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## SPRING POPULATION SURVEY

The annual photographic survey of the Greater Snow Goose population on the spring staging grounds was conducted in spring 2022 after two years of suspension due to the COVID pandemic. A brief description of the survey methodology and the sampling procedure for photographic counts are given in Reed et al. (1998) and Calvert et al. (2007).

The survey was carried out on May $1^{\text {st }}$ in southern Québec (including east of Ontario and north of New Brunswick) during optimal conditions. Each aircraft surveyed an area of the St. Lawrence River, its surrounding agricultural lands and major tributaries (Figure 1). The whole area was surveyed on the same day by five different aircraft. For 2022, the estimate of the size of the photographed spring population was $753,000 \pm 29,000$ geese (Figure 2; Appendix A). The population estimate is just above the population objective and about $5 \%$ higher than the 2019 estimate ( $714,000 \pm 84,000$ ), although there was some overlap between the confidence intervals for 2019 and 2022. Also, the productivity in 2022 was poor with only $3 \%$ of recruitment in the fall productivity survey, the second lowest since the implementation of the special measures in Canada which indicate a widespread breeding failure for this population.

## NESTING AREA -BYLOT ISLAND

Nesting success (37\%; proportion of nests hatching at least one egg) was very low and well below to the long-term average (Table 1). This was largely due to a relatively high activity of Arctic Foxes and avian predators around goose nests, which destroyed more nests than in normal years. Peak hatch was on 12 July, which is 3 days later than the long-term average (Table 1). Overall, nesting parameters of geese in 2022 were lower than normal.

## BANDING - BYLOT ISLAND

From 9 to 15 August, we banded geese with the assistance of a helicopter. Goose flocks were rounded up and driven by people on foot into a holding pen made of plastic netting. All captured geese were sexed and banded with a metal band, and all recaptures (web-tagged or leg-banded birds) were recorded. A sample of young and adults was measured (body mass and length of culmen, head, tarsus and 9th primary).

The banding operation was difficult this year because we lost seven days due to bad weather and mechanical problems with the helicopter. We conducted only 5 drives between the Camp 2 area and the Qarlikturvik Valley. We banded a total of 662 geese, including 34 young that had been marked with webtags at hatch. In addition, we recaptured 46 adults that were banded in previous years. The young:adult ratio among geese captured at banding ( $0.53: 1$ ) was much lower than last year and well below the longterm average (Table 1). Mean brood size toward the end of brood rearing ( 2.28 young, $n=111$; counts conducted between 31 July and 12 August) was also below the long-term average. By combining information on brood size and young:adult ratio at banding, we estimated that only $47 \%$ of the adults captured were accompanied by young, a very low value (Table 1). Overall, these results are indicative of a very low production of young on Bylot Island by the end of the summer.


Figure 1. Map illustrating the five sectors surveyed for Greater Snow Geese in 2022.


Figure 2. Estimated population size of the Greater Snow Goose, 1965-2022. The black dashed line indicates the start of special conservation measures in Canada, the red dotted one the Conservation Order in United States.

Table 1. Productivity data of Greater Snow Geese nesting on Bylot Island, Nunavut over the past decade.

|  | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 | 2017 | 2018 | 2019 | 2020 | 2021 | 2022 | Averag <br> $\mathrm{e}^{1}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Nest success | $67 \%$ | $91 \%$ | $77 \%$ | $73 \%$ | $56 \%$ | $50 \%$ | $82 \%$ | $64 \%$ | -- | 37 | 66 |
| Median date <br> of hatching | 10 July | 8 July | 9 July | 9 July | 8 July | 11 July | 4 July | 11 July ${ }^{2}$ | 10 July 2 | 12 July | 9 July |
| Number of <br> geese banded | 4865 | 2001 | 3675 | 4357 | 3216 | 2951 | 2985 | -- | 2160 | $\mathbf{6 6 2}$ |  |

## FALL PRODUCTIVITY COUNTS

The proportion of juveniles measured during family counts in fall flight conducted in southern Québec was $3 \%$, the second lowest proportion of juveniles since the implementation of the since special measures In Canada (Figure 3; Appendix A).

The very low proportion of young recorded in fall suggests which indicate a widespread breeding failure for this population in the High-Arctic this year.
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Figure 3. Productivity counts in the fall flight in Québec, 1973-2022. The black line indicates the longterm proportion of juveniles, the black dashed one, special conservation measures implementation in Canada and the red dotted one indicates the implementation of the Conservation Order in the United States.

## REFERENCES

Calvert, A. M., G. Gauthier, Eric T. Reed, L. Bélanger, J.-F. Giroux, J.-F. Gobeil, M. Huang, J. Lefebvre and A. Reed. 2007. Present status of the population and evaluation of the effects of the special conservation measures. Pages $5-64$ in Reed, E.T. and A.M. Calvert (eds.). Evaluation of the special conservation measures for Greater Snow Geese: Report of the Greater Snow Goose Working Group. Arctic Goose Joint Venture Special Publication. Canadian Wildlife Service, Sainte-Foy, Québec.

Reed, A., J.-F. Giroux and G. Gauthier. 1998. Population size, productivity, harvest and distribution. Pp. 5-31 in The Greater Snow Goose: report of the Arctic Goose Habitat Working Group, B.D.J. Batt ed. Arctic Goose Joint Venture Special Publication. U. S. Fish and Wildlife Service, Washington D.C., and Canadian Wildlife Service, Ottawa, Ontario.

APPENDIX A. Greater Snow Goose Population and productivity estimates from southern Québec, 19962022.

| Year | Estimated spring Population ${ }^{1}$ | Percentage of young during fall flight ${ }^{2}$ |  | Brood size ${ }^{3}$ during fall |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Mean | No. geese | Mean | No. broods |
| 1965 | 25400 |  |  |  |  |
| 1966 | 25400 |  |  |  |  |
| 1967 | 40900 |  |  |  |  |
| 1968 | 38900 |  |  |  |  |
| 1969 | 68800 |  |  |  |  |
| 1970 | 89600 |  |  |  |  |
| 1971 | 123300 |  |  |  |  |
| 1972 | 134800 |  |  |  |  |
| 1973 | 143000 | 41 | 800 | 2.94 | 49 |
| 1974 | 165000 | 6 | 7282 | 2.19 | 119 |
| 1975 | 153800 | 31 | 17579 | 2.71 | 1294 |
| 1976 | 165600 | 13 | 20847 | 2.46 | 419 |
| 1977 | 160000 | 24 | 10297 | 2.28 | 396 |
| 1978 | 192600 | 18 | 9679 | 2.34 | 309 |
| 1979 | 170100 | 28 | 20849 | 2.65 | 1226 |
| 1980 | 180000 | 35 | 12120 | 2.76 | 651 |
| 1981 | 170800 | 16 | 10683 | 2.30 | 229 |
| 1982 | 163000 | 25 | 9577 | 2.48 | 661 |
| 1983 | 185000 | 47 | 12353 | 2.86 | 1246 |
| 1984 | 225400 | 30 | 39781 | 2.63 | 2434 |
| 1985 | 260000 | 26 | 33700 | 2.49 | 1682 |
| 1986 | 303500 | 2 | 22998 | 1.89 | 74 |
| 1987 | 255000 | 40 | 33278 | 2.77 | 1882 |
| 1988 | $363800^{4}$ | 33 | 40246 | 2.76 | 2444 |
| 1989 | 363200 | 31 | 29191 | 2.59 | 2014 |
| 1990 | 368300 | 24 | 20313 | 2.54 | 830 |
| 1991 | 352600 | 38 | 15102 | 2.69 | 1247 |
| 1992 | 448100 | 5 | 32252 | 2.06 | 404 |
| 1993 | 498400 | 48 | 24163 | 2.75 | 2743 |
| 1994 | 591400 | 9 | 16444 | 2.44 | 242 |
| 1995 | 616600 | 17 | 19519 | 2.47 | 665 |
| 1996 | 669100 | 25 | 22595 | 2.34 | 1247 |
| 1997 | 657500 | 37 | 17586 | 2.69 | 1222 |
| 1998 | $(836600)^{5} 741200$ | 33 | 17982 | 2.52 | 144 |

[^1]| 1999 | $(1008000)^{5}$ | 803400 | 2 | 20394 | 2.09 | 91 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2000 | $(816500)^{5}$ | 577300 | 23 | 20468 | 2.54 | 1302 |
| 2001 |  | 837400 | 28 | 22106 | 2.36 | 1072 |
| 2002 |  | 725000 | 6 | 18930 | 1.91 | 274 |
| 2003 |  | 678000 | 27 | 15900 | 2.36 | 1092 |
| 2004 |  | 957600 | 18 | 26206 | 2.44 | 1031 |
| 2005 |  | 814600 | 21 | 29022 | 2.38 | 1470 |
| 2006 |  | 1017000 | 20 | 23338 | 2.34 | 1143 |
| 2007 |  | 1019000 | 21 | 25453 | 2.28 | 1371 |
| 2008 |  | 718000 | 40 | 32020 | 2.62 | 3188 |
| 2009 |  | 1009000 | 11 | 28969 | 2.08 | 753 |
| 2010 |  | 824000 | 20 | 27030 | 2.26 | 1533 |
| 2011 |  | 917000 | 30 | 31719 | 2.42 | 2291 |
| 2012 |  | 1005000 | 15 | 25822 | 2.19 | 834 |
| 2013 |  | 921000 | 10 | 31749 | 1.86 | 693 |
| 2014 |  | 796000 | 22 | 28233 | 2.15 | 1893 |
| 2015 |  | 818000 | 16 | 25672 | 1.94 | 997 |
| 2016 |  | 915000 | 18 | 27886 | 2.14 | 1245 |
| 2017 |  | 747000 | 20 | 23193 | 2.20 | 1335 |
| 2018 |  | 877000 | 5 | 27955 | 1.94 | 317 |
| 2019 |  | 714000 | 32 | 23053 | 2.50 | 1743 |
| 2020 |  | - | 16 | 21390 | 2.28 | 947 |
| 2021 |  | - | 21 | 24476 | 2.50 | 1202 |
| 2022 |  | 753000 | 3 | 24240 | 1.85 | 177 |
| 1973-1998 |  | - | 26 | - | 2.52 | - |
| 1999-2008 |  | - | 20 | - | 2.33 | - |
| 2009-2022 |  | - | 17 | - | 2.15 | - |


[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ Period 1989-2019
    ${ }^{2}$ Canadian Wildlife Service
    ${ }^{3}$ Oiseleurs
    ${ }^{4}$ Université Laval

[^1]:    ${ }^{1}$ from aerial photo counts
    ${ }^{2}$ from visual ground counts
    ${ }^{3}$ broods accompanied by 2 parents
    ${ }^{4}$ no spring survey conducted; a population model was used (Gauvin \& Reed 1987)
    ${ }^{5}$ estimates in brackets have been corrected to account for flocks not observed during the survey, using data from a telemetry study.

