b> 3		
Sea Milkwort	Lysimachia maritima	G5	SH	Х	



Common	Scientific Name	G-Rank ¹	S-Rank ¹	State-	Federally
Name		0	~	listed ²	listed ²
Water	Lysimachia	G5	<b>S</b> 1	Е	
Loosestrife	thyrsiflora		~ -	_	
Winged	Lythrum alatum	G5	<b>S</b> 1	Е	
Loosestrife	-		~ 1	-	
Umbrella	Magnolia	G5	<b>S</b> 3		
Magnolia	tripetala				
Starflower	Maianthemum				
Solomon's-	stellatum	G5	S2	E	
plume	stettatum				
Green Adders-	Malaxis unifolia	G5	S1S3		
mouth Orchid		05	5155		
Southern	Malus angustifolia	G5	<b>S</b> 3		
Crabapple	maius angustijotta	05	22		
Carolina	Matelea	G4	S2	Е	
Anglepod	caroliniensis	04	52	E	
Climbing	Matslandhlinna	C 49	6162	E	
Milkweed	Matelea obliqua	G4?	S1S2	E	
0.115	Matteuccia	07	0000		
Ostrich Fern	struthiopteris	G5	S2S3		
Purple	Mecardonia	0.5775	6.2	F	
Mecardonia	acuminata	G5T5	S2	E	
Narrow		05	6.2		
Melicgrass	Melica mutica	G5	<b>S</b> 3		
Three-flower	16.11	<i></i>	<b>G Q</b>	E	
Melicgrass	Melica nitens	G5	S2	Т	
Guadeloupe		~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~	~ ~		
Cucumber	Melothria pendula	G5?T5?	S2	E	
	Menyanthes	~ ~ ~	~ .		
Bog Buckbean	trifoliata	G5	<b>S</b> 1	E	
Lettuceleaf	Micranthus	<i></i>			
Saxifrage	micranthidifolia	G5	<b>S</b> 3		
Carolina	Minuartia	~ ~ ~	~ .		
Sandwort	caroliniana	G5	<b>S</b> 1	E	
Appalachian					
Sandwort	Minuartia glabra	G4	<b>S</b> 1	E	
Michaux's	Minuartia				
Stitchwort	michauxii	G5T5	S2	Т	
	Moehringia				
Grove Sandwort	lateriflora	G5	<b>S</b> 1	E	
<u> </u>	Monarda				
Basil Beebalm	clinopodia	G5	S3S4		
Purple Bergamot	Monarda media	G4?	SH		
	Monotropsis				
Sweet Pinesap	odorata	G3	<b>S</b> 1	E	
	0001010				



Common	Scientific Name	G-Rank ¹	S-Rank ¹	State- listed ²	Federally listed ²
Name	Morella			IIstea-	listea-
Evergreen Bayberry	caroliniensis	G5	S1	Е	
	Muhlenbergia				
Hair-awn Muhly	capillaris	G5	<b>S</b> 1	E	
	Muhlenbergia				
Hairgrass	glabriflora	G4?	SH		
Maush Mashlas	Muhlenbergia	05	CII		
Marsh Muhly	glomerata	G5	SH		
Woodland	Muhlenbergia	G5	<b>S</b> 3		
Muhly	sylvatica	05	33		
Torrey's	Muhlenbergia	G3	S1	Е	
Dropseed	torreyana	03	51	Ľ	
Large-seed	Myosotis	G5	S3S4		
Forget-me-not	macrosperma				
Spring Forget-	Myosotis verna	G5	<b>S</b> 3		
me-not Broadleaf	Maurianhaultura				
Water-milfoil	Myriophyllum heterophyllum	G5	S1		
Slender Water-	Myriophyllum				
milfoil	tenellum	G5	SH	Х	
Whorled Water-	Myriophyllum				
milfoil	verticillatum	G5	SU		
Slender	Nabalus	0405	0.1	F	
Rattlesnake-root	autumnalis	G4G5	S1	E	
Slender Naiad	Najas flexilis	G5	S3		
Thread-like	Najas gracillima	G5?	SU	Х	
Naiad		05.	50	24	
Southern Naiad	Najas	G5	<b>S</b> 3		
	guadalupensis			F	
Glade Mallow	Napaea dioica	G4	S1	E	
Small-flower Baby-blue-eyes	Nemophila	G5	<b>S</b> 2		
Big	aphylla Nymphoides				
Floatingheart	aquatica	G5	<b>S</b> 1	E	
Little	Nymphoides	_			
Floatingheart	cordata	G5	<b>S</b> 1	E	
Shale Barren					
Evening-	<i>Oenothera</i>	G3G4	<b>S</b> 3		
primrose	argillicola				
Clustered Bluets	Oldenlandia	G5	<b>S</b> 3		
Clusicica Diacts	uniflora	0.5	60		
False Scurfpea	Orbexilum	G5T4	SX		
	pedunculatum				
One-side	Orthilia secunda	G5	SH	Х	
Wintergreen					



Common Name	Scientific Name	G-Rank ¹	S-Rank ¹	State- listed ²	Federally listed ²
Mountain- ricegrass	Oryzopsis asperifolia	G5	S2	Т	
Sourwood	Oxydendrum aboreum	G5	<b>S</b> 1	Е	
Shale-barren Ragwort/Cat's- paw Ragwort	Packera antennariifolia	G4	<b>S</b> 3		
Balsam Ragwort	Packera paupercula	G5	<b>S</b> 3		
American Ginseng	Panax quinquefolius	G3G4	S2S3		
Wiry Witch Grass	Panicum flexile	G5	<b>S</b> 1	Е	
Maidencane	Panicum hemitomon	G5	<b>S</b> 3		
Philadelphia Panicgrass	Panicum philadelphicum	G5	SU		
Kidneyleaf Grass-of- parnassus	Parnassia asarifolia	G4	S1	Е	
Yellow Nailwort/Virgini a Whitlow-wort	Paronychia virginica	G4	S1	Е	
Wild Quinine	Parthenium integrifolium	G5T5	<b>S</b> 1	Е	
Walter's Paspalum	Paspalum dissectum	G4?	S2	Т	
Horse-tail Paspalum	Paspalum fluitans	G5	<b>S</b> 1	Е	
Mountain- ricegrass	Patis racemosa	G5	S2	Т	
Canby's Mountain-lover	Paxistima canbyi	G2	<b>S</b> 1	Ε	
Swamp Lousewort	Pedicularis lanceolata	G5	<b>S</b> 1	Е	
Smooth Cliffbrake	Pellaea glabella	G5T5	<b>S</b> 1	Е	
Smooth Beardtongue	Penstemon laevigatus	G5	<b>S</b> 3		
Red Bay	Persea palustris	G5	S1	Е	
Stout Smartweed	Persicaria robustior	G4G5	SU	Х	
Swamp Smartweed	Persicaria setacea	G5	SU		
Coville's Phacelia	Phacelia covillei	G3	S2	Е	



Common Name	Scientific Name	G-Rank ¹	S-Rank ¹	State- listed ²	Federally listed ²
Miami-mist	Phacelia purshii	G5	S3		
Wild Kidney	Phaseolus	G5	<b>S</b> 3		
Bean	polystachios	05	55		
Northern	Phegopteris	G5	S2		
Beechfern	connectilis		~-		
Roundleaf	Phemeranthus	G4	S2	Т	
Fameflower	teretifolius				
Smooth Phlox	Phlox glaberrima	G5	S1	E	
Mountain Phlox	Phlox ovata	G4	SH	X	
Downy Phlox	Phlox pilosa	G5	S1	E	
Carolina Leaf-	Phyllanthus	G5T5?	<b>S</b> 3		
flower	caroliniensis				
Virginia Ground-cherry	<i>Physalis</i>	G5	<b>S</b> 3		
Red Spruce	virginiana Picea rubens	G5	S3		
Springs	Ficed rubens	65	33		
Clearweed	Pilea fontana	G5	S3		
Heartleaf Plantain	Plantago cordata	G4	SH	Х	
White Fringed Orchid	Platanthera bleph ariglottis var. blephariglottis	G4G5	S2	Т	
Yellow Fringed Orchid	Platanthera ciliari s	G5	S2	Т	
Crested Yellow Orchid	Platanthera crista ta	G5	<b>S</b> 3		
Pale Green Orchid	Platanthera flava	G4	S2		
Large Purple Fringed Orchid	Platanthera grand iflora	G5	S2	Т	
Purple Fringeless Orchid	Platanthera peramoena	G5	S1S2	Т	
Small Purple Fringed Orchid	Platanthera psyco des	G5	SH	Х	
Shriver's Frilly Orchis	Platanthera shrive ri	GNR	<b>S</b> 1		
Resurrection Fern	Pleopeltis polypodioides var. michauxiana	G5T5	<b>S</b> 3		
Marsh Fleabane	Pluchea camphorata	G5	S1	Ε	
Fowl Bluegrass	Poa palustris	G5	SH		
Dropping Bluegrass	Poa saltuensis	G5	<b>S</b> 1	E	



Common Name	Scientific Name	G-Rank ¹	S-Rank ¹	State- listed ²	Federally listed ²
Threadfoot	Podostemum ceratophyllum	G5	S3	nsteu	IIsteu
Rose Pogonia	Pogonia ophioglossoides	G5	S3		
Common Clammyweed	Polanisia dodecandra	G5T5	<b>S</b> 1	E	
Bog Jacob's Ladder	Polemonium vanbruntiae	G3G4	S2	Т	
Crossleaf Milkwort	Polygala cruciata	G5	S2	Т	
Pink Milkwort	Polygala incarnata	G5	S2S3		
Racemed Milkwort	Polygala polygama	G5	<b>S</b> 1	Т	
Seneca Snakeroot	Polygala senega	G4G5	S2	Т	
Seaside Knotweed	Polygonum glaucum	G3	<b>S</b> 1	E	
Bushy Knotweed	Polygonum ramosissimum	G5	SH	Х	
Largeleaf Pondweed	Potamogeton amplifolius[2]	G5	S1S2		
Leafy Pondweed	Potamogeton foliosus	G5	<b>S</b> 1	E	
Illinois Pondweed	Potamogeton illinoensis2	G5	S2		
Claspingleaf Pondweed	Potamogeton perfoliatus	G5	S2		
Slender Pondweed	Potamogeton pusillus	G5	<b>S</b> 1		
Richardson's Pondweed	Potamogeton richardsonii	G5	SH	Х	
Flatleaf Pondweed	Potamogeton robbinsii	G5	SH	Х	
Spiral Pondweed	Potamogeton spirillus	G5	<b>S</b> 1		
Flatstem Pondweed	Potamogeton zosteriformis	G5	<b>S</b> 1	Е	
Tall Cinquefoil	Potentilla arguta	G5	SH		
Common Shootingstar	Primula meadia	G5	<b>S</b> 3		
Allegheny Plum	Prunus alleghaniensis	G4	S2	Т	



Common	Scientific Name	G-Rank ¹	S-Rank ¹	State-	Federally
Name	D :/:	<u>C1</u>	01	listed ²	listed ²
Beach Plum	Prunus maritima	G4	S1	E	
Susquehanna	Prunus	G4	SH		
Sandcherry	susquehanae				
Carolina	Pseudolycopodiell	G5	<b>S</b> 1	Е	
Clubmoss	a caroliniana				
Common Hoptree	Ptelea trifoliata	G5	S3		
Basil	Pycnanthemum	G1G2	SH		
Mountainmint	clinopodioides	0102	511		
Southern	Pycnanthemum	G5	SH	Х	
Mountainmint	pycnanthemoides	05	511	Λ	
Torrey's	Pycnanthemum	G2	<b>S</b> 1	Е	
Mountainmint	torreyi	02	51	Ľ	
Whorled	Pycnanthemum	G5	<b>S</b> 1	Е	
Mountainmint	verticillatum	65	51	E	
Virginia	Pycnanthemum	05	6.2		
Mountainmint	virginianum	G5	S2		
Green-flower		<i></i>			
Wintergreen	Pyrola chlorantha	G5	SH	Х	
Bur Oak,	Quercus	~ -	~ .		
Mossycup Oak	macrocarpa	G5	<b>S</b> 1		
¥	Quercus				
Shumard Oak	shumardii	G5	S2	Т	
Allegheny					
Mountains	Ranunculus	G4G5	<b>S</b> 3		
Buttercup	allegheniensis		~~~		
Water-plantain	Ranunculus				
Spearwort	ambigens	G4	<b>S</b> 1	Х	
Hispid					
Buttercup/Caroli	Ranunculus	G5	SU	Х	
na Buttercup	carolinianus	00	20		
<b>^</b>	Ranunculus				
Early Buttercup	fascicularis	G5	<b>S</b> 1	E	
Yellow Water-	Ranunculus				
crowfoot	flabellaris	G5	<b>S</b> 1	E	
Long-stalked	Ranunculus				
Crowfoot	hederaceus	G5	<b>S</b> 1	E	
Mississippi	Ranunculus				
Buttercup	laxicaulis	G5?	S1		
•	Ranunculus				
Bristly Crowfoot	pensylvanicus	G5	SH	Х	
Pursh's	Ranunculus				
	pusillus	G5	SU		
Buttercup Threadleaf	Ranunculus				
Water Crowfoot		G5T5	<b>S</b> 1	Е	
water Crowloot	trichophyllus				



Common Name	Scientific Name	G-Rank ¹	S-Rank ¹	State- listed ²	Federally listed ²
Alderleaf				IIstea-	IIsted-
Buckthorn	Rhamnus alnifolia	G5	S1		
Smooth Azalea	Rhododendron arborescens	G4G5	<b>S</b> 3		
Flame Azalea	Rhododendron calendulaceum	G5	<b>S</b> 1		
Hairy Snoutbean	Rhynchosia tomentosa	G5	S2	Т	
White Beakrush	Rhynchospora alba	G5	<b>S</b> 3		
Capitate Beakrush	Rhynchospora cephalantha	G5	<b>S</b> 1	E	
Globe Beakrush	Rhynchospora globularis	G5?T5?	<b>S</b> 1	Е	
Clustered Beakrush	Rhynchospora glomerata	G5T5?	S3		
Harper's Beakrush	Rhynchospora harperi	G4?	<b>S</b> 1	E	
Drowned Hornrush	Rhynchospora inundata	G4?	<b>S</b> 1	E	
Small-headed Beakrush	Rhynchospora microcephala	G5	S2		
Short-beaked Baldrush	Rhynchospora nitens	G4?	<b>S</b> 1	E	
Feather-bristle Beaksedge	Rhynchospora oligantha	G4	SH	X	
Pale Beakrush	Rhynchospora pallida	G3	SH	X	
Few-flowered Beakrush	Rhynchospora rariflora	G5	SU	X	
Cymose Beakrush	Rhynchospora recognita	G5?	S2		
Long-beaked Baldrush	Rhynchospora scirpoides	G4	S2	Т	
Torrey's Beakrush	Rhynchospora torreyana	G4	S2	Т	
Wild Black Currant	Ribes americanum	G5	SH	Х	
Prickly Gooseberry	Ribes cynosbati	G5	S3		
Skunk Currant	Ribes glandulosum	G5	<b>S</b> 3		
Smooth Rose	Rosa blanda	G5	S1	Е	
Orange Coneflower	Rudbeckia fulgida	G5	<b>S</b> 3		



Common	Scientific Name	G-Rank ¹	S-Rank ¹	State-	Federally
Name	belennine rame	0-Mank	D-Mailk	listed ²	listed ²
Brown-eyed Susan	Rudbeckia triloba	G5T4T5	<b>S</b> 3		
Hairy Wild Petunia	Ruellia humilis	G5	<b>S</b> 1	Е	
Pursh's Wild Petunia	Ruellia purshiana	G3	<b>S</b> 1	E	
Limestone Wild	Ruellia strepens	G4G5	S2S3		
Petunia		05	01	F	
Tall Dock	Rumex altissimus	G5	S1	E	
Slender Marsh Pink	Sabatia campanulata	G5	<b>S</b> 1	Е	
Two-formed Pink	Sabatia difformis	G4G5	<b>S</b> 1	E	
Large Marsh Pink	Sabatia dodecandra	G5?	<b>S</b> 3		
Silver Plume Grass	Saccharum alopecuroides	G5	<b>S</b> 1?		
Slender Plume Grass	Saccharum baldwinii	G5	<b>S</b> 1	E	
Bent-awn Plume Grass	Saccharum contortum	G5	S3S4		
Gibbous Panic- grass	Sacciolepis striata	G5	<b>S</b> 1	E	
Engelmann's Arrowhead	Sagittaria engelmanniana	G5?	S2	Т	
Grassleaf Arrowhead	Sagittaria graminea	G5	SU		
Sessile-fruit Arrowhead	Sagittaria rigida	G5	<b>S</b> 1	E	
Spongy Arrowhead	Sagittaria spatulata	G5	S2		
Strap-leaf Arrowhead	Sagittaria subulata	G4	SU		
Bebb's Willow	Salix bebbiana	G5	SH	Х	
Carolina Willow	Salix caroliniana	G5	S3		
Pussy Willow	Salix discolor	G5	SH		
Narrowleaf Willow	Salix exigua	G5	<b>S</b> 1	Е	
Shining Willow	Salix lucida	G5	SH	Х	
Dwarf Prairie Willow	Salix occidentalis	G5	S2		
Nettleleaf Sage	Salvia urticifolia	G5	SX	Х	
Canada Burnet	Sanguisorba canadensis	G5	S2	Т	



Common Name	Scientific Name	G-Rank ¹	S-Rank ¹	State- listed ²	Federally listed ²
Maryland Black-	Sanicula	C5	62	Instea	nsteu
snakeroot	marilandica	G5	S3		
Large-fruited Sanicle	Sanicula trifoliata	G4	<b>S</b> 3		
Northern Pitcherplant	Sarracenia purpurea	G5	<b>S</b> 2	Т	
Leathery Grapefern	Sceptridium multifidum	G5	SH	Х	
Blunt-lobe Grapefern	Sceptridium oneidense	G4	<b>S</b> 1	E	
Purple Oat	Schizachne purpurascens	G5	<b>S</b> 1	Е	
Canby's Bulrush	Schoenoplectus etuberculatus	G3G4	SH	Х	
Salt-marsh Bulrush	Schoenoplectus novae-angliae	G5	S2		
Smith's Bulrush	Schoenoplectus smithii	G5?	SH	Х	
Water Bulrush	Schoenoplectus subterminalis	G4G5	<b>S</b> 1	Е	
Torrey's Bulrush	Schoenoplectus torreyi	G5	<b>S</b> 1		
Chaffseed	Schwalbea americana	G2G3	SH	Х	LE
Northeastern Bulrush	Scirpus ancistrochaetus	G3	<b>S</b> 1	Е	LE
Woodland Bulrush	Scirpus expansus	G4	<b>S</b> 3		
Mosquito Bulrush	Scirpus hattorianus	G5	SU		
Slender Nutrush	Scleria minor	G4	S1	Е	
Muehlenberg's Nutrush	Scleria muehlenbergii	G5	S1S2		
Shining Nutrush	Scleria nitida	GNR	S1	E	
Little-head Nutrush	Scleria oligantha	G5	<b>S</b> 1		
Reticulated Nutrush	Scleria reticularis	G4	S2S3		
Whip Nutrush	Scleria triglomerata	G5	<b>S</b> 3		
Low Nutrush	Scleria verticillata	G5	S1	E	
One-flower Sclerolepis	Sclerolepis uniflora	G4	S2	Т	
Hare Figwort	Scrophularia lanceolata	G5	<b>S</b> 3		



Common				State-	Federally
Name	Scientific Name	G-Rank ¹	S-Rank ¹	listed ²	listed ²
Hooded Skullcap	Scutellaria galericulata	G5	S2		
Hoary Skullcap	Scutellaria incana	G5T5	S3		
Leonard's	Scutellaria	G4	S2	Т	
Skullcap	leondardii	04	52	I	
Veined Skullcap	Scutellaria nervosa	G5	S1S2	E	
Heartleaf Skullcap	Scutellaria ovata	G5TNR	<b>S</b> 3		
Rock Skullcap	Scutellaria saxatilis	G3	<b>S</b> 1	E	
Showy Skullcap	Scutellaria serrata	G4G5	<b>S</b> 3		
Cliff Stonecrop	Sedum glaucophyllum	G4	S2	Т	
Sweet-scented Indian-plantain	Senecio suaveolens	G4	S1	Е	
Maryland Wild	Senna	G5	<b>S</b> 3		
Senna	marilandica	03	55		
Puerto Rico Sea-	Sesuvium	G5	<b>S</b> 1	Е	
Purslane	maritimum	05	51	Ľ	
Virginia Mallow	Sida hermaphrodita	G3	S1	Е	
Snowy Campion	Silene nivea	G4?	S1	E	
Threeleaf Rosinweed	Silphium astericus Linneaus var. trifoliatum	G4?T4?	<b>S</b> 3		
Sandplains Blue- eyed Grass	Sisyrinchium arenicola	GNR	S1	Е	
Saw Greenbrier	Smilax bona-nox	G5	<b>S</b> 3		
Upright Greenbrier	Smilax ecirrata	G5?	S1S3		
Long-stalk Greenbrier	Smilax pseudochina	G4G5	S2	Т	
Late Goldenrod	Solidago arguta var. arguta	G5T4T5	S1?		
Shale barren Goldenrod	Solidago arguta var. harrisii	G5T4	<b>S</b> 3		
Hairy Goldenrod	Solidago hispida	G5	S3		
Elliott's	Solidago	C5	62		
Goldenrod	latissimifolia	G5	<b>S</b> 3		
Sharp-leaved Goldenrod	Solidago patula	G5T5	S3		
Racemose Goldenrod	Solidago racemosa	G5T3	<b>S</b> 1	Т	



Common	Scientific Name	G-Rank ¹	S-Rank ¹	State-	Federally
Name		0 110		listed ²	listed ²
Prairie Goldenrod	Solidago rigida	G5	<b>S</b> 1	Х	
Roan Mountain Goldenrod	Solidago roanensis	G4G5	<b>S</b> 1?	Х	
Rock Goldenrod	Solidago rupestris	G4	SH	Х	
Showy Goldenrod	Solidago speciosa	G5T5?	S2	Т	
Coastal Goldenrod	Solidago tarda	G4?	<b>S</b> 1		
Bog Goldenrod	Solidago uliginosa	G4G5	S3		
American Mountain Ash	Sorbus americana	G5	<b>S</b> 3		
Long-bristle Indian Grass	Sorghastrum elliottii	G5	<b>S</b> 1	E	
Branching Bur- reed	Sparganium androcladum	G4G5	SU		
Greenfruit Bur- reed	Sparganium emersum	G5	S3		
Giant Bur-reed	Sparganium eurycarpum	G5	<b>S</b> 3		
Smooth Buttonweed	Spermacoce glabra	G4G5	<b>S</b> 1	E	
Swamp Oats	Sphenopholis pensylvanica	G4	S2	Т	
Large Yellow Lady's-slipper	Spiraea betulifolia Pallas var. corymbosa	G5T5	<b>S</b> 3		
Shining Ladies'- tresses	Spiranthes lucida	G5	<b>S</b> 1	E	
Yellow Ladies'- tresses	Spiranthes ochroleuca	G4	<b>S</b> 1	Е	
Fragrant Ladies'-tresses	Spiranthes odorata	G5	SH	Х	
Grass-leaved Ladies'-tresses	Spiranthes praecox	G5	SH		
Little Ladies'- tresses	Spiranthes tuberosa	G5	S1?		
Rough Dropseed	Sporobolus clandestinus	G5	S2		
Northern Dropseed	Sporobolus heterolepis	G5	<b>S</b> 1	E	
Gritty Hedge- nettle	Stachys aspera	G4?	<b>S</b> 1	Е	
Hyssopleaf Hedge-nettle	Stachys hyssopifolia	G4G5	S1		



Common	Scientific Name	G-Rank ¹	S-Rank ¹	State-	Federally
Name			~	listed ²	listed ²
Nuttall's Hedge- nettle	Stachys nuttallii	G4G5	SH		
Trailing Stitchwort	Stellaria alsine	G5	<b>S</b> 1	Ε	
Eastern Featherbells	Stenanthium gramineum	G4G5T3T 5	<b>S</b> 1	Т	
Pine Barren Deathcamas	Stenanthium leimanthoides	G4Q	<b>S</b> 1	Е	
Rosy Twisted- stalk	Streptopus lanceolatus	G5	S1S2	Т	
Narrowleaf Seepweed	Suaeda linearis	G5	<b>S</b> 3		
Common Snowberry	Symphoricarpos albus	G5T5	<b>S</b> 1	Т	
Eastern Silvery Aster	Symphyotrichum concolor	G5	<b>S</b> 1	Е	
Serpentine Aster	Symphyotrichum depauperatum	G2	<b>S</b> 1	Е	
Drummond's Aster	Symphyotrichum drummondii	G4G5T4T 5	S1		
Smooth Blue Aster	Symphyotrichum laeve var. concinnum	G5T4	S1?	Х	
Willow Aster	Symphyotrichum praealtum	G5	S1		
Short's Aster	Symphyotrichum shortii	G5	S3S4		
Horsesugar	Symplocus tinctoria	G5	<b>S</b> 3		
Mountain Parsley	Taenidia montana	G3	S2	Т	
Canada Yew	Taxus canadensis	G5	S2	Т	
Spiked Hoary- pea	Tephrosia spicata	G4G5	S1S2	Е	
Purple Meadow- parsnip	Thaspium trifoliatum	G5	S1	Е	
Bog Fern	Thelypteris simulata	G4	S2	Т	
Climbing Dogbane	Thrysanthella difformis	G5	S1	Е	
American Arborvitae	Thuja occidentalis	G5	<b>S</b> 1	Т	



Common Name	Scientific Name	G-Rank ¹	S-Rank ¹	State- listed ²	Federally listed ²
Canby's Dropwort	Oxypolis canbyi [3]	G2	<b>S</b> 1	Е	LE
Spanish Moss	Tillandsia usneoides	G5	SX		
Fernald's Mannagrass	Torreyochloa pallida var. fernaldii	G5	<b>S</b> 1		
Pale Mannagrass	Torreyochloa pallida var. pallida	G5	<b>S</b> 3		
Tassel-rue	Trautvettaria caroliniensis	G5	<b>S</b> 3		
Coastal False Asphodel	Triantha racemosum	G5	SX		
Bashful Sedge	Trichophorum planifolium	G5	S2		
Glade Bluecurls	Trichostema brachiatum	G5	<b>S</b> 3		
Narrowleaf Bluecurls	Trichostema setaceum	G5	<b>S</b> 1		
Chapman's Redtop	Tridens chapmanii	G3	<b>S</b> 1		
Buffalo Clover	Trifolium reflexum	G3G4	SH	Х	
Kate's Mountain Clover	Trifolium virginicum	G3	S2S3	Т	
Three-ribbed Arrow-grass	Triglochin striata	G5	<b>S</b> 1	Е	
Northern Nodding Trillium	Trillium cernuum	G5	S3		
Nodding Trillium	Trillium flexipes	G5	<b>S</b> 1	Е	
Snow Trillium	Trillium nivale	G4	S1	E	
Virginia Least Trillium	Trillium pusillum var. virginianum	G3T2	S2	Т	
Yellowleaf Tinker's-weed	Triosteum angustifolium	G5	<b>S</b> 1	E	
Nodding Pogonia	Triphora trianthophora	G3G4	<b>S</b> 1	E	
Southern Cattail	Typha domingensis	G4G5	<b>S</b> 3		
Horned Bladderwort	Utricularia cornuta	G5	<b>S</b> 1		



Common	Scientific Name	G-Rank ¹	S-Rank ¹	State-	Federally
Name		0	~	listed ²	listed ²
Swollen Bladderwort	Utricularia inflata	G5	S1	Е	
Flatleaf	Utricularia		<u> </u>		
Bladderwort	intermedia	G5	<b>S</b> 1		
Purple	Utricularia	<u>C</u> 5	C 1	Т	
Bladderwort	purpurea	G5	<b>S</b> 1	1	
Northeastern	Utricularia	G4	S1	Е	
Bladderwort	resupinata	04	51	L	
Fibrous Bladderwort	Utricularia striata	G4G5	<b>S</b> 1	E	
Zigzag	Utricularia	G5	<b>S</b> 3		
Bladderwort	subulata	05	55		
Large-flower	Uvularia	G5	S1	Е	
Bellwort	grandiflora		~ 1	_	
Large Cranberry	Vaccinium macrocarpon	G4	<b>S</b> 3		
Velvetleaf	Vaccinium	G5	<b>S</b> 3		
Blueberry	myrtilloides	05	55		
Small Cranberry	Vaccinium oxycoccos	G5	S2	Т	
Valerian	Valeriana pauciflora	G4	<b>S</b> 1	E	
Goosefoot	Valerianella	G5	S1	Е	
Cornsalad	chenopodiifolia	05	51	Ľ	
Navel-shaped	Valerianella	G3G5	SH	Х	
Cornsalad	umbilicata	0505	511	21	
Broadleaf	Veratrum	G5	<b>S</b> 1	Е	
Bunchflower	hybridum				
Virginia Bunchflower	Veratrum virginicum	G5	<b>S</b> 3		
Giant Ironweed	Vernonia gigantea	G5	SU		
Marsh	Vernonica Veronica	05			
Speedwell	scutellata	G5	<b>S</b> 1	E	
Nannyberry	Viburnum lentago	G5	S1		
American Purple					
Vetch	Vicia americana	G5T5	SH	Х	
Appalachian	Viola	C 4	62		
Blue Violet	appalachiensis	G4	S3		
Salad Violet	Viola esculenta	G4G5	S2		
Long-spur Violet	Viola rostrata	G5	<b>S</b> 3		
Rock Grape	Vitis rupestris	G3	S1		
Sword Bogmat	Wolffiella gladiata	G5	SH	Х	
Rusty Woodsia	Woodsia ilvensis	G5	S2	Т	
Fringed Yellow- eyed-grass	Xyris fimbriata	G5	<b>S</b> 1	E	



Common Name	Scientific Name	G-Rank ¹	S-Rank ¹	State- listed ²	Federally listed ²
Small's Yellow- eyed-grass	Xyris smalliana	G5	<b>S</b> 1	Ε	
Northern Prickly-ash	Zanthoxylum americanum	G5	S1S2	Е	
Atamasco Lily	Zephyranthes atamasca	G4G5	<b>S</b> 1	E	
Southern Wild Rice	Zizaniopsis miliacea	G5	<b>S</b> 1	E	
Golden Alexanders	Zizia aurea	G5	<b>S</b> 3		

 1  = See Table 3.5 for S-rank and G-rank definitions

² = T (Threatened); E (Endangered); I (In Need of Conservation); X (Endangered Extirpated)

Using the best available current information, this chapter summarized the full array of wildlife found in Maryland and identified Species of Greatest Conservation Need in each taxonomic group (addressing **Element #1**). The next chapter will provide detailed information about the distribution and condition of the identified key wildlife habitats, including their SGCN, signature plants, and natural communities.

## **Citations and Sources**

- Adams, M.J. et al. 2013. Trends in amphibian occupancy in the United States. PLoS ONE 8(5):e64347.
- AFWA. 2012. Best Practices for State Wildlife Action Plans: Voluntary Guidance to States for Revision and Implementation. Teaming With Wildlife Committee's State Wildlife Action Plan (SWAP) Best Practices Working Group. November 2012. 68 pp. Available online at: http://www.fishwildlife.org/files/SWAPBestPractices.pdf.
- Atlantic Coast Joint Venture. 2005. North American waterfowl management plan. Hadley, Massachusetts.
- Atlantic States Marine Fisheries Commission (ASMFC). 1985. Fishery management plan for the anadromous alosid stocks of the eastern United States: American shad, hickory shad, alewife, and blueback herring: phase II in interstate management planning for migratory alosids of the Atlantic coast. Washington, District of Columbia.
- Atlantic States Marine Fisheries Commission. 1998. Interstate fishery management plan for Atlantic sturgeon. Washington, District of Columbia.
- Atlantic States Marine Fisheries Commission. 1999a. Amendment 1 to the interstate fishery management plan for Atlantic sea herring. Fishery Management Report No. 33:1-112. Washington, District of Columbia.



- Atlantic States Marine Fisheries Commission. 1998. Interstate fishery management plan for horseshoe crabs. Washington, District of Columbia.
- Black, S.H., M. Shepard, and M.M. Allen. 2001. Endangered invertebrates: The case for greater attention to invertebrate conservation. Endangered Species Update 18(2): 42-50.
- Boward, D. M., P. F. Kazyak, S. A. Stranko, M. K. Hurd, and T. P. Prochaska. 1999. From the mountains to the sea: the state of Maryland's freshwater streams. Maryland Department of Natural Resources, Monitoring and Non-tidal Assessment Division, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Washington, District of Columbia.
- Brinker, D.F., G.D. Therres, P.J. Tango, M. O'Brien, E.A.T. Blom, and H.L. Wierenga. 2001. Distribution and relative abundance of breeding rails and other marshbirds in Maryland's tidal marshes. Maryland Birdlife. Maryland Department of Natural Resources.
- Brinker, D.F., B. Williams, B.D. Watts, and R.M. Erwin. 2007. Colonial nesting seabirds in the Chesapeake region: Where have we been and where are we going? Waterbirds 30:93-104.
- Buler, J. J., and D. K. Dawson. 2014. Radar analysis of fall bird migration stopover sites in the northeastern U.S. The Condor: Ornithological Applications 116(3):357-370.
- Capossela, K. 2014. American shad habitat plan. Submitted to the Atlantic States Marine Fisheries Commission. Maryland Department of Natural Resources, Fisheries Service, Annapolis, Maryland.
- Costanzo, G.R. and L.J. Hindman. 2007. Chesapeake Bay breeding waterfowl populations. Waterbirds 30:17-24.
- Cavanagh, M.F., and K. Damon-Randall. 2009. Status of the barndoor skate (*Dipturus laevis*). National Marine Fisheries Service Report, Northeast Regional Office.
- Carter, M. F., W. C. Hunter, D. N. Pashley, and K. V. Rosenberg. 2000. Setting conservation priorities for landbirds in the United States: the Partners in Flight approach. The Auk 117:541-548.
- Chesapeake Bay Fisheries Ecosystem Advisory Panel. 2006. Fisheries ecosystem planning for Chesapeake Bay. American Fisheries Society, Trends in Fisheries Science and Management 3. National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration Chesapeake Bay Office, Bethesda, Maryland.
- Chesapeake Bay Program. 1989a. Chesapeake Bay alosid (shad and herring) management plan. Annapolis, Maryland.



- Chesapeake Bay Program. 1989b. Chesapeake Bay striped bass management plan. Annapolis, Maryland.
- Chesapeake Bay Program. 1991a. Chesapeake Bay summer flounder fishery management plan. Annapolis, Maryland.
- Chesapeake Bay Program. 1994. Chesapeake Bay and Atlantic coast king and Spanish mackerel fishery management plan. Annapolis, Maryland.
- Chesapeake Bay Program. 1998. 1997 Chesapeake Bay blue crab fishery management plan factsheet. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Publication EPA 903-F-98-002, Chesapeake Bay Program Publication CBP/TRS 206/98:1-4.
- Clark, K. E., and L. J. Niles. 2000. Northern Atlantic regional shorebird plan. Version 1.0. Northern Atlantic Shorebird Habitat Working Group, Woodbine, New Jersey.
- Code of Maryland Regulations (COMAR) 8.02.12. Endangered and threatened fish species. Maryland Division of State Documents, Annapolis, Maryland.
- Code of Maryland Regulations (COMAR) 8.03.08. Threatened and Endangered species. Maryland Division of State Documents, Annapolis, Maryland.
- Cooper, J. E. 1960. Distributional survey V: Maryland and the District of Columbia. Amphibians and reptiles. Bulletin of the Philadelphia Herpetological Society, May–June: 18–24.
- Cornell Lab of Ornithology. 2008. Mainland North America extinctions. Cornell University, Ithaca, New York. Available from http://www.birds.cornell.edu/AllAboutBirds/conservation/extinctions/ (accessed September 2015).
- Crisfield, E., and the Northeast Fish and Wildlife Diversity Technical Committee. 2013. The Northeast lexicon: Terminology conventions and data framework for State Wildlife Action Plans in the Northeast region. A report submitted to the Northeast Fish and Wildlife Diversity Technical Committee. Terwilliger Consulting, Inc., Locustville, VA.
- Dew-Baxter, J., and M. Southerland. 2013. Maryland Biological Stream Survey (MBSS) round 3 (2007-2009) population estimates. Prepared for Maryland Department of Natural Resources, Monitoring and Non-tidal Assessment Division, Annapolis, Maryland.
- Ellison, W.G., ed. 2010. Second atlas of the breeding birds of Maryland and the District of Columbia. The Johns Hopkins University Press, Baltimore, Maryland.
- Feinberg, J.A., C.E. Newman, G.J. Watkins-Colwell, M.D. Schlesinger, B. Zarate, B.R. Curry, H.B. Shaffer, and J. Burger. 2014. Cryptic diversity in metropolis:

128 Maryland's Wildlife and Species of Greatest Conservation Need

Confirmation of anew leopard frog species (Anura: Ranidae) from New York City and surrounding Atlantic Coast regions. PLoS ONE 9(10).

- Gibbons, J.W., D.E. Scott, T.J. Ryan, K.A. Buhlmann, T.D. Tuberville, B.S. Metts, J.L. Greene, T. Mills, Y. Leiden, S. Poppy, and C.S. Winne. 2000. The global decline of reptiles, déjà vu amphibians. BioScience 50(8):653-666.
- Golden-winged Warbler Working Group. 2013. Best Management Practices for goldenwinged warbler habitats in the Appalachian Region. www.gwwa.org.
- Harris, H. S., Jr. 1969. Distributional survey: Maryland and the District of Columbia. Bulletin of the Maryland Herpetological Society 5:97-161.
- Harris, Jr., H. S. 1975. Distributional survey (amphibia/reptilia): Maryland and District of Columbia. Bulletin of the Maryland Herpetological Society 11:73-167.
- Helfrich, L.A., and DiStefano, R.J. 2009. Crayfish biodiversity and conservation. Virginia Cooperative Extension, Virginia Tech, and Virginia State University, Petersburg, Virginia. Available online from http://www.ext.vt.edu/about/index.html (accessed September 2015).
- Hunter, W. C. 2003. Southeastern Coastal Plains and Caribbean regional shorebird plan. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, Atlanta, Georgia.
- IUCN. 2013. Almost one in five reptiles struggling to survive. Available online from http://www.iucn.org/news_homepage/news_by_date/?12086/Almost-one-in-five-reptiles-struggling-to-survive (accessed September 2015).
- IUCN. 2015. Amphibians on the IUCN Red List. The IUCN Red List of Threatened Species, Version 2015-3. Available online from http://www.iucnredlist.org/initiatives/amphibians/analysis/red-list-status (accessed September 2015).
- Jelks, H.L., S.J. Walsh, N.M. Burkhead, S. Contreras-Balderas, E. Diaz-Pardo, D.A. Hendrickson, J. Lyons, N.E. Mandrak, F. McCormick, J.S. Nelson, S.P. Platania, B.A. Porter, C.B. Renaud, J.J. Schmitter-Soto, E.B. Taylor, and M.L. Warren, Jr. 2008. Conservation status of imperiled North American freshwater and diadromous Fishes. Fisheries 33(8):372-407.
- Katzner, T., B.W. Smith, T. A. Miller, D. Brandes, J. Cooper, M. Lanzone, D. Brauning, C. Farmer, S. Harding, D. Kramar, C. Koppie, C. Maisonneuve, M. Martell, E..K. Mojica, C. Todd, J.A. Tremblay, M. Wheeler, D.F. Brinker, T.E. Chubbs, R. Gubler, K. O'Malley, S. Mehus, B. Porter, R.P. Brooks, B.D. Watts, and K.L. Bildstein. 2012. Status, biology and conservation priorities for North America's eastern Golden Eagle (*Aquila chrysaetos*) population. The Auk 29:168-176.



- Kearney, R. F. 2003. Partners In Flight landbird conservation plan physiographic area 10: Mid-Atlantic Piedmont. American Bird Conservancy.
- Kilian, J., and R. Raesly. 2012. Maryland darter survey (May-September 2012). Maryland Department of Natural Resources, Natural Heritage Program, Annapolis, Maryland.
- Knapp, W.M., and R.F.C. Naczi (*in prep*). An annotated checklist of the vascular flora of Maryland.
- Knapp, W.M., and R. Wiegand. 2014. Orchid (Orchidaceae) decline in the Catoctin Mountains, Frederick County, Maryland as documented by a long-term dataset. Biodiversity and Conservation. 23(8):1965-1976 DOI: <u>10.1007/s10531-014-0698-2</u>
- Kushlan, J. A., M.J. Steinkamp, K.C. Parsons, J. Capp, M.A. Cruz, M. Coulter, I.J. Davidson, L. Dickson, N. Edelson, R. Elliot, R.M. Erwin, S. Hatch, S. Kress, R. Milko, S. Miller, K. Mills, R. Paul, R. Phillips, J.E. Saliva, B. Syderman, J.L. Trapp, J. Wheeler, and K. Wohl. 2002. Waterbird conservation for the Americas: the North America waterbird conservation plan. Version 1. Waterbird Conservation for the Americas, Washington, District of Columbia.
- Lambert, J.D., T.P. Hodgman, E.J. Laurent, G.L. Brewer, M.J. Iliff, and R. Dettmers. 2009. The Northeast monitoring bird handbook. American Bird Conservancy, The Plains, Virginia.
- Lee, D. S. 1984. Maryland's vanished birds and mammals: reflections of ethics past. Pp. 454-471 in A. W. Norden, D. C. Forester, and G. H. Fenwick, editors. Threatened and Endangered plants and animals of Maryland, Maryland Natural Heritage Program, Special Publication 84-1.
- Levine, J.M., and C.M. D'Antonio. 2003. Forecasting biological invasions with increasing international trade. Conservation Biology 17:322-326.
- Limpert, D. L., D. L. Birch, M. S. Scott, M. S., M. Andre, and E. Gillam. 2007. Tree Selection and Landscape Analysis of Eastern Red Bat Day Roosts. Journal of Wildlife Management, 71: 478–486.
- Lips, K.R., F. Brem, R. Brenes, J.D. Reeve, R.A. Alford, J. Voyles, C. Carey, L. Livo, A. P. Pessier, and J.P. Collins. 2006. Emerging infectious disease and the loss of biodiversity in a neotropical amphibian community. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences 103: 3165-3170.
- Litwiler, T. 2001. Conservation plan for sea turtles, marine mammals, and the shortnose sturgeon in Maryland. Maryland Department of Natural Resources Technical Report FS-S0COL-01-2, Oxford, Maryland.



- Loss, S.R., T. Will, and P.P. Marra. 2013. The impact of free-ranging domestic cats on wildlife of the United States. Nature Communications 4(1396): 1-7.
- Manomet Center for Conservation Sciences and U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service. 2015. Atlantic flyway shorebird initiative: A business plan. Available from <u>http://www.nfwf.org/amoy/Documents/afsi_biz_plan.pdf</u>.
- Maryland Botanical Heritage Workgroup. 2014. Report for the Governor and General Assembly of Maryland concerning the preservation of Maryland's botanical heritage. Annapolis, Maryland.
- Maryland Department of Natural Resources. 2004a. Black bear management plan 2004 2013. Annapolis, Maryland.
- Maryland Department of Natural Resources. 2004b. Fact sheet: Atlantic Coastal Bays. Maryland Coastal Program, Watershed Services, Annapolis, Maryland.
- Maryland Department of Natural Resources. 2006. Maryland brook trout fisheries management plan. Inland Fisheries Management Service, Fisheries Service, Annapolis, Maryland.
- Maryland Department of Natural Resources. 2008. White-tailed deer plan. Annapolis, Maryland.
- Maryland Department of Natural Resources. 2010a. Rare, Threatened and Endangered animals of Maryland. Wildlife and Heritage Service, Annapolis, Maryland.
- Maryland Department of Natural Resources. 2010b. Blackbanded sunfish: Protecting one of Maryland's rarest fishes. Annapolis, Maryland. Available online at http://www.dnr.state.md.us/streams/pdfs/BBSFactSheet.pdf (accessed September 2015).
- Maryland Department of Natural Resources. 2014. 2013 Fishery management plan: Report to the legislative committees. Fisheries Service, Fishery Management Plan Program, Annapolis, Maryland.
- Maryland Department of Natural Resources. 2015a. 2014-2015 Maryland annual deer report. Wildlife & Heritage Service, Annapolis, Maryland.
- Maryland Department of Natural Resources. 2015b. Bats and diseases. Wildlife and Heritage Service, Annapolis, Maryland. Available from <u>http://dnr2.maryland.gov/wildlife/Pages/plants_wildlife/bats/nhpbatdisease.aspx</u> (accessed December 2015).
- Maryland Department of Natural Resources. 2015c. Spring 2015 trout stocking schedule. Inland Fisheries Management, Annapolis, Maryland.



- Maryland Ornithological Society (MOS). 2015. Official list of the birds of Maryland. Records Committee of the Maryland Ornithological Society, Davidsonville, Maryland. http://www.mdbirds.org/mddcrc/pdf/mdlist.pdf.
- Maryland Plant Atlas Work Group 2015. Digital atlas of the Maryland flora. Available online from <u>www.MarylandPlantAtlas.org</u> (accessed October 2015).
- Maryland Sea Grant (MDSG). 2009a. Striped bass species team background and issue brief. Ecosystem-Based Fisheries Management Project for Chesapeake Bay, College Park, Maryland.
- Maryland Sea Grant. 2009b. Menhaden species team background and issue brief. Ecosystem-Based Fisheries Management Project for Chesapeake Bay, College Park, Maryland.
- Maryland Sea Grant. 2011. Alosine species team background and issue brief. Ecosystem-Based Fisheries Management Project for Chesapeake Bay, College Park, Maryland.
- McCauley, R. H. 1945. The reptiles and amphibians of Maryland and the District of Columbia. Private printing, Hagerstown, Maryland.
- Mid-Atlantic/New England Maritime (MANEM) Waterbird Working Group. 2006.
  Waterbird Conservation Plan for the Mid-Atlantic/New England/Maritimes Region: 2006-2010. Waterbird Conservation for the Americas, Washington, District of Columbia.
- National Audubon Society. 2013. Important bird areas in the U.S. New York, New York. Available from http://netapp.audubon.org/IBA/IBA (accessed July 2015).
- National Marine Fisheries Service. 2006. Consolidated Atlantic highly migratory species fishery management plan. National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration,
- National Marine Fisheries Service. 2013. Commercial fisheries statistics. National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration, Office of Science and Technology. Available from https://www.st.nmfs.noaa.gov/st1/commercial/landings/annual_landings.html (accessed July 2015).
- National Marine Fisheries Service and U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service. 1991. Recovery plan for U.S. population of Atlantic green turtle. National Marine Fisheries Service, Washington, District of Columbia.
- National Marine Fisheries Service and U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service. 1992. Recovery plan for leatherback turtles in the U.S. Caribbean, Atlantic and Gulf of Mexico. National Marine Fisheries Service, Washington, District of Columbia.



- National Marine Fisheries Service and U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service. 1993. Recovery plan for hawksbill turtles in the U.S. Caribbean Sea, Atlantic Ocean, and Gulf of Mexico. National Marine Fisheries Service, St. Petersburg, Florida.
- National Marine Fisheries Service and U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service. 2009. Recovery plan for Northwest Atlantic population of loggerhead turtle. National Marine Fisheries Service, Washington, District of Columbia.
- National Marine Fisheries Service, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, and SEMARNAT. 2011. Bi-national recovery plan for the Kemp's ridley sea turtle (*Lepidochelys kempii*), Second Revision. National Marine Fisheries Service. Silver Spring, Maryland.

National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration. 2015a. Humpback Whale (*Megaptera novaeangliae*). Available from http://www.fisheries.noaa.gov/pr/species/mammals/whales/humpback-whale.html (accessed November 2015).

National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration. 2015b. Sei whale (*Balaenoptera borealis*). Available from http://www.fisheries.noaa.gov/pr/species/mammals/whales/sei-whale.html (accessed November 2015).

National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration. 2015c. Blue whale (*Balaenoptera musculus*). Available from http://www.fisheries.noaa.gov/pr/species/mammals/whales/blue-whale.html (accessed November 2015)

National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration. 2015d. Fin whale (*Balaenoptera physalus*). Available from http://www.fisheries.noaa.gov/pr/species/mammals/whales/fin-whale.html (accessed November 2015).

National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration. 2015e. North Atlantic right whale (*Eubalaena glacialis*). Available from http://www.fisheries.noaa.gov/pr/species/mammals/whales/north-atlantic-right-whale.html (accessed November 2015).

National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration. 2015f. Sperm whale (*Physeter macrocephalus*). Available from http://www.fisheries.noaa.gov/pr/species/mammals/whales/sperm-whale.html (accessed November 2015).

National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration. 2015g. Cuvier's beaked whale (*Ziphius cavirostris*) Available from http://www.fisheries.noaa.gov/pr/species/mammals/whales/cuviers-beaked-whale.html (accessed November 2015).



- National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration. 2015h. Gervais' beaked whale (*Mesoplodon europaeus*). Available from http://www.fisheries.noaa.gov/pr/species/mammals/whales/gervais-beaked-whale.html (accessed November 2015).
- National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration. 2015i. True's beaked whale (*Mesoplodon mirus*). Available from http://www.fisheries.noaa.gov/pr/species/mammals/whales/beaked-whale-trues.html (accessed November 2015).
- National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration. 2015j. Bottlenose dolphin (*Tursiops truncates*). Available from http://www.fisheries.noaa.gov/pr/species/mammals/dolphins/bottlenose-dolphin.html (Accessed November 2015).
- National Speleological Society. 2009. A guide to responsible caving. Huntsville, Alabama. Available online from http://nss2016.caves.org/docs/NSS_Guide_to_Responsible_Caving.pdf (accessed October 2015).
- NatureServe. 2010. Hidden in plain sight: The role of plants in State Wildlife Action Plans. Arlington, Virginia.
- Near, T.J. 2008. Rescued from synonymy: A redescription of *Percina bimaculata* Haldeman and a molecular phylogenetic analysis of logperch darters (Percidae: Etheostomatinae). Bulletin of the Peabody Museum of Natural History 49:3-18.
- New England Fishery Management Council. 2003. Northeast state complex fishery management plan. National Marine Fisheries Service, Silver Spring, Maryland.
- Newman, C.E., J.A. Feinberg, L.J. Rissler, J. Burger, and H.B. Shaffer. A new species of leopard frog (Anura: Ranidae) from the urban northeastern U.S. Molecular Phylogenetics and Evolution 63(2): 445-455.
- North American Bird Conservation Initiative, U.S. Committee. 2014. The state of the birds 2014 report. U.S. Department of Interior, Washington, District of Columbia.
- North American Waterfowl Management Plan Committee. 2012. North American waterfowl management plan: People conserving waterfowl and wetlands. Canadian Wildlife Service, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, and Secretaria de Medio Ambiente y Recursos Naturales. Washington, District of Columbia.



- Northeast Partners for Amphibian and Reptile Conservation (NE PARC). 2010. Northeast amphibian and reptile species of regional responsibility and conservation concern. Partners for Amphibian and Reptile Conservation.
- Northeast Partners in Amphibian and Reptile Conservation. 2014. *Ranavirus* frequently asked questions. Available from http://www.northeastparc.org/products/pdfs/NEPARC_Ranavirus_FAQ.pdf (accessed September 2015).
- O'Connell, A.F., B. Gardner, A.T. Gilbert, and K. Laurent. 2009. Compendium of avian occurrence information for the Continental Shelf waters along the Atlantic Coast of the United States, Final Report (Database Section - Seabirds). Prepared by the USGS Patuxent Wildlife Research Center, Beltsville, Maryland.
- Padilla, D.K., and S.L. Williams. 2004. Beyond ballast water: aquarium and ornamental trades as sources of invasive species in aquatic ecosystems. Frontiers in Ecology and the Environment 2:131-138.
- Paradiso, J. L. 1969. Mammals of Maryland. North American Fauna Number 66. Bureau of Sport Fisheries and Wildlife, Washington, District of Columbia.
- Perring, F. H., and S. M. Walters. 1962. Atlas of the British flora. T. Nelson, London, England.
- Powers, K.D. 1983. Pelagic distributions of marine birds off the Northeastern United States. NOAA Technical Memorandum NMFS-F /NEC- 27.
- Pyzik, L., J. Caddick, and P. Marx. 2004. Chesapeake Bay: introduction to an ecosystem. Chesapeake Bay Program, Annapolis, Maryland.
- Raesly, R.L. 1992. Population status of the endangered Maryland darter, *Etheostoma sellare* (Radcliffe and Welsh). Final Report. Submitted to Maryland Department of Natural Resources, Natural Heritage Program. Frederick, Maryland.
- Robbins, C. S., and E. A. T. Blom, editors. 1996. Atlas of the breeding birds of Maryland and the District of Columbia. University of Pittsburgh Press, Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania.
- Rosenberg, K.V. 2004. Partners in Flight continental priorities and objectives defined at the state and bird conservation region levels: Maryland. Cornell Lab of Ornithology. Ithaca, New York.
- Rowlett, R.A. 1980. Observations of marine birds and mammals in the Northern Chesapeake Bight. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, Biological Services Program. FWS/OBS-80/04. February 1980.
- Smallwood, S.K. 2013. Comparing bird and bat fatality-rate estimates among North American wind-energy projects. Wildlife Society Bulletin 37(1):19-33.

- Smith, H.M., and B.A. Bean. 1899. List of fishes known to inhabit the water of the District of Columbia and vicinity. Bulletin of the United States Fish Commission: 179-187.
- Southeast Partners for Amphibian and Reptile Conservation (SE PARC). 2004. PARC habitat management guidelines: a national update and regional status report. PARC News 1:1-2.
- Stein, B.A., L.S. Kutner, and J.S. Adams, eds. 2000. Precious heritage: The status of biodiversity in the United States. Oxford University Press, New York.
- Sweka, J.A., M. Klopfer, M. Millard, S. Olszewski, D. Smith, R. Sysak, and R. Wong. 2013. Atlantic States Marine Fisheries Commission 2013 horseshoe crab stock assessment update. Prepared by the ASFMF Horseshoe Crab Stock Assessment Subcommittee, Washington, District of Columbia.
- Terwilliger Consulting. 2001. Regional species in need of conservation. A Report to the Northeast Nongame and Endangered Species Committee. Locustville, Virginia.
- Terwilliger Consulting, Inc. and the Northeast Fish and Wildlife Diversity Technical Committee. 2013. Taking action together: Northeast regional synthesis for state wildlife action plans. A report submitted to the Northeast Fish and Wildlife Diversity Committee. Locustville, Virginia.
- Therres, G.D., and G.W. Willey, Sr. 2002. Reintroductions of the endangered Delmarva fox squirrel in Maryland. Proceedings of the Annual Conference of the Southeastern Association of Fish and Wildlife Agencies 56:265-274.
- Turner, G.G., D.M. Reeder, and J.T H. Coleman. 2011. A five-year assessment of mortality and geographic spread of white-nose syndrome in North American bats and a look to the future. Bat Research News 52:13–27.
- U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (USFWS). 1973. The Endangered Species Act of 1973. U.S. Department of the Interior, Washington, District of Columbia.
- U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service. 1993a. Dwarf wedge mussel (*Alasmidonta heterodon*) recovery plan. Hadley, Massachusetts.
- U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service. 1993b. Puritan tiger beetle (*Cicindela puritana G. Horn*) recovery plan. Hadley, Massachusetts.
- U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service. 1996. Piping plover (*Charadrius melodus*), Atlantic coast population, revised recovery plan. Hadley, Massachusetts.



- U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service. 2001. Bog turtle (*Clemmys muhlenbergii*), northern population, recovery plan. Hadley, Massachusetts.
- U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service. 2003. Recovery plan for the red-cockaded woodpecker (*Picoides borealis*): second revision. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, Atlanta, GA.
- U.S. Geological Survey (USGS). 2013. The secret lives of mussels: America's most endangered species. Reston, Virginia. Available online from http://www.usgs.gov/blogs/features/usgs_top_story/the-secret-lives-of-mussels-americas-most-endangered-species/ (accessed September 2015).
- U.S. Geological Survey. 2015. White-nose syndrome (WNS). Washington, District of Columbia. Available from <u>http://www.nwhc.usgs.gov/disease_information/white-nose_syndrome/</u> (accessed December 2015).
- U.S. Shorebird Conservation Plan Partnership. 2015. Shorebirds of conservation concern in the United States of America. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, Lakewood, Colorado.
- Versar, Inc. 2011. Results from Round 3 of the Maryland Biological Stream Survey (2007-2009). Maryland Department of Natural Resources, Annapolis, Maryland.
- Virginia Aquarium and Maryland Department of Natural Resources. 2015. Virginia and Maryland sea turtle conservation plan.Virginia Beach, Virginia.
- Watson, J.K. 2014 .The Piedmont Bird Conservation Region Implementation Plan. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, Atlantic Coast Joint Venture.
- Watts, B.D. Waterbirds of the Chesapeake Bay: A monitoring plan. 2013. Virginia Department of Game and Inland Fisheries, Richmond, Virginia.
- Watts, B.D., G.D. Therres, and M.A. Byrd. 2007. Status, distribution, and the future of bald eagles in the Chesapeake Bay area. Waterbirds 30:25-38.
- Webster, W. D., J.E. Parnell, and W.C. Biggs, Jr. 1985. Mammals of the Carolinas, Virginia, and Maryland. The University of North Carolina Press. Chapel Hill and London.
- Whitaker, Jr., J. O., and W. J. Hamilton, Jr. 1998. Mammals of the eastern United States. Third Edition. Cornell University Press, Ithaca, New York.
- Williams K A, E. E. Connelly, S. M. Johnson, and I. J. Stenhouse, eds. 2015. Wildlife Densities and Habitat Use across Temporal and Spatial Scales on the Mid-Atlantic Outer Continental Shelf: Final Report to the Department of Energy EERE Wind & Water Power Technologies Office. Award Number: DE-EE0005362. Report BRI 2015-11, Biodiversity Research Institute, Portland, Maine.

Wolter, F., S. Capel, D. Pashley, and S. Heath. 2008. Managing land in the Piedmont of

Virginia for the benefit of birds and other wildlife. Second edition. American Bird Conservancy, Piedmont Environmental Council, and Virginia Department of Game and Inland Fisheries. The Plains, Virginia.

