## **APPENDIX A: Coast Smart Project Screening Form**

This document is intended to help Maryland State agency personnel and others understand and apply the Coast Smart Construction Program guidelines for various phases of their capital project to prevent or minimize the future impacts of coastal and riverine flooding, storm surge and sea level rise inundation.

1.	Applic	ability.				
		he State or local capital project funded wi 500,000 involve:	ith mor	e than 50%	State funds and costing a	t
	a.	Construction of a structure:	Yes		No	
	b.	Reconstruction of a structure:	Yes		No	
	c.	Construction of a new highway facility:	Yes		No	
2.	Coast	Smart Climate Ready Action Boundary (	CS-CR	RAB) and (	CS-CRAB Elevation.	
	a.	Is the project located waterward of the C	S-CRA	AB? Yes*	No	
		*If yes, include a map showing the property CS-CRAB. Also, provide the CS-CRAB structure or highway facility.		-	1 0	ne
3.	Gener	al Project Information.				
	a.	Project name:				
	b.	Location (Address, Community Name, 7	Zip Coc	le):		
	c.	Contact Name:				_
		Email:		_ Phone: _		-
	d.	Brief project description:				
						_
	e.	Tax Map/Grid/Parcel or State Department Account Number:	nt of A	ssessments	and Taxation (SDAT)	
	f.	Flood Insurance Rate Map (FIRM) Pane	<u>l No</u> .:_			
	g.	FIRM effective date:				
	h.	Identify (circle) Flood Zone(s) present:				
		Zone A, Zone AE, Zone AH, Zone AO, Zone X (shaded or unshaded) or Zone D		AR, Zone A	A99, Zone V, Zone VE,	

<i>4</i> .	Categorical	Exemptions.	Does your	project qu	ialify for any	of the ap	pproved (	Categorical
Exc	emptions? If	yes, please ide	entify which	ch exempti	ions apply be	elow:		

- a. Water-Dependent Uses
- b. Passive Public Access
- c. Historic Structures
- d. Temporary Structures or Uses
- e. Emergency Use

Note: If your project qualifies for a Categorical Exemption and is located waterward of the CS-CRAB, you are still required to include adaptation and resiliency features to prevent or mitigate damage to the maximum extent practicable.

- 5. **Project Design Life.** What is the timescale for project planning, design, construction, maintenance and operation? Select one.
  - a. Short-term project (design life < 25 years)
  - b. Medium-term project (design life between 25-50 years)
  - c. Long-term project (design life between 50 100 years)
  - d. Very long-term project (design life > 100 years)
- 6. **Project Vulnerability Assessment.** In project planning, it is useful to consider the proposed project's vulnerabilities to sea level rise impacts (i.e., future inundation, flooding and storm surge corrosion due to saltwater intrusion or salinization) over the course of the project's design life. Answering the following questions will provide project planners with awareness regarding vulnerabilities that may warrant additional siting or design considerations.

Note: When planning new State and local structures and highway facility projects with a design life that is not expected to extend beyond 50 years or where there is a relatively high risk tolerance limit (e.g., rare flooding is tolerable), assess vulnerability using current "medium range" or "best estimate" relative sea level rise projections. When new State and local structures and highway facility projects with a design life that is expected to extend beyond 50 years or where there is a very low acceptance of any flooding risk, apply current "high" end relative sea level rise scenarios or projections.

a.	Is the project located in an area that experiences nuisance flooding:
	i. Yes ii. No
b.	Is the project a critical or essential facility?  i. Yes ii. No

_	way facility? . Yes*	ii. No
	yes, will they be elevate	
1	. Yes	ii. No
	there be external fuel to	anks (e.g., propane)? ii. No
	Describe type and indica	ate whether they are above-ground or underground storage
* If	yes, the external tanks	should be anchored and/or elevated.
e. Will	there be any enclosures	s below the first floor?
	. Yes*	ii. No
* If ,	uas will the analogura k	anya flood ananinga?
-	yes, will the enclosure h . Yes	ii. No
_		
•	•	all ecological features on site that may serve to buffer the
	-	future sea level rise inundation, coastal flooding or storm
surg	e: Vegetated or forested	huffers
	Dunes	bullets
	Beaches	
	Wetland or marsh syst	tem
	Oyster beds or reefs	CIII
	Barrier island(s)	
	Potential wetland migr	ration on site
	Habitat adaptation area	
	<u>-</u>	sed features that could be enhanced, restored or created to
		tection against future sea level rise inundation and coastal
	storm impacts	
Explanation/Otl	ners:	
Other siting con	isiderations:	

c. Will there be any external electrical or mechanical systems servicing the structure or

What building materials will be used to increase resiliency?		
What type of construction will be used (e.g., relocatable, portable, expendable in the event of storm damage)?		
Will there be any functional use restrictions placed on the project (e.g., temporary)?		
Other design considerations:		
Is there adequate shoreline protection at the proposed project's site?		
Explain any additional risk of heightened storm surge due to future sea level rise inundation:		
7. <i>Qualitative Cost/Benefit Analysis</i> . Provide qualitative assessment of anticipated benefits and costs of the proposed project with the following factors:		
a. <i>Risk v. Time</i> . What are the potential future financial and other losses associated with sea level rise inundation, coastal flooding and storm surge over the project's anticipated design life? How does this cost compare to inaction?		

b	i. Low ii. Medium iii. High
Explain:	
c	s. Socioeconomic Considerations. What are the short- and long-term costs associated with the project?
	i. What costs are associated with the need for additional shoreline protection?
	ii. What types of emergency responses will there be during extreme events?
	iii. What is the possible need for the repair or rebuilding of damaged structures?
Ċ	I. <i>Environmental Impacts</i> . Are there increased impacts of the project to the environment due to the incorporation of resiliency measures (e.g., increasing the height of a bridge may necessitate a need for larger bridge abutments with greater impact to the waterway and nearby wetland areas)?

e.	Cultural Impacts. Are there increased impacts of the project to cultural resources due to the incorporation of resiliency measures (e.g., increasing the height of a bridge may necessitate a need for larger bridge abutments with greater impact to historic structures or cultural value of the surrounding areas)?			